Preoperative capecitabine and pelvic radiation in locally advanced rectal cancer: preliminary results (Mansoura experience)

Abeer Hussien Anter¹, Ghada Ezzat Eladawei², Mahmoud Mosbah³

¹Clinical Oncology and Nuclear medicine Department, ²Clinical Oncology & Nuclear Medicine Department, ³Oncology Center, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt

Corresponding to: Dr. Abeer Hussien Anter, MD. Lecturer of Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt. Email: abeerantar75@yahoo.com.

Purpose: The aim of the study was to evaluate the efficacy and tolerance of pre-operative chemoradiotherapy with oral capecitabine in patients with locally advanced, resectable rectal cancer.

Patients and methods: Thirty six patients, 23 males and 13 females with a median age of 53 years (range, 19-68 years), with potentially resectable T3N0 (17%), T3N1 (64%) and T4N0-1 (19%) rectal cancer, were treated with capecitabine (825 mg/m², twice daily for 5 days/week) with concomitant radiotherapy (45 Gy/25 fractions) for 5 weeks. Approximately 6 weeks after completion of the chemoradiation, patients underwent resection according to the principles of total mesorectal excision followed by adjuvant chemotherapy consisting of oxaliplatin based regimen. The primary end points were to determine the clinical and pathological response, safety profile, preservation of the sphincter mechanism and rate of peri-operative complications.

Results: Down staging rate was 67% (22/33) on CT, MRI and/or endorectal ultrasonography, and 61% (20/33) on pathology findings. Pathological complete response rate was 21% (7/33). Two patients had grade 3/4 toxicity, which consisted of diarrhea (6%). Grade 1/2 toxicity was frequent, but always reversible. Sphincter-preserving surgery was possible in twenty-five patients (76%). Peri-operative complications were seen in 9 (27%) patients and included mechanical ileus (6%), delayed wound healing (12%), wound infection (3%), anastomotic leakage (3%) and pelvic collection (3%).

Conclusions: Pre-operative chemoradiotherapy with oral capecitabine in locally advanced, resectable rectal cancer achieves significant rates of tumor downstaging and sphincter preservation with a favorable safety profile.

Key Words: Capecitabine; chemotherapy; radiotherapy; rectal cancer



Submitted Feb 15, 2013. Accepted for publication Mar 18, 2013. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2224-4778.2013.03.01 Scan to your mobile device or view this article at: http://www.amepc.org/tgc/article/view/1737/2833

Introduction

The German Rectal Cancer Study Group established fluoropyrimidine-based preoperative chemoradiation as the standard of care for clinical stage II-III rectal cancer. This approach achieves slow rates of local recurrence, acceptable toxicity, and can facilitate sphincter preservation, although it leads to little benefit in survival compared with radiotherapy alone or postoperative chemoradiation (1,2).

5-fluorouracil (5-FU) is the most commonly administered

concurrent chemotherapy with pelvic radiotherapy (3-5). Comparing the efficacy of the two infusion methods, bolus *vs.* continuous infusion (CI) of 5-FU, CI is superior to bolus infusion in terms of tumor response and is associated with a slight increase in the overall survival of patients with advanced colorectal cancer (6-9).

While CI has the biological advantage of prolonging the exposure of cells to 5-FU and improving anti-tumor activity, its disadvantages include the need for indwelling catheters and infusion pumps, with potential complications, such as infection,

Translational Gastrointestinal Cancer, Vol 2, No 3 July 2013

bleeding, thrombosis, and pneumothorax, which can result from central venous access and patient discomfort (10,11).

Capecitabine is an orally administered fluoropyrimidine carbamate, which is preferentially converted to active 5-FU through a three-step enzymatic pathway in the liver and in the tumor cells (12,13). When administered on a twicedaily schedule, it may mimic the pharmacokinetics of a protracted 5-FU infusion. In a questionnaire-based study, most patients preferred oral chemotherapy to i.v. infusion with respect to the quality of life, as long as the therapeutic effects were equivalent. In addition, radiotherapy can upregulate thymidine phosphorylase in tumor cells but not in normal tissue. Thus, there may be a synergistic effect between radiotherapy and capecitabine (14,15).

Patient & methods

Patients

Prospective study was conducted in the Clinical Oncology and Nuclear Medicine Department and Surgical Oncology Unit, Mansoura University Hospital on 36 patients with locally advanced resectable rectal cancer between September 2010 and September 2012.

Eligibility

Patients were eligible if they presented with histologically confirmed rectal adenocarcinoma, tumor extension through the bowel wall (T3-T4) or pelvic lymph-node involvement (as determined by clinical work-ups, including computed tomography pelvic magnetic resonance imaging and/ or endoscopic ultrasound) and were aged ≥ 18 years with Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 2 or below, adequate hematological, liver and renal function and no evidence of distal metastatic spread at diagnosis. Patients who fulfilled the above eligibility criteria were made aware of the purpose and the design of the study and required to sign the informed consent.

Patients were excluded from the study if they had other than adenocarcinoma tumor histology, prior chemotherapy or radiotherapy in the pelvic region or other serious medical condition.

Pre-treatment evaluation

Baseline assessment and staging included history and physical examination, flexible colonoscopy with histological 113

confirmation of the tumor, pelvic and abdominal computerized tomography, pelvic magnetic resonance imaging and/or endorectal ultrasound were implemented. Chest X-ray, complete hematological and biochemical profile, including tumor markers (CEA, CA-19-9) were also assessed.

Treatment

Radiation therapy

The total irradiation dose of 45 Gy was delivered in conventional fractionation (daily fractions of 1.8 Gy/ five days per week over 5 weeks) using three-dimensional conformal techniques with high-energy photons (6-15 MV). The clinical target volume included the entire macroscopic tumor with a minimum margin of 5 cm, the mesorectum (plus 1.0-1.5 cm margin lateral to the pelvic brim), internal iliac and presacral lymph nodes up to the L5-S1 junction. The external iliac nodal chains are typically included only for T4 lesions. After CTV expansion of at least 1 cm to create a PTV, multiple field techniques were used; fields were arranged by taking into account doses delivered to normal tissues during radiotherapy for primary tumor. However, no specific dose-volume constraints were indicated by the treatment protocol. The dose was referred to a normalization point inside the PTV to obtain a homogeneity ranging between $\pm 5\%$ to the prescribed dose.

Chemotherapy

Capecitabine was administered continuously throughout the 5 weeks of radiotherapy course at 825 mg/m² given twice daily 5 days per week during the days when radiotherapy was administered. The first daily dose was given approximately 2 h before radiation treatment with the second dose taken 12 h after. Adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical resection was started at 4-6 weeks post-operatively and consisted of oxaliplatin based regimen.

Surgery

Surgery was planned approximately 6 weeks after the completion of chemoradiotherapy. In all cases, resection was performed according to the principles of total mesorectal excision, meaning sharp dissection under direct vision along the parietal pelvic fascia, preserving the pelvic hypogastric nerve supply. The decisions regarding which type of surgery (low-anterior or abdomino-perineal resection) and whether a temporary colostomy should be performed were left to the discretion of the surgical oncology team.

In sphincter-preserving procedures, a 2-cm disease-free

Table1 Patient characteristics (N=36)			
	No. of patients (N=36)		
	No	%	
Age (years)			
Median	53		
Range	19-68		
Gender			
Male	23	64	
Female	13	36	
ECOG			
0	10	28	
1	23	64	
2	3	8	
Distance from the anal verge (cm)			
Median	6		
Range	3-10		
TNM clinical stage			
T3N0	6	17	
T3N1	23	64	
T4N0-1	7	19	
ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group			

distal resection margin, both clinically and pathologically, was necessary in order for surgery to be regarded as a complete curative resection. Peri-operative complications included adverse events occurring within 30 days after surgery.

Follow up

During the course of chemoradiation therapy, patients were seen every week in order to not only assess acute toxicity and compliance with the chemoradiation but also to verify that oral capecitabine was taken properly. Hematology and biochemistry were monitored weekly during chemoradiotherapy and before each chemotherapy cycle. Treatment toxicity was monitored by use of the National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria, version 3.0. Appropriate chemotherapy dose adjustment was undertaken for any significant acute toxicity. Median follow up period was 12.5 months (ranging from 4-28 months).

Response to treatment

Evaluation of response was defined both clinically and pathologically. Clinical response was measured using

Anter et al. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer

the same clinical and imaging diagnostic tools employed prior tochemo-radiotherapy. A tumor and/or nodal downstaging was considered when pathological T (pT) and/ or pathological N (pN) was lower than clinical T and/or N as defined by computed tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging by at least one level after neoadjuvant chemoradiation. Pathological response was defined according to the pTNM staging system.

Results

Between September 2010 and September 2012, a total of 36 patients were enrolled in this study. Patients' characteristics are shown in *Table 1*. They included 23 male and 13 female with a median age of 53 years (range, 19-68 years). The majority of patients (64%) had T3, N1, and M0 stage of disease. The median distance from the lower pole of the primary tumor to the anal verge was 6 cm (range, 3-10 cm).

Most patients had a good performance status [ECOG 0 (28%) - ECOG 1 (64%)]. Only 33 patients were able to complete the treatment protocol and became evaluable for clinical response and safety profile, as well as pathological response and down-staging. The other 3 patients lost follow up during the course of chemo-radiotherapy.

Treatment toxicity

Of 36 patients, 33 completed the preoperative chemoradiation as initially planned. Treatment was well tolerated. The acute toxicity grades during preoperative concurrent chemoradiation are given in Table 2. Most adverse events were mild to moderate in intensity and all of them recovered spontaneously with supportive management. Such frequent toxicity included grade 1 and 2 [radiation dermatitis (55%), diarrhea (42%), fatigue (36%), hand-foot skin reaction (33%) and leucopenia (30%)]. Grade 3 toxicity occurred in 2 patients (6%) and consisted of diarrhea. Neither grade 4 toxicity nor treatment-related deaths were recorded. Among the 33 patients in our study, Six (12%) had a modification of the chemotherapy scheme during the course of treatment: temporary capecitabine interruption for one week in two patients because of toxicity (grade 3 diarrhea) and the dose was reduced in other two patients who developed grade 2 hand-foot skin syndrome during the last two weeks of treatment along with patient's preference.

Clinical response and down-staging

After completion of chemo-radiotherapy and before

Translational Gastrointestinal Cancer, Vol 2, No 3 July 2013

Table 2 Acute toxicity of concomitant chemoradiotherapy (N=33)			
	Grade 1/2		Grade 3/4
	Number	%	Number
Hematological			
Anemia	6	18	0
Leucopenia	10	30	0
Thrombocytopenia	2	6	0
Raised creatinine	0	0	0
Raised bilirubin	0	0	0
Gastrointestinal			
Nausea	9	27	0
Vomiting	7	21	0
Diarrhoea	14	42	2
Mucositis	8	24	0
Abdominal pain	7	21	0
Proctitis	9	27	0
Other			
Fatigue	12	36	0
Anorexia	6	18	0
Hand-foot skin syndrome	11	33	0
Radiation-dermatitis	18	55	0

definitive surgery, every patient was evaluated for clinical response. Tumor response was assessed by computerized tomography and/or magnetic resonance imaging, downstaging, (overall response) in T-category was observed in 22 patients (67%) and in N-category in 17 patients (52%). Down-staging on both T and N categories was achieved in 10 patients (30%). Stable disease was observed in 9 patients (27%), whereas disease progression on primary tumor was seen in 2 patients (6%).

Surgical results

Thirty-three patients who completed concomitant chemoradiotherapy with capecitabine underwent curative surgical resection. Twenty-five (76%) patients underwent low anterior resection (sphincter-preserving surgery). Eight (24%) patients underwent abdominal-perineal resection. No peri-operative deaths were recorded. One patient developed anastomotic leakage and reoperated because of peritonitis and underwent transient diversion procedure. Another patient reoperated since he developed pelvic collection. No severe peri-operative complications necessitating major intervention were encountered (*Table 3*).

%					
6					
0					
12					
3					
3					
3					
27					
Table 4 Pathological tumor response					
T4					
-					
2					
2					

Pathological response

Complete disappearance of the primary tumor on the pathology specimen was observed in 7 patients (21%). Overall tumor down-staging was reported in 20 patients (61%). Nodal down-staging was observed in 14 patients (42%) (*Table 4*).

Discussion

Since publication of the CAO/ARO/AIO-94 trial (1), fluoropyrimidine-based preoperative chemoradiation has become the standard of care for clinical stage II-III rectal cancer in many countries. Recently, two phase 3 randomized studies (16,17) have shown that capecitabine is equivalent to 5-FU (proven non-inferiority) in perioperative chemoradiation therapy. Therefore, capecitabine can be considered an acceptable alternative option to infusional 5-FU, because in these studies capecitabine given concurrently with radiation therapy is now listed in the guidelines as a catogory 2A recommendation (18).

Of the 33 patients enrolled in the study, 22 (67%) had a clinical response including 4 (12%) complete and 18 (55%) partial responses. These data are comparable with other experiences, which generally report a 58-70% clinical response rate (19,20) and less than those using the same protocol (21).

In our study, a pathologic complete response was achieved in 7 patients (21%). The rates of T down staging were 61%. From the preliminary reports on neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy with oral capecitabine, the complete pathological response rate varies from 4% to 31% (1,16,20,22,23). It is unlikely that the difference of treatment makes such a wide range in the pathological complete response rates because most studies, including ours, used conventional doses of radiation and capecitabine. It seems that the most probable reasons are the extent of pathological examination and the quality control of chemotherapeutic compliance and the proportion of T4 lesions. In our study T3 lesions represented 81% of cases while T4 lesions were only 19% which explained our result.

Our results also are comparable well with those reported with retrospective analyses of phase 2 studies where capecitabine was given in combination with radiotherapy which has shown cumulative pCR rates that are similar to infusional fluorouracil. Sanghera and colleagues (24) found similar pCR rates with capecitabine (17%) and infusional fluorouracil (20%) in a meta-analysis of 71 trials with a total of 4,732 patients.

The incidence of acute toxicity during capecitabineradiotherapy was very low. Grade 3/4 side-effects were observed in only 2 patients (6%). Similarly, low toxicity rates have been reported in other capecitabine-radiotherapy trials (23,25,26). In our study, even if mild and moderate toxicity were common, all patients enrolled were finally able to receive the whole capecitabine planned dose with dose modification in only 12% of patients. These data confirm the safety and feasibility of the treatment program, as well as the high level of compliance achieved.

All patients underwent curative surgical resection and most of them (25 out of 33) had a sphincter-preserving operation. These data on conservative surgery compare fairly well with other series (20,25,26). Although Bujko *et al.* (27), comparing two different preoperative approaches, showed no significant increase in sphincter preservation despite adequate clinical response rate, other study demonstrated a strong correlation between response to pre-operative chemoradiotherapy and the possibility of a sphincter-preserving procedure (28).

In the present study, post-operative complications were uncommon and consistent with those reported in similar studies (29), as well in the short-term pre-operative (21) and the conventional fractionated post-operative radiotherapy (30).

Overall, our results were in agreement with those trials using 5-FU based neoadjuvant chemoradiation in patients with locally advanced, resectable rectal cancer (5,22,25).

This trial has some limitations. One is the relatively small number of patients. Another factor is that it is a single institution study but our present study is the first Anter et al. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer

reported series on pre-operative chemoradiotherapy with capecitabine in our institution.

Conclusions

Capecitabine can be regarded as an effective, well tolerated, and convenient alternative to fluorouracilin patients undergoing neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer.

Acknowledgements

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Sauer R, Becker H, Hohenberger W, et al. Preoperative versus postoperative chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2004;351:1731-40.
- Sauer R, Liersch T, Merkel S, et al. Preoperative versus postoperative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: results of the German CAO/ARO/AIO-94 randomized phase III trial after a median follow-up of 11 years. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:1926-33.
- Grann A, Feng C, Wong D, et al. Preoperative combined modality therapy for clinically resectable uT3 rectal adenocarcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2001;49:987-95.
- Gérard JP, Conroy T, Bonnetain F, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy with or without concurrent fluorouracil and leucovorin in T3-4 rectal cancers: results of FFCD 9203. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:4620-5.
- Bosset JF, Collette L, Calais G, et al. Chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355:1114-23.
- O'Connell MJ, Martenson JA, Wieand HS, et al. Improving adjuvant therapy for rectal cancer by combining protracted-infusion fluorouracil with radiation therapy after curative surgery. N Engl J Med 1994;331:502-7.
- Efficacy of intravenous continuous infusion of fluorouracil compared with bolus administration in advanced colorectal cancer. Meta-analysis Group In Cancer. J Clin Oncol 1998;16:301-8.
- van Gijn W, Marijnen CA, Nagtegaal ID, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy combined with total mesorectal excision for resectable rectal cancer: 12-year follow-up of the multicentre, randomised controlled TME trial. Lancet Oncol 2011;12:575-82.

Translational Gastrointestinal Cancer, Vol 2, No 3 July 2013

- Grem JL. Systemic treatment options in advanced colorectal cancer: perspectives on combination
 5-fluorouracil plus leucovorin. Semin Oncol 1997;24:S18-8-S18-18.
- Rich TA, Shepard RC, Mosley ST. Four decades of continuing innovation with fluorouracil: current and future approaches to fluorouracil chemoradiation therapy. J Clin Oncol 2004;22:2214-32.
- Schüller J, Cassidy J, Dumont E, et al. Preferential activation of capecitabine in tumor following oral administration to colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2000;45:291-7.
- Twelves C, Wong A, Nowacki MP, et al. Capecitabine as adjuvant treatment for stage III colon cancer. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2696-704.
- Hirsch BR, Zafar SY. Capecitabine in the management of colorectal cancer. Cancer Manag Res 2011;3:79-89.
- 14. Bang YJ. Capecitabine in gastric cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2011;11:1791-806.
- 15. Bujko K, Glynne-Jones R, Bujko M. Does adjuvant fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy provide a benefit for patients with resected rectal cancer who have already received neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy? A systematic review of randomised trials. Ann Oncol 2010;21:1743-50.
- Hofheinz RD, Wenz F, Post S, et al. Chemoradiotherapy with capecitabine versus fluorouracil for locally advanced rectal cancer: a randomised, multicentre, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2012;13:579-88.
- Roh MS, Yothers GA, O'Connell MJ, et al. The impact of capecitabine and oxaliplatin in the preoperative multimodality treatment in patients with carcinoma of the rectum: NSABP R-04. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:abstr 3503.
- 18. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology (NCCN guidelines: rectal cancer; 2012 version 4. 2013; NCCN.org).
- Valentini V, Coco C, Picciocchi A, et al. Does downstaging predict improved outcome after preoperative chemoradiation for extraperitoneal locally advanced rectal cancer? A long-term analysis of 165 patients. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002;53:664-74.
- De Paoli A, Chiara S, Luppi G, et al. Capecitabine in combination with preoperative radiation therapy in locally advanced, resectable, rectal cancer: a multicentric phase II study. Ann Oncol 2006;17:246-51.
- Korkolis DP, Boskos CS, Plataniotis GD, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy with oral capecitabine in locally advanced, resectable rectal cancer. Anticancer Res 2007;27:541-5.

- 22. Gérard JP, Azria D, Gourgou-Bourgade S, et al. Comparison of two neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy regimens for locally advanced rectal cancer: results of the phase III trial ACCORD 12/0405-Prodige 2. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:1638-44.
- Dupuis O, Vie B, Lledo G, et al. Preoperative treatment combining capecitabine with radiation therapy in rectal cancer: a GERCOR Phase II Study. Oncology 2007;73:169-76.
- 24. Sanghera P, Wong DW, McConkey CC, et al. Chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer: an updated analysis of factors affecting pathological response. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2008;20:176-83.
- 25. Chan AK, Wong AO, Jenken DA. Preoperative capecitabine and pelvic radiation in locally advanced rectal cancer--is it equivalent to 5-FU infusion plus leucovorin and radiotherapy? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010;76:1413-9.
- 26. Bujko K, Nowacki MP, Nasierowska-Guttmejer A, et al. Sphincter preservation following preoperative radiotherapy for rectal cancer: report of a randomised trial comparing short-term radiotherapy vs. conventionally fractionated radiochemotherapy. Radiother Oncol 2004;72:15-24.
- 27. Crane CH, Skibber JM, Feig BW, et al. Response to preoperative chemoradiation increases the use of sphincter-preserving surgery in patients with locally advanced low rectal carcinoma. Cancer 2003;97:517-24.
- Kim JC, Kim TW, Kim JH, et al. Preoperative concurrent radiotherapy with capecitabine before total mesorectal excision in locally advanced rectal cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005;63:346-53.
- 29. Lee JH, Lee JH, Ahn JH, et al. Randomized trial of postoperative adjuvant therapy in stage II and III rectal cancer to define the optimal sequence of chemotherapy and radiotherapy: a preliminary report. J Clin Oncol 2002;20:1751-8.
- Braendengen M, Tveit KM, Berglund A, et al. Randomized phase III study comparing preoperative radiotherapy with chemoradiotherapy in nonresectable rectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3687-94.

Cite this article as: Anter AH, Eladawei GE, Mosbah M. Preoperative capecitabine and pelvic radiation in locally advanced rectal cancer: preliminary results (Mansoura experience). Transl Gastrointest Cancer 2013;2(3):112-117. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2224-4778.2013.03.01