Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	No	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	No	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	No	

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	No	
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	No	
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID	No	

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	No	
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	No	

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	No	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Yes	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	1. Li Zhang 39y female 2. Yu-Ping Wang 35y female 3. Xiao-Fen Chen 35y female 4. Zi-Rogn Yan 35y male 5. Min Zhou 48y male	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.	No	

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	No	

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	A total of 22 patients undergoing elective thoracic surgery from January 2017 to August 2019 were eligible for this study.	
Randomisation	No	
Blinding	No	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Inclusion criteria: The ASA was classified as Grade I-III,The patients ranged from 2–12 months of age and weighed between 3.5–10 kg. Exclusion criteria: Patients whose family members did not consent to participate in the study, and patients who were complicated with heart and brain dysfunctions	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	No	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	No	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	the Ethics Committee of the Fujian Maternity and Child Health Hospital (Ethics No: 268, December 12, 2016)	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	No	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	No	

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	No	

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	No	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Normally distributed output data was presented as a mean ± SD and compared via t-test. Skewed data were summarized as the median (interquartile range). A comparison of pulmonary gas exchange indicies pre-OLV, during OLV, and post-OLV was performed using repeated measurement analysis of variance. Univariable linear regression was conducted to analyze the relationship between predictor variables at each OLV time point and each of the three outcome variables. Categorical variables were shown as frequency (percentage) and were evaluated with χ^2 test.	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	No	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	No	
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	No	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	No	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	No	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-20-391