<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
	n/a
	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
	n/a
	n/a
	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
	n/a
	n/a
Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Yes, in Methods section. Page 6, from line 115 to line	
116	
119.	1
Yes, in Methods section. Page 5, from line 103 to line	
	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Yes, in Methods section. Page 6, from line 115 to line 116 Yes, in Methods section. Page 6, from line 116 to line

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/a
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		_
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		n/a
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		n/a
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		n/a
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Yes, in Methods section. Page 5, from line 107 to line 110.page 6, from line 111 to line112.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		n/a
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		n/a
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes, in Methods section. Page 6, from line 115 to line 116.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		n/a
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Yes, in Methods section. Page 6, from line 116 to line 119.	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		n/a

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Yes, in Methods section. Page 5, from line107 to line 110, page 6, from line 111 to line 112.	n/a
Statistics Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Yes, in Methods section. Page 9, from line 178 to line 183.	n/a
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		n/a
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		n/a
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		n/a
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		n/a
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/a

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of	Yes, in Footnote section. Page 14, from line 291 to line	
discipline-specific guidelines, established and	297.	
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-635