Section/item	ltem No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page1-2/Line27-55	Abstract/Paragraph1-4
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page1-2/Line27-55	Abstract/Paragraph1-4
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page2-3/Line61-76	Introduction/Paragraph1
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page2-3/Line61-76	Introduction/Paragraph1
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page3-4/Line80-96	Methods/Paragraph1-2
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1
Participants	6	<ul> <li>(a) <i>Cohort study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up</li> <li><i>Case-control study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls</li> <li><i>Cross-sectional study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants</li> </ul>	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1
		(b) <b>Cohort study</b> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <b>Case-control study</b> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page4/Line99-107	Methods/Paragraph3
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	N/A	N/A
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Page3/Line80-89	Methods/Paragraph1

## STROBE Statement-checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

atistical 12	be all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding Methods/F	aragraph4
ethods	be any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Page4/Line110-113 Methods/F	Paragraph4
	n how missing data were addressed N/A N/A	
	t study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed htrol study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed ctional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	'aragraph4
	be any sensitivity analyses N/A N/A	
sults		
rticipants 13*	numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, l eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
	Page4Line116-118 Results/Page4Line116-118 Results/Page4Line1	ragraph1
	ler use of a flow diagram N/A N/A	
scriptive data 14*	naracteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pa	ragraph2-4
	e number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pa	ragraph2-4
	t study-Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pa	ragraph2-4
tcome data 15*	Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141 Result	ragraph2-4
	ntrol study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure N/A N/A	
	ctional study-Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures N/A N/A	
in results 16	hadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pag	ragraph2-4
	category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Page4-5/Line121-141	ragraph2-4
	ant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pa	ragraph2-4
ner analyses 17	her analyses done – eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses Page4-5/Line121-141 Results/Pa	ragraph2-4
scussion		
y results 18	be key results with reference to study objectives Page5-6/Line144-176 Discussion	1/Paragraph1-4
nitations 19	mitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction Page6/Line159-174 Discussion itude of any potential bias	n/Paragraph3
y results 18	be key results with reference to study objectives Page5-6/Line144-176 Discussion Discuss both direction Page6/Line159-174 Discussion	on

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page5-6/Line144-176	Discussion/Paragraph1-4				
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page5-6/Line144-176	Discussion/Paragraph1-4				
Other information								
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Pag68/Line179	Acknowledgments /Paragraph1				

\*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-21-352

\*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copy editing and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.