#### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

#### **Materials**

field: Provide species, sex and age where

in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID

Model organisms: Provide Accession number

possible

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		N/A
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		N/A
Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b>		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,  OR RRID		
********		21/0
<b>Primary cultures:</b> Provide species, strain, sex of		N/A
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		N/A
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		N/A

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		N/A
<b>Microbes:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	Table 1	

N/A

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	'Methods' section (para 7 line 7-8)	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	'Methods' section (para 7 line 8-9)	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	'Results' section (para 9 line 20-24)	

## **Design**

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		N/A
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	(maisse misse provided of the paragraphy)	
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Not carried out	
Randomisation		N/A
Blinding		N/A
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	All pediatric Down syndrome patients with BAL	
	culture results in the mentioned time frame were	
	selected.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	(manage mine promatal action, parage april	N/A
replicated in laboratory		.,,,,
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		N/A
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Institutional review board: Antwerp University	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	Hospital Ethics Committee, project 19/17/229.	
committee(s), provide reference number for	'Methods' section (para 7 line 6-7)	
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		N/A
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if	BAL samples were not collected for the purpose of	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of	BAL samples were not collected for the purpose of this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of	this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,	this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results from cultures were gathered retrospectively and	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results from cultures were gathered retrospectively and anonymized from patient charts.  'Methods' section (para 7 line 7-8)	n/a
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.  Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results from cultures were gathered retrospectively and anonymized from patient charts.	n/a
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.  Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	this study, but based on clinical need. Only the results from cultures were gathered retrospectively and anonymized from patient charts.  'Methods' section (para 7 line 7-8)	n/a N/A

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	'Results' section (para 10 line 1-2)	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	'Methods' section (para 8 line 14-17)	
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	'Methods' section (para 7 line 7)	
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		N/A
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		N/A
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		N/A
If code is publicly available, provide accession		N/A
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

## Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own propagate about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-20-460.	