## <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

# **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		n/a
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain.		n/a
Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b>		, .
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		n/a
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		n/a
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a
accession number if available, and source		.,.
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		n/a
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		n/a
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		n/a

### <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/a
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Vac (indicate where provided	
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
by-step protocols are available.	Our data come from hospital laboratory.	
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		n/a
done <b>, or</b> if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Time decided	
Randomisation		
Blinding		
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		
Sample definition and in laboratory replication	Vac (indicate where provided.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication State number of times the experiment was	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
replicated in laboratory		n/a
Define whether data describe technical or biological		n/a
replicates		n/a
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Proved by Ethic Committee of the Xiangya	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	Hospital of Central South University	
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		n/a
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		n/a
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
explain why.	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
explain why. Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
explain why.	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a n/a

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	We retrospectively enrolled severe lung cancer patients	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were	with critical factors, including lung cancer patients with	
determined and specified in advance.	worse ECOG PS scores, rapid disease progression after	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	The categorical variables were compared by Chi-square	
tests.	tests and continuous variables by ANOVA tests. The	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		n/a
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		n/a
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/a

### **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-20-2229