TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

Section	ltem		Checklist description	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	•				
Title	1	D;V	Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.	PAGE 1, LINE 2	Title
Abstract	2	D;V	Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	PAGE 2, LINE 22-37	Abstract
Introduction		•			•
Background and objectives	3а	D;V	Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	PAGE 3-5, LINE 45-79	Introduction, para1-4
	3b	D;V	Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	PAGE 5, LINE 80-83	Introduction, para 5
Methods			·		
Source of data	4a	D;V	Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, if applicable.	PAGE 5, LINE 86-93	Method, para1-2
	4b	D;V	Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable, end of follow-up.	PAGE 5, LINE 86-88 PAGE 6, LINE 104	Method, para1 Method, para4
Participants	5a	D;V	Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general population) including number and location of centres.	PAGE 5, LINE 86-92	Method, para1-2
	5b	D;V	Describe eligibility criteria for participants.	PAGE 5, LINE 96-97	Method, para3
	5c	D;V	Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	PAGE 6, LINE 102-103	Method, para3
Outcome	6a	D;V	Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and when assessed.	PAGE 6, LINE 104-105	Method, para4
	6b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	PAGE5-6, LINE 96-103	Method, para3
Predictors	7a	D;V	Clearly define all predictors used in developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including how and when they were measured.	PAGE5-6, LINE 96-103	Method, para3
	7b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	PAGE 5-6, LINE 96-99	Method, para3
Sample size	8	D;V	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	PAGE 7, LINE 121-134	Method, para6-8

Missing data	9	D;V	Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method.	PAGE 6, LINE 114-129	Method, para5
Statistical analysis methods	10a	D	Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses.	PAGE 7-8, LINE 136-143	Method, para9
	10b	D	Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation.	PAGE 8, LINE 146-155	Method, para10
	10c	V	For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated.	PAGE 8, LINE 154-155	Method, para10
	10d	D;V	Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models.	PAGE 8, LINE 151-154	Method, para10
	10e	V	Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done.	N/A	We did not make model update
Risk groups	11	D;V	Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done.	N/A	We did not group by risk factors
Development vs. validation	12	V	For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors.	PAGE 8, LINE 154-155	Method, para10
Results					1
Participants	13a	D;V	Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful.	PAGE 9, LINE 171-175	Results, para1
	13b	D;V	Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	PAGE 9, LINE 176-184	Results, para2
	13c	V	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	N/A	N/A
Model development	14a	D	Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	PAGE 9, LINE 174-175	Results, para1
	14b	D	If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and outcome.	N/A	N/A
Model specification	15a	D	Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	PAGE 12, LINE 232-233	Results, para7
	15b	D	Explain how to the use the prediction model.	PAGE 12, LINE 233-236	Results, para7
Model performance	16	D;V	Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	PAGE 12, LINE 233	Results, para7
Model- updating	17	V	If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	N/A	N/A
Discussion	1	1	1	1	1
Limitations	18	D;V	Discuss any limitations of the study (such as nonrepresentative sample, few events per predictor, missing data).	PAGE 15, LINE 308-314	Discussion, the last para

Interpretation	19a	V	For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data.	N/A	The 5000 bootstrap resamples were used for internal validation and the development set and validation set were not strictly divided		
	19b	D;V	Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, and results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	PAGE 14-15, LINE 290- 303	Discussion, para6		
Implications	20	D;V	Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research.	PAGE 15, LINE 305-308	Discussion, para7		
Other information							
Supplementary information	21	D;V	Provide information about the availability of supplementary resources, such as study protocol, Web calculator, and data sets.	N/A	N/A		
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	PAGE 16, LINE 327-330	Funding		

* Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.

Article Information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-23-150

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.