



## PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
<b>TITLE</b>				
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	Page1/Line3-4	Title/Paragraph1
<b>ABSTRACT</b>				
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	Page1/Line33-Page2/Line 60	Abstract/Paragraph1-4
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>				
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	Page3/Line101-Page4/Lin	Introduction/Paragraph2
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	Page4/Line109-111	Introduction/Paragraph2
<b>METHODS</b>				
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number.	Page4/Line116-128	Methods/Paragraph1
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	Page5/Line132-Page6/Line152	Methods/Paragraph2
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	Page4/Line126-127	Methods/Paragraph1
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	No individual search example	No such content
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	Page5/Line161-164	Methods/Paragraph4
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	Page5/Line164-Page6/Line169	Methods/Paragraph4
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	Page5/Line165-167	Methods/Paragraph4

Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	Page5/Line173-176	Methods/Paragraph5
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	Page6/Line180-181	Methods/Paragraph6
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I <sup>2</sup> ) for each meta-analysis.	Page6/Line181-184	Methods/Paragraph6
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	Page5/Line173-176	Methods/Paragraph5
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	Page6/Line184-191	Methods/Paragraph6
<b>RESULTS</b>				
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	Page6/Line196-198	Results/Paragraph1
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	Page6/Line198-201	Results/Paragraph1
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	Page7/Line207-210	Results/Paragraph2
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each intervention group (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	Page7/Line207	Results/Paragraph2
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	Page7/Line212-Page8/Line256	Results/Paragraph3-7
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	Page8/Line262-263	Results/Paragraph8
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	Page8/Line260-261	Results/Paragraph8
<b>DISCUSSION</b>				
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers).	Page9/Line303-Page11/Line354	Discussion/Paragraph4-6
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias).	Page11/Line355-364	Discussion/Paragraph7
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	Page11/Line365-370	Discussion/Paragraph8

FUNDING				
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	None	None

**From:** Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Med 6(7): e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed1000097

For more information, visit: [www.prisma-statement.org](http://www.prisma-statement.org).

Article Information: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-974>

\*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.