Dual-layer spectral detector computed tomography parameters can improve diagnostic efficiency of lung adenocarcinoma grading
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Background: It is difficult to distinguish the pathological grade of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) with traditional computed tomography (CT). The aim of this study was to assess tumor differentiation by dual-layer spectral detector CT combined with morphological parameters.

Methods: In this prospective study, a total of 67 patients with pathologically diagnosed LUAD were enrolled: 39 patients in the well- and moderately-differentiated group (14 and 25 patients, respectively) and 28 patients in the poorly-differentiated group. Morphological parameters, non-enhanced CT number, double-enhanced CT number, effective atomic number, monoenergetic CT images (40 and 70 keV), iodine density, and thoracic aorta iodine density of tumors were measured. The slope of the spectral curve and normalized iodine density were calculated. The diagnostic efficiency of the spectral parameters alone, and the combined spectral and morphological parameters were obtained by statistical analysis.

Results: The morphological signs of LUAD (the vessel convergence sign, bronchus encapsulated air sign, and liquefactive necrosis) were higher in the poorly-differentiated group than in the well–moderately-differentiated group (57.1% vs. 30.8%, 40.0%; 60.7% vs. 28.2%, 32.0%; 64.3% vs. 28.2%, 24.0%; all P<0.05). There were significant differences in normalized iodine density (arterial phase: 0.10±0.04 vs. 0.12±0.05, 0.13±0.04; venous phase: 0.31±0.07 vs. 0.39±0.17, 0.40±0.17) among the poorly-differentiated group and moderately-differentiated group as well as the well-differentiated group (all P<0.05). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the poorly-differentiated group and well–moderately-differentiated group as well as the well-differentiated group (all P<0.05). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves of the poorly-differentiated group and well–moderately-differentiated group showed that the normalized iodine density had the best diagnostic efficiency in the arterial phase, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.817, sensitivity of 92.9%, and specificity of 82.1% (P<0.001). The AUC increased to 0.916 when the morphological parameters were included, and sensitivity and specificity were 96.4% and 82.1% (P<0.001), respectively.

Conclusions: The parameters of dual-layer spectral detector CT can help discriminate the pathological grade of LUAD. Among the spectral parameters, the normalized iodine density in the arterial phase has the best diagnostic efficiency, and the combination of spectral and morphological parameters improves the pathological grading of LUAD.
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Introduction

An estimated 1.8 million people die of lung cancer every year, and nearly 85% of cases are non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), of which, lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is a common subtype (1,2). The degree of lung cancer differentiation is an important factor in the treatment and prognosis of patients (3,4). Computed tomography (CT) has become an important tool to diagnose NSCLC, but it is difficult to differentiate lung cancer through morphology and enhancement pattern using conventional CT. In dual-layer spectral detector CT (DLCT), which is a recent, novel energy-spectrum imaging method, the mutual interference between different energy rays is avoided and the accuracy of data is guaranteed (5). It also has the characteristics of synchronization, homology, and simultaneity, which can produce better data registration and image consistency at different stages, thus reducing measurement error (6).

Several studies have suggested that using the extra information acquired with DLCT can be used to better differentiate LUAD; however, the results have been inconsistent (7-10). Lin et al. found that the normalized iodine density (NID) and a slope of the spectral curve (λ) between 40 and 100 keV in the both phases were both valuable for grading adenocarcinoma, and λ had the best diagnostic efficiency in the venous phase (7). Fehrenbach et al. found that the iodine density (ID), NID, and λ between 40 and 120 keV of the poorly-differentiated group was higher than those of the well–moderately-differentiated group in the arterial phase, but there was no significant difference between them (8). Iwano et al. showed that the ID of poorly-differentiated lung cancer was lower than that of well–moderately-differentiated cancer (9). Li et al. showed that ID could reflect the degree of differentiation of tumors in the venous phase, and that the higher the differentiation, the higher the values of the corresponding spectral parameters (10). These inconsistent, contrasting results may have been due to several reasons such as single-phase scanning, investigating only one spectral parameter at a time, and the absence of morphological parameters (7,10).

Therefore, we conducted a prospective study using a double-phase enhanced scanning method, more spectral CT parameters, and combining morphological parameters with spectral CT parameters to improve the diagnostic efficiency of LUAD differentiation. We present the following study in accordance with the Standards for Reporting Diagnostic Accuracy studies (STARD) reporting checklist (available at https://qims.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/qims-22-2/rc).

Methods

Patients

This was a prospective study of consecutive patients pathologically diagnosed with lung cancer who underwent enhanced lung DLCT scanning in the Radiology Department of Nanxishan Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region between 1st August 2020 and 31st March 2021. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) DLCT scan within 7 days before surgery; (II) short diameter of tumor focus >2 cm, and solid type lung cancer; (III) no clinical antineoplastic treatment received before enrollment; (IV) complete clinical and DLCT data; (V) CT scan performed with breath holding; (VI) satisfactory image quality; and (VII) no other cancer history. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) untreated hyperthyroidism; (II) history of allergy to iodine contrast medium; and (III) complication of damage to the heart, liver, kidney, or other important organs. A flow chart of the inclusion/exclusion process is displayed in Figure 1.

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Nanxishan Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (No. 2020KY-E-30) and informed consent was provided by all participants.

CT examination

All DLCT scans were performed with a Philips IQon Spectral CT scanner (Philips Healthcare, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The scans included pulmonary CT conventional non-enhanced, and contrast-enhanced scans (both arterial and venous phase). The non-ionic contrast agent (70 mL iopromide; Beijing Beilu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) was administered via an antecubital vein at an intended flow rate of 3.0 mL/s.
with a high-pressure syringe, followed by 30 mL of saline, injected at the same flow rate. Scans were performed using the bolus chase method. The region of interest (ROI) was located in the descending aorta with a trigger threshold CT number of 150 Hounsfield units (HU). The arterial and venous phases were started 6 and 36 seconds, respectively, after contrast agent injection. The spectral parameters included tube voltage of 120 kVp, spectral CT adaptive current, collimator width of 64 mm × 0.625 mm, pitch of 1.234, 0.27-second rotation time, and a matrix of 512×512. After the scans were completed, the data obtained in the enhanced double-phase were reconstructed by projected spatial spectral reconstruction (Spectral, level 4). The image reconstruction thickness was 1 mm and the image spacing was 1 mm.

**Imaging analysis**

The data were sent to a Philips Spectral Diagnostic Suite 9.0 workstation (Philips Healthcare), and were processed and analyzed by 2 radiologists with more than 5 years’ experience of chest CT diagnosis. To mitigate potential cognitive biases, the investigators were blinded to any patients’ clinical data. Then, 3 consecutive image slices
containing the maximum cross-section of the tumor and
the upper and lower layers were chosen for measurement,
and round or oval ROIs were drawn as large as possible
(covering between half and two-thirds of the lesion area)
to minimize the influences of noise and the partial volume
effect. First, ROIs were sketched on the contrast-enhanced
and the unenhanced conventional CT images and the
morphological parameters of the tumor were evaluated
(short and long diameters, lobulation sign, spicule sign,
pleural indentation sign, vessel convergence sign, bronchus
capsulated air sign, calcification, and liquefactive
genesis). The ROI was placed on solid regions of the
tumor, avoiding areas with vessels, calcification, and cystic
or necrotic change. Next, data were obtained for other
image parameters [virtual monoenergetic CT images (40
and 70 keV), the effective atomic number (Zeff) images, the
ID images, etc.]. The NID of lung lesions, normalized to
the ID values of the aorta in the aortopulmonary window
level, was used to minimize the influence of the individual
circulation status and scanning times: NID = ID/ID_{aorta}.
The slope of the spectral curve ($\lambda$) was defined as the
difference in CT number at 40 and 70 keV divided by the energy
difference; $\lambda = \frac{\text{CT number (40 keV) – CT number (70
keV)}}{70–40}$ keV.

**Histochemical examination**

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining results were
divided into poorly, moderately, and well-differentiated
adenocarcinoma. Without knowing the clinical information
and spectral CT results, the sampling of histochemical
samples was carried out jointly by a pathologist and a
radiologist to ensure that the samples covered, to the
greatest possible extent, the same volumes as the ROIs
in the scan data. The tumor specimens were analyzed by
a pathologist with 14 years of IHC staining experience.
All sections were numbered and evaluated, and their
pathological types and histological differentiation were
analyzed and recorded. The histologic criteria used for
diagnosis were in accordance with the World Health
Organization Classification of Lung Tumors (11,12).

**Statistical analysis**

Kappa and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) tests
were used to test the consistency of the ROI measurement
results of the 2 radiologists, with a kappa score >0.8 or ICC
score >0.7 indicating satisfactory agreement between them.

All parameters were then averaged over the measurements
made by the 2 physicians. The Shapiro-Wilk test and
Bartlett’s test were used on all measurements to test for
normality and homogeneity of variance. The measurement
data subject to normal distribution were expressed as mean
± standard deviation, and the counting data were expressed
as n (%). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was
adopted for all measurement parameters, and chi-square test
was adopted for counting data. For statistically significant
parameters between the subgroups, a receiver operating
classification characteristic (ROC) curve was drawn with the pathological
examination results as the gold standard, and the area
under the curve (AUC) was calculated. The AUC, the
best diagnostic threshold, sensitivity, and specificity of the
spectral parameters for differential diagnosis were analyzed.
Finally, the prediction probability of the parameters with
statistical difference between the 2 groups, including
morphological parameters and morphological parameters
combined with the most effective spectral parameters for
diagnosis, was calculated by binary logistic analysis. The
ROC curves were plotted by predicting probability to
analyze their joint diagnostic efficiency. A two-tailed P<0.05
indicated that the difference was statistically significant.

All data were analyzed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corp.,
Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Patients and conventional CT parameters**

A total of 67 patients met the inclusion criteria, and because
of the small number of highly-differentiated samples,
patients were divided into 3 groups: the well-, well–
moderately-differentiated group, and poorly-differentiated
adenocarcinoma. As shown in Table 1, the CT number and
CT increment number in the arterial phase of the well-,
and well–moderately-differentiated group were higher than in
the poorly-differentiated group (P<0.05). The incidence of
the vascular cluster sign, air bronchial sign, and liquefactive
necrosis in the well-, and well–moderately-differentiated
group was lower than that in the poorly-differentiated
group (P<0.05). There was no significant difference in the
other parameters among the 3 groups.

**CT spectral quantitative parameters**

As shown in Table 2, except for NID in the venous phase, all
CT spectral parameters in the well- and well–moderately-
Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the study participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Poorly-differentiated group (n=28)</th>
<th>Moderately-differentiated group (n=25)</th>
<th>Well–moderately-differentiated group (n=39)</th>
<th>χ²/F value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General information</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)¹</td>
<td>16 (57.1)</td>
<td>10 (40.0)</td>
<td>17 (43.6)</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>0.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)²</td>
<td>62.1±8.7</td>
<td>63.1±9.9</td>
<td>64.3±10.1</td>
<td>1.427</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphological parameter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short diameter (mm)³</td>
<td>30.39±15.39</td>
<td>27.34±15.18</td>
<td>24.83±12.97</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>0.851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long diameter (mm)³</td>
<td>42.25±19.14</td>
<td>45.96±20.28</td>
<td>44.78±18.33</td>
<td>0.267</td>
<td>0.767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobulation sign (Y)⁴</td>
<td>10 (35.7)</td>
<td>15 (60.0)</td>
<td>23 (59.0)</td>
<td>3.557</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spicule sign (Y)⁴</td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td>9 (36.0)</td>
<td>16 (41.0)</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural indentation sign (Y)⁵</td>
<td>11 (39.3)</td>
<td>15 (60.0)</td>
<td>23 (59.0)</td>
<td>2.557</td>
<td>0.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessel convergence sign (Y)⁵</td>
<td>16 (57.1)</td>
<td>10 (40.0)</td>
<td>12 (30.8)</td>
<td>7.100</td>
<td>0.029†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchus encapsulated air sign (Y)⁶</td>
<td>17 (60.7)</td>
<td>8 (32.0)</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
<td>7.493</td>
<td>0.024†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification (Y)⁷</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
<td>3 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquefactive necrosis (Y)⁷</td>
<td>18 (64.3)</td>
<td>6 (24.0)</td>
<td>11 (28.2)</td>
<td>9.145</td>
<td>0.010†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unenhanced CT number (HU)⁸</td>
<td>32.49±7.79</td>
<td>34.11±15.52</td>
<td>33.93±14.95</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-CT number (HU)⁸</td>
<td>72.12±16.45</td>
<td>84.10±15.46</td>
<td>85.03±15.02</td>
<td>9.381</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP-CT number (HU)⁸</td>
<td>87.64±16.91</td>
<td>95.29±19.01</td>
<td>98.85±20.97</td>
<td>1.468</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-CT number (HU)⁹</td>
<td>39.65±13.69</td>
<td>47.83±22.07</td>
<td>51.07±20.34</td>
<td>6.340</td>
<td>0.003†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP-CT number (HU)⁹</td>
<td>55.18±15.76</td>
<td>59.49±22.16</td>
<td>64.89±25.97</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹, data are number of patients, with percentages in parentheses; ², data are mean ± standard deviation; †, difference between poorly-differentiated group and well–moderately-differentiated group; ‡, difference between poorly-differentiated group and moderately-differentiated group. AP, arterial phase; CT number#, CT increment number; CT, computed tomography; HU, Hounsfield unit; VP, venous phase; Y, yes.

differentiated group in both the arterial and venous phases were higher than in the poorly-differentiated group (P<0.05).

As shown in Table 3 and Figure 2, all CT spectral parameters had good diagnostic efficiency in differentiating the well–moderately- and poorly-differentiated groups, and the diagnostic efficiency of arterial phase parameters was higher than that of venous phase parameters (P<0.05). Among them, NID had the best diagnostic efficiency in the arterial phase.

Diagnostic efficiency

As shown in Table 4 and Figure 3, ROC curve analysis of diagnosing the degree of differentiation of LUAD using morphological parameters alone, and combining morphological parameters with spectral parameters in arterial phase. When morphological parameters combined with NID were considered in the arterial phase, AUC =0.916, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.908–0.999, and sensitivity and specificity of 96.4% and 82.1%, respectively (Figure 3).

Reliability of measurements

For all the given parameters, the kappa and ICC tests found that the measurement results were consistent between the 2 radiologists (P>0.05).

Discussion

Compared with the venous phase, arterial phase spectral
Table 2 Comparison of DLCT quantitative parameters in poorly, moderately, and well-differentiated lung adenocarcinoma in the AP and VP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Poorly-differentiated group (n=28)</th>
<th>Moderately-differentiated group (n=25)</th>
<th>Well–moderately-differentiated group (n=39)</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeff</td>
<td>7.85±0.21</td>
<td>8.07±0.27</td>
<td>8.10±0.24</td>
<td>10.260</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>0.99±0.34</td>
<td>1.38±0.53</td>
<td>1.43±0.48</td>
<td>8.258</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>0.10±0.04</td>
<td>0.12±0.05</td>
<td>0.13±0.04</td>
<td>5.669</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>1.99±0.68</td>
<td>2.76±1.06</td>
<td>2.87±0.96</td>
<td>8.340</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeff</td>
<td>8.08±0.15</td>
<td>8.28±0.32</td>
<td>8.29±0.28</td>
<td>6.184</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>1.41±0.39</td>
<td>1.82±0.70</td>
<td>1.82±0.63</td>
<td>5.728</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>0.31±0.07</td>
<td>0.39±0.17</td>
<td>0.40±0.17</td>
<td>3.852</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>2.76±0.63</td>
<td>3.64±1.40</td>
<td>3.68±1.23</td>
<td>6.153</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data are mean ± standard deviation. †, difference between poorly-differentiated group and well–moderately-differentiated group; ‡, difference between poorly-differentiated group and moderately-differentiated group. DLCT, dual-layer spectral detector computed tomography; λ, slope of the spectral curve; AP, arterial phase; ID, iodine density; NID, normalized iodine density; VP, venous phase; Zeff, effective atomic number.

Table 3 Performance of differential parameters in distinguishing the poorly-differentiated and well–moderately-differentiated groups in the receiver operating characteristic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Thresholds</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeff</td>
<td>0.804</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>0.694–0.915</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>0.698–0.920</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>0.713–0.922</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>0.690–0.913</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeff</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.612–0.850</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>0.594–0.837</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NID</td>
<td>0.789</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>0.680–0.899</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>0.615–0.852</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Received parameters had higher diagnostic efficiency for the pathological grade of LUAD, and NID had the best diagnostic efficiency in the arterial phase (Table 3, Figure 2). We also found that combining the spectral and morphological parameters significantly improved the diagnostic efficiency (Table 4, Figure 3).

Our results contradict those of previous studies that concluded that spectral parameters in the arterial phase had higher diagnostic efficiency (7,10), which may be due to the aggregation of contrast media in tumors and the time to peak (13,14). Our study showed that the diagnostic efficiency of the arterial phase was better than that of the venous phase. Based on the scan time of the conventional chest CT, the arterial phase was scanned at 6 seconds after
Figure 2 Comparison of dual-layer spectral detector CT quantitative parameters in distinguishing the poorly-differentiated from well–moderately-differentiated lung adenocarcinoma in the arterial phase (AP) (A) and the venous phase (VP) (B). NID had the best diagnostic efficiency in both the AP, with AUC =0.817, sensitivity and specificity of 92.9%, 82.1%; and VP, with AUC =0.789, sensitivity and specificity of 64.1%, 92.9%, \( \lambda \), slope of 40–70 keV spectral curve; AP, arterial phase; AUC, area under the curve; CT, computed tomography; ID, iodine density; NID, normalized iodine density; VP, venous phase; Zeff, effective atomic number.

Table 4 Different models in distinguishing the poorly-differentiated and well–moderately-differentiated groups in the ROC analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>0.774</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>0.657–0.892</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP + AP-CT</td>
<td>0.858</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>0.770–0.946</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP + AP-NID</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>0.908–0.999</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROC, receiver operating characteristic; AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; CT, computed tomography; MP, morphological parameters; MP + AP-CT, combination of morphology and CT enhancement value in the arterial phase; MP + AP-NID, combination of morphology and NID in the arterial phase; NID, normalized iodine density.

cost injection and the venous phase after 36 seconds. For the enhanced CT, the ID level of tumors is mainly affected by the degree of neovascularity (15). Abnormalities of angiogenesis and the vascular network in lung cancer tissues do not support rapid tumor growth (14). Generally, the higher the tumor malignancy, the faster the tumor vessels grow, the higher the microvessel density, and the more sufficient the blood supply (16). Arterial ID mainly reflects tumor blood supply and capillary density, whereas venous ID is considered an indicator of blood supply balance and may reflect late retention of contrast agent in the stroma (17). Moreover, in the arterial stage, due to the disorderly and tortuous microvessel network, the flow rate of contrast medium is often slow, leading to poor microvessel filling in well–moderately-differentiated tumor cells. Over time, the contrast retention within a poorly-differentiated tumor gradually increased by the time of scanning at the venous stage, resulting in the difference between the differently differentiated tumors, so we believe that the spectral parameters can better reflect the tumor microcirculation at the arterial stage. Our statistical analysis and ROC results indicated that the optimal quantitative parameters that maximize sensitivity and specificity can be generated from the arterial period alone. This finding
suggests that reductions in scanning time and radiation dose are possible, and can be applied in clinical practice. Li et al. showed in a study of differentiating gastric adenocarcinoma that NID had higher diagnostic efficiency in the arterial phase, and the NID of poorly-differentiated adenocarcinoma was lower than that of well–moderately-differentiated adenocarcinoma; that is, the iodine uptake rate of well–moderately-differentiated adenocarcinoma is higher than that of poorly-differentiated adenocarcinoma. In this regard, our results are consistent with previous studies (13,19,20,21). However, some research results are inconsistent with our conclusions (7,9,10). Lin et al. found that λ in the venous phase provided the best diagnostic efficiency in distinguishing poorly-differentiated cancers from well–moderately-differentiated cancers (7). Iwano et al. found that poorly-differentiated tumors tended to have lower ID than well–moderately-differentiated tumors (9). Li et al. believe that ID in the venous phase is a useful indicator for evaluating tumor angiogenesis and prognosis (10). However, their samples were NSCLC rather than LUAD and NID is mainly affected by the iodine uptake of the lesion itself. For example, the NID of lesions with severe necrosis may be affected by severe ID deviation (10). Although it is difficult to completely avoid micro-necrotic areas in tumors when measuring parameters, we avoided obvious necrotic areas when sketching the ROIs, which should reduce the result bias. Therefore, we believe that NID has the highest diagnostic efficiency in the arterial phase.

As shown in Table 4 and Figure 3, although the enhancement degree of traditional CT can also reflect the blood supply of tumors, and all spectral parameters significantly positively correlate with the enhancement degree of CT, spectral parameters are more effective in predicting the differentiation degree of LUAD. Furthermore, it has been shown that DLCT may reduce the influence of beam hardening and improve measurement accuracy (21), which further confirms that spectral parameters have better diagnostic efficiency than CT increment number and can better reflect the pathological characteristics of LUAD.

In the present study, there were significant differences of the spectral parameters between poorly-differentiated and moderately-differentiated adenocarcinoma; except...
for NID in the venous phase, the same results appeared for the poorly-differentiated and well–moderately-differentiated groups. Liu et al. (13) found that spectral quantitative parameters were different between poorly-, moderately-, and well-differentiated esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, and that NID in the arterial phase had the highest differential diagnostic efficiency, which may indicate that capillary density and tumor neovascularization increase with decreasing tumor differentiation. However, there were no differences in the spectral parameters between the moderately-differentiated and well–moderately-differentiated groups in our study, which may be due to sample overlap between the 2 groups. In addition, the small sample size for the well-differentiated group may be another reason.

To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to combine morphological and spectral parameters to assess the degree of differentiation of LUAD. As shown in Table 1, many morphological signs of LUAD (i.e., the vessel convergence sign, bronchus encapsulated air sign, and liquefactive necrosis) were higher in poorly-differentiated tumors than in well–moderately-differentiated tumors. In previous studies, the morphological characteristics of lung cancer were not combined with spectral parameters to assess the degree of differentiation of LUAD (7-10) and conventional CT has some limitations in identifying the degree of differentiation by morphological signs (22). Combining the morphological parameters with spectral parameters can better estimate the degree of differentiation.

There were still several potential limitations in our study. First, the results were limited by the relatively small sample. A larger sample is required to further confirm the reliability of the results. Second, because of calcification, enhanced vascular shadow, and liquefactive necrosis, it was difficult to be completely consistent in delineating the ROI on the images with the pathological section. Third, the spectral parameters of unenhanced CT were not included in this study, though they may be of certain significance for the identification of material components. Combining spectral parameters with morphology has better diagnostic efficacy than CT enhancement values, and thus can better reflect the pathological features of LUAD. In future research, longitudinal studies will need to be conducted, and more attention should be paid to the combination of spectral CT and morphological parameters to predict tumor invasion, lymph node metastasis, tumor recurrence, and prognosis.

In conclusion, DLCT quantitative parameters, especially NID in the arterial phase, may provide valuable information for the differentiation of well–moderately- and poorly-differentiated LUAD. In addition, the diagnostic efficiency can be further improved by combining the spectral and morphological parameters.
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