



The association between polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 (IL-4) promoter -589C/T gene and the risk of asthma

Yuxia Guo, Yanhong Du, Yue Du, Peipei Yu, Jiangfeng Wu[^]

Department of Ultrasound, The Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Dongyang, China

Correspondence to: Jiangfeng Wu. Department of Ultrasound, The Affiliated Dongyang Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, No. 60 Wuning West Road, Dongyang, 322100, China. Email: wjfhospital@163.com.

Comment on: Zhu L, Liu T, Wang L, *et al.* Polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 promoter -589C/T gene and the risk of asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transl Pediatr* 2021;10:2355-65.

Submitted Dec 07, 2021. Accepted for publication Feb 10, 2022.

doi: 10.21037/tp-21-581

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tp-21-581>

We read with interest the literature by Zhu *et al.* entitled “Polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 promoter -589C/T gene and the risk of asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis” (1). They demonstrated that CT, TT, and T gene polymorphisms were risk factors for asthma by the research of interleukin 4 (C-590T) gene polymorphism. Whereas, after a careful learning of this study, we would like to raise several critical points that may need to be elucidated in order to enhance the validity of the conclusions.

First, according to the results of meta-analysis, the odds ratio (OR) values of CT, TT and T gene polymorphisms were 1.05 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.89–1.24; P=0.59], 0.71 (95% CI: 0.33–1.52; P=0.38), and 1.98 (95% CI: 1.54–2.53; P<0.00001). Therefore, CT and TT gene polymorphisms were not statistically significant between patients with and without asthma because of P>0.05. Therefore, the conclusion that CT and TT gene polymorphisms were risk factors for asthma could not be confirmed.

Second, regarding the CT subgroups showed in figure 7, Zhu *et al.* found that CT gene polymorphism was a risk factor for asthma. However, we believe that the interpretation of the subgroup analysis was improper, as the differences between all 3 subgroups were not statistically significant (P=0.06). Hence, the conclusion that CT gene polymorphism was a risk factor for asthma could not be confirmed according to figure 7.

Third, with regard to the CC subgroups showed in

figure 8, Zhu *et al.* demonstrated that CC gene polymorphism was a risk factor for asthma. However, we consider that the interpretation of the subgroup analysis was incorrect, because the differences between the 2 subgroups were not statistically significant (P=0.9). Therefore, the conclusion that CC gene polymorphism was a risk factor for asthma could not be demonstrated according to figure 8.

Finally, in the data synthesis and statistical analysis section of this article, the authors depicted that the relative ratio (RR) was used as an effect size. Whereas, in this meta-analysis, the effect size was OR showed in all forest plots and the RR was not reported in the results section. So we believe that the irrelevant effect size depicted would undoubtedly result in misunderstanding.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tp.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tp-21-581/coif>). The authors

[^] ORCID: 0000-0002-5036-799X.

have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-

commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Zhu L, Liu T, Wang L, et al. Polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 promoter -589C/T gene and the risk of asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transl Pediatr* 2021;10:2355-65.

Cite this article as: Guo Y, Du Y, Du Y, Yu P, Wu J. The association between polymorphisms in the interleukin 4 (IL-4) promoter -589C/T gene and the risk of asthma. *Transl Pediatr* 2022;11(4):612-613. doi: 10.21037/tp-21-581