## STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 1, Line 1-2	Title
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page3, Line 13-23 Page4, Line 1-2	Abstract, paragraph 3 and 4
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page5, Line1-25	Introduction
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page5, Line17-23	The second paragraph of introduction
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 1, Line 1-2 Page3, Line 5-6 Page6, Line3-10	Title, Abstract, study design setting
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page6, Line11- 24 Page7, Line1- 11	study design and setting
Participants	6	(a) <b>Cohort study</b> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <b>Case-control study</b> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <b>Cross-sectional study</b> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Page7, Line4-11	study population
		(b) <b>Cohort study</b> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <b>Case-control study</b> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	our study was not a matche d study	our study was not a matche d study
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page7, Line 12- 24 Page8, Line1-24 Page9, Line 1-7	definitions
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page9, Line 8-24 Page10, Line 1-3	statistical analysis

Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page9, Line 13-20	statistical analysis
Study size	10	Explain now the study size was annount	Page 6, Line 2-24 Page 7, Line 1-11	study design and setting
Quantitative variables	11	Explain now quantitative variables were narrated in the until group in applicable, accorded which group ingo were	Page9, Line 13-24 Page10, Line 1-3	statistical analysis

Statistical	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Page9, Line 13-24	statistical analysis	
methods			Page10, Line 1-3		
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	No subgroup analysis was applicable		
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Number of participants with	Statistical analysis	
		(-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -	missing data for each variable of interest was		
			indicated.		
		(d) <b>Cohort study</b> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	All infants were followed to		
		Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	NICU discharge.		
		Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy			
			No sensitivity was done.		
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	,		
Results					
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	Page 10, Line 9-14	results and tables	
·		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Tables		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	All eligible infants were		
			included. All eligible infants were		
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	included.		
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and	Table1	results	
· I		potential confounders			
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1	Table	
		(c) <b>Cohort study</b> —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	All infants were followed until discharge.		
Outcome data	15*	15* Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Page 10, Line 9-22	results	
			Page11 Line 1-11		
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure			
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures			
Main results	16	n results 16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95%	Page 10, Line 9-22	results and tables, statistica
		confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Page11 Line 1-11	1 analysis	
			Tables		
			Page9, Line 13-22		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Page 10, Line 9-22	results and tables	
			Page11 Line 1-11		
			Tables		
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Not relavant		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	We did not have other		
		I .	1	1	

			analyses	
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 11, Line 13-24 Page 11, Line 1-24 Page 12, Line1-13	discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page12, Line 14-25	discussion

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page 11, Line 13-24 Page 12, Line 1-24 Page 13, Line1-13	discussion	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 11, Line 13-24 Page 12, Line 1-24 Page 13, Line1-13 Page 14, Line 1-5	discussion	
Other information					
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page2, Line 15-17 Page 15, Line 13-14	State of financial support	

<sup>\*</sup>Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-20-232.

<sup>\*</sup>As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.