



# Complexities in delivering end-of-life care in accordance with patient desires that have been documented

Kwok Ying Chan<sup>1^</sup>, Desmond Y. H. Yap<sup>2^</sup>, Harinder Singh Harry Gill<sup>3^</sup>, Ho Yan Au<sup>1</sup>, Chi Yan Wong<sup>1</sup>, Kwok Wai Tsang<sup>1</sup>, Man Lui Chan<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Palliative Medical Unit, Grantham Hospital, Hong Kong, China; <sup>2</sup>Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; <sup>3</sup>Division of Haematology, Medical Oncology and Haemopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, Department of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China; <sup>4</sup>Department of Psychiatry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Kwok Ying Chan, MD, MRCP, FHKCP. Palliative Medical Unit, Grantham Hospital, 125 Wong Chuk Hang, Hong Kong, China. Email: cky842@yahoo.com.hk.

*Comment on:* Malhotra C, Ramakrishnan C, Yue SMG. Challenges in providing end-of-life care consistent with documented patient preferences. *Ann Palliat Med* 2022;11:3610-9.

**Keywords:** Advance care planning (ACP); patient preferences; end-of-life (EOL)

Submitted Jan 05, 2023. Accepted for publication Jan 21, 2023. Published online Feb 03, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/apm-23-22

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-23-22>

It is commonly acknowledged that patients' ultimate goals and the treatment they receive near the end of life frequently diverge dramatically (1). For instance, even though the majority of participants stated that more over 70% of those mentioned they would prefer to die at home actually did it in hospitals, where they would have received more invasive or inappropriate care (1,2). Therefore, it appears that patient management is still actively treating patients for cures rather than honoring their wishes for end-of-life (EOL) care (3). In fact, some patients with metastatic or terminal illnesses may experience agony or distress as a result of unneeded procedures because they did not discuss their choices for EOL care with the treating physician (3).

Advance care planning (ACP) discussions are a helpful process to improve EOL care by better organizing and providing the patients' care in a way that satisfies their requirements and preferences. ACP dialogues are crucial exchanges that have the potential to influence our practice and empower our patients. Making decisions for the patients during an ACP session typically takes some time (for example, dialysis treatment). Individuals interested in providing palliative and EOL care could play a vital role

in the ACP discourse with further training will have an advantage in facilitating the dialogue (1).

Patients can indicate their expectations for the kind of care they expect to receive at the EOL through the advance statement in the ACP, which may include decisions or refusals of specific medical care, including cardiopulmonary resuscitation and some intensive treatments (4). Through the ACP process, it might be useful to clarify patients' objectives and preferences in advance of anticipated future decline and mental disability. This will guarantee that the EOL care expectations of patients with advanced cancer or end-stage organ failure who chose conservative therapy can be honored. It can be challenging for the professionals caring for these medically ill individuals to learn their preferences, especially for those without relatives (5). There is a potential that their clinicians won't be ready to make informed choices, which could lead to disagreements between various parties. In these circumstances, there is no documentation of the patient's wishes, expectations, or resuscitation status when they reach EOL and become mental incompetent in the dying phase, although most medical staff are well aware of the needless conflicts and

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: Kwok Ying Chan, 0000-0002-2521-297X; Desmond Y. H. Yap, 0000-0001-8179-8293; Harinder Singh Harry Gill, 0000-0002-9551-4893.

invasive interventions in hospital settings (5). According to one randomized controlled trial, patients in the ACP group were more likely to have their EOL preferences recognized and respected, and their family members also stated that the patients were less nervous or unhappy (6). A thorough assessment also showed that ACP could enhance patient and family satisfaction throughout the course of medical care (7).

Notably, the majority of patients with advanced cancers and medical illnesses still lack ACP, especially when disease-modifying medications are present.

Thus, it would be appropriate for mapping the barriers to ACP. Cabana and colleagues used a framework comprising knowledge, attitudes, and behavior to address the barriers preventing physicians from adhering to clinical guidelines (8). Other studies have outlined a number of obstacles to providing EOL care in accordance with recorded patient preferences. It has demonstrated that doctors typically support preferences listed in the ACP guidelines more when they are consistent with their clinical judgment and less when they are inconsistent with their treatment plan (9). In addition, one study showed that patients with advanced cancer/end organ failure patients have demonstrated that patients' preferences alter throughout time (10). The treating physician may not be able to claim with certainty that the preference documented in the ACP document is what the patient may have wished at that point in time if patient preferences change over time and ACP talks are not routinely repeated. Moreover, a lack of resources may make it difficult to provide EOL care that is in line with preferences (11). Furthermore, research indicates that clinicians have trouble locating patient wishes in medical records when deciding whether to EOL care (4,12). Studies have also demonstrated that structured ACP programs with in-depth training and public participation can change the culture of healthcare organizations to reflect patient preferences (4,13).

Elderly patients with dementia might have more complexities in achieving their EOL preferences. For instance, despite the relatively high proportion of residents who had completed do-not-hospitalize (DNH) orders at baseline, a study of 38% of surrogates still expressed a preference for care that included hospitalization, parenteral antibiotics, and hydration; the intervention had no effect on these care preferences at any point during follow-up (14). The goal of ACP is to harmonize patients' care preferences with their values and aspirations, not to alter well-informed preferences. The fact that the intervention had no impact on patients' care preferences or DNH orders may simply

be an indication that patients' declared values and aims had a stable influence on their choices. This finding also indicated some of the limitations of using ACP intervention (e.g., video assisted) in isolation to address the difficulties associated with ACP for dementia patients who are nearing EOL. Effective ACP interventions in late-stage dementia should assist the surrogate in identifying the patient's values and navigating morally challenging healthcare decisions by using substituted judgment (with its inherent limitations). The intervention undoubtedly aid in this, but they might not adequately mask the emotional undertones of these difficult choices. Making judgments under stressful circumstances is emotionally taxing, and decisions involving artificial nourishment and hydration in particular frequently elicit strong reactions, particularly when they touch on cultural, social, and religious values.

In addition to ACP interventions according to the stage of the disease, system-level constraints that currently prevent the implementation of care preferences must be overcome in order to lessen the costly treatments associated with advanced dementia. Systems of care must make sure that preferences are communicated to everyone involved in a patient's care. Additionally, external reporting of quality metrics must encourage care that is goal-concordant (14).

In a recent study, Malhotra and colleagues enabled a thorough examination of healthcare providers (HCPs)' opinions on ACP implementation (15). Five themes were conceptualized describing the challenges in implementing EOL consistent with patients' documented ACP preferences. This qualitative study showed different viewpoints which could not be obtained in a formal survey. It revealed the difficulties in facilitating EOL care in accordance with patients' recorded ACP preferences. These findings had significance for bettering future ACP program implementation. This study demonstrated that physicians and families, who have the authority to disregard patients' recorded wishes, must demonstrate a significant level of buy-in if patient autonomy shall be respected. Families and/or doctors might find it difficult to "let go" of the patient or they might feel that a particular course of treatment is "standard of care" and in the patient's "best interests".

There are several limitations of this study. Prior research with advanced cancer patients/heart failure patients has demonstrated that patients' preferences can shift over time. Rather than taking into account what they are likely to encounter in the future, patients frequently base their future ACP decisions on their current experiences. This is referred to as "projection bias" (16). The most recent findings

also demonstrate how rarely ACP materials are reviewed and changed to reflect patients' evolving desires. Thus, systematic procedures should be incorporated into future ACP implementations to make it a dynamic program rather than a one-time intervention. Additionally, the research excluded non-medical aspects of ACP (financial, burial, etc.) that could affect decisions about EOL care.

To enable them to view their mission as primarily delivering patient-centered care rather than primarily healing illness and extending life, doctors must undergo a mentality change. This is particularly important in complex acute care settings when a number of medical teams collaborate on patient care and treatment choices. Much has to be done to mainstream ACP conversations and educate the public about its advantages (17). Even though it might not always be possible or wise to provide EOL care in accordance with their preferences, future ACP programs should involve physicians and families for ongoing conversations, frequently update patients' ACP documents, include clear and well-resourced plans for implementing patients' preferences, and incorporate a well-designed and flexible electronic system to capture ongoing or incomplete conversations.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Palliative Medicine*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://apm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/apm-23-22/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-

commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Detering KM, Hancock AD, Reade MC, et al. The impact of advance care planning on end of life care in elderly patients: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2010;340:c1345.
2. Okada H, Kiuchi T, Okuhara T, et al. Effect of advance care planning discussions with trained nurses in older adults with chronic diseases in Japan. *Ann Palliat Med* 2022;11:412-22.
3. Lobb EA, Rao A, Sanderson C. Patients', caregivers' and clinicians' understandings of an advance care planning process: the example of ambulance palliative care plans. *Ann Palliat Med* 2021;10:6247-58.
4. Chan KY, Chiu HY, Yap DYH, et al. Impact of structured advance care planning program on patients' wish items and healthcare utilization. *Ann Palliat Med* 2021;10:1421-30.
5. Okada H, Morita T, Kiuchi T, et al. Health care providers' knowledge, confidence, difficulties, and practices after completing a communication skills training program for advance care planning discussion in Japan. *Ann Palliat Med* 2021;10:7225-35.
6. Huang Y, Liu H. Is there a need for advance care planning in China?—an interview survey of healthcare professionals in the neurology department. *Ann Palliat Med* 2021;10:11918-30.
7. Teno JM, Clarridge BR, Casey V, et al. Family perspectives on end-of-life care at the last place of care. *JAMA* 2004;291:88-93.
8. Blackwood DH, Walker D, Mythen MG, et al. Barriers to advance care planning with patients as perceived by nurses and other healthcare professionals: A systematic review. *J Clin Nurs* 2019;28:4276-97.
9. Malhotra C, Bundoc FG, Sim D, et al. Instability in Preference for Place of Death Among Patients With Symptoms of Advanced Heart Failure. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021;22:349.e29-34.
10. Malhotra C, Hu M, Malhotra R, et al. Instability in End-of-Life Care Preference Among Heart Failure Patients: Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial in Singapore. *J Gen Intern Med* 2020;35:2010-6.
11. Cheung KC, Lau VW, Un KC, et al. Advance care planning for patients with advanced neurology diseases.

- Ann Palliat Med 2018;7:349-54.
12. Chan KY, Yip T, Yap DY, et al. Enhanced Psychosocial Support for Caregiver Burden for Patients With Chronic Kidney Failure Choosing Not to Be Treated by Dialysis or Transplantation: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2016;67:585-92.
  13. Malhotra C, Koh LE, Teo I, et al. A Prospective Cohort Study of Stability in Preferred Place of Death Among Patients With Stage IV Cancer in Singapore. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2021;20:20-8.
  14. Mitchell SL, Shaffer ML, Cohen S, et al. An Advance Care Planning Video Decision Support Tool for Nursing Home Residents With Advanced Dementia: A Cluster Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2018;178:961-9.
  15. Malhotra C, Ramakrishnan C, Yue SG. Challenges in providing end-of-life care consistent with documented patient preferences. *Ann Palliat Med* 2022;11:3610-9.
  16. Loewenstein G. Projection bias in medical decision making. *Med Decis Making* 2005;25:96-105.
  17. Chan KY, Chan TSY, Gill H, et al. Supportive care and symptom management in patients with advanced hematological malignancies: a literature review. *Ann Palliat Med* 2022;11:3273-91.

**Cite this article as:** Chan KY, Yap DYH, Gill HSH, Au HY, Wong CY, Tsang KW, Chan ML. Complexities in delivering end-of-life care in accordance with patient desires that have been documented. *Ann Palliat Med* 2023;12(2):258-261. doi: 10.21037/apm-23-22