TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation.

Section/Topic	Item		Checklist Item	Page
Title and abstract				
Title	1	D;V	Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.	Page 1, Line 1, Title
Abstract	2	D;V	Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	Page 2, Line 22-36, Abstract
Introduction				
Background and objectives	3a	D;V	Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	Page 3-4, Line 56-83, Introduction, Paragraph 2-4
	3b	D;V	Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	Page 4-5, Line 84-89, Introduction, Paragraph 5
Methods				
Source of data	4a	D;V	Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, if applicable.	Page 5, Line 94-97, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 1
	4b	D;V	Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable, end of follow-up.	Page 5, Line 94-97, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 1
Participants	5a	D;V	Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general population) including number and location of centres.	Page 5, Line 94-97, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 1
	5b	D;V	Describe eligibility criteria for participants.	Page 5, Line 104-106, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 2
	5c	D;V	Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	N/A. Our study is an observational study.
Outcome	6a	D;V	Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and when assessed.	Page 6, Line 127-129, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 4
	6b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	N/A. Our study is an observational study. None blind assessment has been done.

Predictors	7a	D;V	Clearly define all predictors used in developing the multivariable prediction model, including how and when they were measured.	Page 6, Line 117-125, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 3
	7b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	N/A. Our study is an observational study. None blind assessment has been done
Sample size	8	D;V	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	Page 6, Line 110-112, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 2
Missing data	9	D;V	Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method.	Page 5, Line 107, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 2
Statistical analysis methods	10a	D	Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses.	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not develop new models.
	10b	D	Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation.	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not develop new models.
	10c	V	For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated.	Page 6, Line 124-125, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 3
	10d	D;V	Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models.	Page 7, Line 133-137, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 5
	10e	V	Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done.	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not update the model.
Risk groups	11	D;V	Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done.	Page 7, Line 137-139, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 5
Development vs. validation	12	V	For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors.	Page 5, Line 105-106, Subjects and methods, Paragraph 2
Results				
	13a	D;V	Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful.	**Figure 1**

Participants	13b	D;V	Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	**Table 1, Figure 1** and Page 7-8, Line 146-154, Results, Paragraph 1
	13c	V	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	**Table 1, Figure 1** and Page 7-8, Line 146-154, Results, Paragraph 1
Model development	14a	D	Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not develop new models.
	14b	D	If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and outcome.	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not develop new models.
Model specification	15a	D	Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	Page 8, Line 157, Results, Paragraph 2
	15b	D	Explain how to use the prediction model.	Page 9, Line 190-191, Results, Paragraph 4
Model performance	16	D;V	Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	**Table 2** and Page 8-9, Line 170-179, Results, Paragraph 3
Model-updating	17	V	If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	N/A. We only compared predictive values of present severity scales. We did not update models.
Discussion				
Limitations	18	D;V	Discuss any limitations of the study (such as nonrepresentative sample, few events per predictor, missing data).	Page 12, Line 242-252, Discussion, Paragraph 5
Interpretation	19a	V	For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data.	Page 10-11, Line 211-224, Discussion, Paragraph 3
	19b	D;V	Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	Page 9-12, Line 193-254, Discussion
Implications	20	D;V	Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research.	Page 12, Line 256-260, Conclusion
Other information				

Supplementary information	21	D;V	Provide information about the availability of supplementary resources, such as study protocol, Web calculator, and data sets.	Page 13, Line 263, Data availability
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	Page 13, Line 264-267, Funding
Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-1355 *As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/ paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.				

*Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.