

The association between cytochrome P450 polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Xingren Liu^{1#}, Song Ren^{2#}, Jingwei Zhang^{3#}, Dalin Xu⁴, Feifei Jiang⁵, Peidu Jiang⁶, Jing Feng⁷, Fei Deng²

¹Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China; ²Department of Nephrology, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China; ³Department of Laboratory Medicine, Chengdu Second People's Hospital, Chengdu, China; ⁴Department of Nephrology, Yilong County People's Hospital, Nanchong, China; ⁵Department of Nephrology, Chengdu Jinniu District People's Hospital, Chengdu, China; ⁶Department of Pharmaceutical, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China; ⁷Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: X Liu, F Deng; (II) Administrative support: F Deng; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: J Zhang, F Deng; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: J Zhang, D Xu, F Jiang, P Jiang, J Feng, F Deng; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: S Ren, F Deng; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Jing Feng. Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, No. 32 First Ring Road, Qingyang District, Chengdu 610072, China. Email: fengjing_here@126.com; Fei Deng. Department of Nephrology, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, No. 32 First Ring Road, Qingyang District, Chengdu 610072, China. Email: fengjing_here@126.com; Fei Deng. Department of Nephrology, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, No. 32 First Ring Road, Qingyang District, Chengdu 610072, China. Email: fengjing_here@163.com.

Background: Isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RMP), pyrazinamide (PZA), and ethambutol (EMB) are the four most common drugs for the first-line treatment of tuberculosis (TB). Although chemotherapy drugs are widely used in the treatment of TB, and achieved good results, but the side effects, especially anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury (ATDILI), cannot be overlooked. Many researchers have made efforts to uncover the association of cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme genetic polymorphisms with ATDILI. In this study, we systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed the relationship between CYP polymorphism and susceptibility to ATDILI.

Methods: We carried out literature searches of PubMed, Ovid, the Cochrane Library, Web of Science and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI). Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms including "cytochrome P450 enzyme", "drug-induced liver injury", "polymorphism", "tuberculosis", and "hepatotoxicity" were used as keywords for our searches.

Results: The pooled odds ratio (OR) of all studies for CYP2E1 to the risk of ATDILI was 1.18 [95% confidence interval (CI): 0.82–1.71]. The articles in this meta-analysis were observed to be mildly heterogeneous. Further subgroup analysis revealed that the patients who receiving a four-drug protocol (INH + RIF + PZA + EMB) or three-drug protocol (INH + RIF + PZA) regimens showed a higher risk of ATDILI than those who receiving INH alone. However, subgroup analyses according to participants' ethnic origin, study type, and the definition of ATDILI produced no statistically significant results. Associations between other genes in the CYP family and ATDILI were indistinct and equivocal.

Discussion: Our meta-analysis has uncovered an association between CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ATDILI, especially among patients who receive a four-drug (INH + RIF + PZA + EMB) or three-drug (INH + RIF + PZA) anti-TB treatment regimen.

Keywords: Meta-analysis; cytochrome P450 enzyme (CYP enzyme); anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury (ADTILI); genetic polymorphism; hepatoxicity

6519

Submitted Apr 07, 2021. Accepted for publication Jun 11, 2021 doi: 10.21037/apm-21-1224 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1224

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a worldwide infectious disease caused by Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which takes more lives than all other infectious diseases. Drug-resistant TB poses a serious threat to public health systems all over the world. Owing to the forward steps made in treating and preventing TB, in 2019, it is estimated that there will be 9.96 million new TB cases and 1.41 million deaths in the world. Since 2007, TB has been ranked as one of the top ten causes of death in the world and ranked first among all infectious diseases (1). Although novel diagnostic methods, medications, and vaccination for TB have been trialed, the most recommended drugs for the first-line treatment of TB are still isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RMP), pyrazinamide (PZA), and ethambutol (EMB) with/without streptomycin. Chemotherapy drugs which can effectively treat TB are widely available, but their side effects cannot be overlooked, with the severest being anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury (ADTILI). If the patient has ADTILI but fails to identify and stop treatment in time, the patient may have serious adverse reactions or even death.

N-acetyltransferase 2 (NAT2) initially metabolizes INH into acetylisoniazid, which is subsequently hydrolyzed to acetylhydrazine. NAT2 was further acetylated to produce a non-toxic metabolite diacetylhydrazine. Acetylhydrazine can also be oxidized by cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP2E1), generating hepatotoxins including acetyldiazene, acetylonium ion, acetyl radical, and ketene. More than NAT2 and CYP2E1, a relationship may potentially exist between polymorphisms in genes coding for the microsomal cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, such as CYP3A4, and ATDILI. RMP induces CYP, resulting the level of toxic products increased after INH metabolism (2). The pregnane X receptor (PXR) closely modulates gene expression in the hepatic drug-clearance system, and its unwanted activation can contribute to ATDILI (3). RMP mediates the activation of PXR, which operates as a pivotal factor which controls xenobiotic and drug-mediated induction of the CYP3A, CYP2B, and CYP2C subfamilies (4). CYP enzymes may be of crucial importance to reactive metabolite synthesis and detoxification (5). Researchers have concentrated efforts toward depicting the association between ATDILI and CYP

enzymes, such as CYP2B6 (6), CYP2C9, CYP2C19 (7), and CYP2D6 (8); however, their results have been controversial and contradictory.

To our knowledge, four meta-analyses regarding ATDILI's relationship with *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms have been conducted previously (9-12). However, these studies have some inadequacies; for instance, none of them included studies published after 1st August, 2015, and none of them reviewed any other CYPs. In the present work, we systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed all published articles examining the extent of the supposed genetic relationship between CYP polymorphism and susceptibility to ATDILI.

We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1224).

Methods

Search strategy

We performed searches of Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) to identify relevant studies written in Chinese or English and published before June 11, 2018. The following Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were used as keywords for our searches: "cytochrome P450 enzyme", "drug-induced liver injury", "polymorphism", "tuberculosis", and "hepatotoxicity". We also manually screened the references from published meta-analyses to identify any other literature of potential interest.

Eligibility screening

To be included in the meta-analysis, studies needed to meet the following criteria: (I) the study was published in peerreviewed journals and the original data were available; (II) the study included details of genotypic distribution of polymorphisms for the ATDILI groups and the control group; (III) the type of study design as a case-control or cohort study; and (IV) the details of the included studies included the definition of ATDILI and the detailed treatment plan of the patients. The exclusion criteria were: (I) published literature repeatedly; (II) reviews and meta-analyses; (III) animal research; (IV) no association with CYPs.

Data extraction and assessment of study quality

Two authors extracted information from each article independently, including: the name of the first author; publication year; study participants' age, sex, and ethnicity; diagnostic criteria; genotyping method; numbers of participants in the case group and control group; and the frequency of genotypes among the ATDILI and control groups. We contacted the authors directly if the study participants' chemotherapy protocol were not mentioned in the studies. A response was received from one query, and the article was included (13). If there were differences on the included articles, the two authors discussed and decide whether to include. We assessed the quality of the studies based on the criteria revised by Deng (11).

Statistical analyses

CYP2E1 genotypes were analyzed according to the genetic model of proposed risk (C1/C1 vs. C1/C2 and C2/C2 for the RsaI/PstI polymorphism). All data were statistically analyzed with STATA version 14.0 (Stata, College Station, TX, USA) and Review Manager, version 5.3 (Revman, Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration). The standard Q-statistic test was adopted to assess the studies for heterogeneity. Heterogeneity was evidenced by $I^2 > 50\%$. If heterogeneity was present, we would employ the fixedeffects model; in its absence, the random-effects model would be adopted. Summary odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to analyze the correlation of genotypes with the risk of ATDILI in patients, with P<0.05 being an indication of statistical significance. We conducted subgroup analyses according to: (I) ethnicity (East Asian, South Asian, or South American); (II) chemotherapy protocol; (III) the study design: cohort or case-control; and (IV) the definition of ATDILI based on a minimum serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level the upper limit of normal (ULN). We tested the study results for stability by performing a sensitivity analysis, and Begg's funnel plot was used for publication bias detection.

Results

Study characteristics

From the 116 articles originally identified in our searches, 38 eligible studies were finally included. After reading these papers carefully, we found that 2 articles had duplicated data. Therefore, 37 studies described the relationship between CYP polymorphism and susceptibility to ATDILI, of which 30 studies were related to CYP2E1 (2 focused on both CYP2E1 and other CYPs), and 7 studies focused on the distribution of other CYPs. The selection process for the eligible studies is depicted in Figure 1. Among the 30 articles focusing on CYP2E1, 29 articles discussed CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ATDILI, and 1 article discussed other tag single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in CYP2E1 (14). Further, 4 articles included participants with CYP3A4 (6,7,15,16), 2 articles included participants with, CYP2B6 (6,17), 2 articles with CYP2C9 (7,18), 2 articles with CYP2C19 (7,15,19), and 3 articles with CYPC3A5 (6,15,18) polymorphisms, respectively.

Eventually, 29 studies involving 7,526 patients (1,548 in the case group and 5,978 in the control group) satisfied the criteria for inclusion and were subsequently meta-analyzed. Among them, 11 articles included participants of Chinese ethnicity (8,14,20-29), 7 articles included Indian participants (30-35), 5 articles included Brazilian participants (2,13,36-38), 2 included Korean participants (39,40), 3 articles included participants of more than 1 ethnicity (41-43), 1 included Indonesian participants (44), 1 included Japanese participants (45), and 1 included Tunisian participants (46). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was the method used most frequently to detect genotypes. Nine articles were case-control studies, and 19 were cohort studies (of which 1 was retrospective, and the others were prospective); the design method is not clear in one study

The main characteristics were summarized in *Table 1*. Of the patients who underwent the anti-TB treatment 1,548 case were with ADTIH, while 5,978 were not.

Association of CYP2E1 gene with ATDILI

A total of 28 studies reported an association between the *CYP2E1* gene and ATDILI, and there was obvious



Figure 1 Flow chart of selecting studies for this meta-analysis. CNKI, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure; CYP, cytochrome P450; CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1.

heterogeneity among the studies (χ^2 =139.89, I²=81%, P<0.05); consequently, we adopted the random-effects approach for the meta-analysis. The pooled OR of all studies for CYP2E1 to the risk of ATDILI was 1.18 (95% CI: 0.82-1.71, P=0.37, Figure 2). This result showed that CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI polymorphisms were not statistically associated with ATDILI. We further performed subgroup analysis according to ethnicity, with the ethnic groups in the studies mainly including East Asians, South Asians, and South Americans. However, There was no significant difference between subgroups (P=0.31, Figure 3). Subgroup analysis was performed according to the participants' drug protocol. The results showed that the patients who receiving a four-drug protocol (INH + RIF + PZA + EMB) or three-drug protocol (INH + RIF + PZA) regimens showed a higher risk of ATDILI than those who receiving INH alone (OR =1.33, 95% CI: 1.14-1.55; OR =2.32, 95% CI: 1.54-3.50) and OR =1.32, 95% CI: 0.64-2.76, respectively) (Figure 4). Additionally, we analyzed the subgroups according to study type (cohort design or casecontrol) and the definition of ATDILI (ALT >3 ULN or ALT >2 ULN); however, no significant difference was found between the subgroups (*Figures* 5, 6).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

Low-quality studies were excluded in sensitivity analysis to determine whether the literature quality was the source of heterogeneity. Sensitivity analysis after the elimination of low quality literature (score <6) was carry out. We found that there was still extremely obvious heterogeneity between the studies, indicating that the source of heterogeneity was not the quality of the literature. Begg's funnel plot analysis of the 29 included studies concerning CYP1E2 and ATDILI suggested an acceptable level of publication bias (t=1.60, P=0.122) (*Figure 7*).

Associations of other genes in the CYP family with ATDILI

Nine articles focused on the relationships of other genes in the CYP family with ATDILI. Although the heterogeneity among the articles was significant, there was some consistency. For instance, the 516 TT homozygous mutant of *CYP2B6* was used for the risk analysis. One study base on Chinese population suggested male patients harboring the *CYP2B6* *6/*6 genotype to potentially have lower susceptibility to developing ATDILI than female (P=0.039,

Table	• 1 Characteristics	of the studies include	ed in the me	eta-analy	rsis									
Č	Ĩ		Chindry	Ma	le (N)	Mean aç	ge (year)	Case	(N) *e	Contr	ol* (N)			Conctuning
score	ty Study	Year Population	design	Case	Control	Case	Control	C1/C1	C1/C2 + C2/C2	C1/C1	C1/C2 + C2/C2	Drug protocol	definition	method
7	Ben Fredj (46)	2017 Tunisian	Cohort	œ	41	36	36	÷	0	54	9	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
9	Artini (44)	2017 Indonesia	Cohort	5	18	NA**	NA**	ы	5	19	÷	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Zhang (8)	2016 Chinese	Case -control	92	92	52.4	53.1	70	44	80	34	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Heinrich (13)	2016 Brazilian	Cohort	**AN	NA**	NA**	NA**	14	Ø	69	24	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Q	Feng (21)	2014 Chinese	Case -control	118	118	48.8	48.6	136	37	97	76	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >3 ULN	PCR
9	Zaverucha- do-Valle (2)	2014 Brazilian	Cohort (R [†])	NA**	NA**	NA**	NA**	48	б	74	4	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Xiang (27)	2014 Chinese	Cohort	NA**	NA**	37	46	58	5	126	336	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	AST >2 ULN	PCR
7	Sharma (35)	2014 Indian	Cohort	63	145	35.2	27.6	81	24	139	46	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Singla (34)	2014 Indian	Cohort	E	241	48.8	32.7	15	5	375	16	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Rana (32)	2014 Indian	Cohort	33	152	43.6	42.3	28	27	150	95	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Brito (36)	2014 Brazilian	Cohort	7	AA	38.1	36.8	13	7	195	35	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Santos (38)	2013 Brazilian	Cohort	10	124	47.7	45.6	15	ю	173	56	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >3 ULN	PCR
7	Gupta (30)	2013 Indian	Cohort	24	66	37	38	49	-	156	ი	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Chamorro (41)	2013 Combined	Cohort	19	83	27	59	30	17	83	45	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Mishra (31)	2013 Indian	Cohort	NA**	NA**	NA**	NA**	31	2	168	Ŋ	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
2	Tang (25)	2012 Chinese	Cohort	65	260	43.7	43.6	56	33	225	1	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Table	: 1 (continued)													

Table	• 1 (continued)													
			04.12V	Mal	e (N)	Mean aç	je (year)	Case	e* (N)	Contr	ol* (N)			Conctinue
score	^{ty} Study	Year Population	design	Case	Control	Case (Control	C1/C1	C1/C2 + C2/C2	C1/C1	C1/C2 + C2/C2	Drug protocol	definition	method
7	An (20)	2012 Chinese	Case -control	56	75	34	28	72	29	64	43	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR
Ŋ	Zhou (23)	2012 Chinese	Case -control	NA**	**AN	NA**	NA**	65	35	51	49	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR
7	Teixeira (37)	2011 Brazilian	Case -control	16	74	47.58	42.99	23	ო	128	13	At least INH	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
9	Sotsuka (45)	2011 Japanese	Cohort	18	68	59.8	50.4	5	o	09	32	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Wang (26)	2010 Chinese	Case -control	70	75	48.6	44.68	82	22	71	40	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
9	Lee (29)	2010 Chinese	Cohort	70	75	58.4	54.9	26	19	55	40	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR
9	Chen (28)	2010 Chinese	Cohort	81	156	45.9	45.7	76	27	143	93	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Kim (40)	2009 Korean	Cohort	16	74	47.6	43	54	12	97	56	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
7	Yamada (43)	2009 Combined	Cohort	ი	63	NA**	NA**	17	9	107	40	HNI	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
2	Cho (39)	2007 Korean	Cohort	9	51	51.2	46.7	10	œ	65	49	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR
9	Vuilleumier (42)	2006 Combined	Cohort	NA**	NA**	NA**	NA**	7	-	44	37	INH + B6	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Ŋ	Roy (33)	2006 Indian	AN	œ	64	NA	NA	7	-	66	2	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	NA**	PCR-RFLP
2	Huang (22)	2003 Chinese	Cohort	ი	40	70	29	37	12	148	121	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
*, cas cohoi ULN,	ses were TB patier t studies. ATDILI, upper limit of norr	nts with ATDILI; cor anti-tuberculosis c nal; PCR, polymera	ntrols were Jrug-induce Ise chain re	TB pati d liver i action; l	ents with injury; IN 3FLP, res	iout ATD H, isonia triction f	llLl; **, no ∍zid; RMF 'ragment l	indepen , rifampi length pc	ident data cin; PZA, _I olymorphisi	available pyrazinan m; TB, tu	; [†] , retrosp nide; EMB berculosis	bective cohort stud , ethambutol; ALT	dy, others were , alanine amin	e prospective otransferase;

Liu et al. The association of CYP2E1 with ATDILI: a meta-analysis

	ATD	Н	non-A	TDH		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
An2012	72	101	64	107	4.5%	1.67 [0.93, 2.98]	
Artini2017	3	5	19	30	2.1%	0.87 [0.13, 6.03]	10 10 10 10
Brito2014	13	15	195	230	2.7%	1.17 [0.25, 5.40]	
Chamorro2013	30	47	83	128	4.3%	0.96 [0.48, 1.92]	2 1
Cho H.J2007	10	18	65	114	3.7%	0.94 [0.35, 2.56]	
F.M. Feng2014	136	173	97	173	4.7%	2.88 [1.80, 4.61]	100 million (100 m
Gupta2013	49	50	156	165	1.9%	2.83 [0.35, 22.87]	Alternative and and
Heinrich2016	14	23	69	93	3.8%	0.54 [0.21, 1.41]	
Jinling Zhang2016	70	114	80	114	4.6%	0.68 [0.39, 1.17]	
Lee S.W2010	26	45	55	95	4.3%	1.00 [0.49, 2.04]	200 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100 - 100
Mishra2013	31	33	168	173	2.5%	0.46 [0.09, 2.49]	
Rana S2014	28	55	150	245	4.5%	0.66 [0.36, 1.18]	
Roy B2006	7	8	99	101	1.5%	0.14 [0.01, 1.76]	20 40 40
S. K. Sharma2014	81	105	139	185	4.5%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	+
Sang-Heon Kim2009	54	66	97	153	4.3%	2.60 [1.28, 5.27]	
Santos 2013	15	18	173	229	3.2%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80]	
Singla2014	15	17	375	391	2.7%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	
Sotsuka T2011	11	20	60	92	3.7%	0.65 [0.24, 1.74]	
Tang S.W2012	56	89	225	236	4.2%	0.08 [0.04, 0.17]	
Teixeira2011	23	26	128	141	3.1%	0.78 [0.21, 2.95]	2 00
Vuilleumier.N2006	7	8	44	81	1.9%	5.89 [0.69, 50.05]	
Wang T2010	82	104	71	111	4.5%	2.10 [1.14, 3.86]	
Y.chen 2010	76	103	143	236	4.6%	1.83 [1.10, 3.05]	
Yamada2009	17	23	107	147	3.7%	1.06 [0.39, 2.88]	
Yang Xiang2014	58	60	126	462	2.9%	77.33 [18.61, 321.34]	
Yi-Shin Huang2003	37	49	148	269	4.3%	2.52 [1.26, 5.05]	
Zaverucha2014	48	51	74	78	2.7%	0.86 [0.19, 4.04]	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
Zhou.M2012	65	100	51	100	4.5%	1.78 [1.01, 3.15]	
Total (95% CI)		1526		4679	100.0%	1.18 [0.82, 1.71]	•
Total events	1134		3261				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.70; Chi ² :	= 139.8	9, df = 27	7 (P < 0	.00001); (² = 81%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.89 (P	= 0.37)	1	0.57		Favours (ATDH) Favours (non-ATDH)

Figure 2 Forest plot of the association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ADTILI risk in all 29 studies. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; ATDH, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity; CI, confidence interval.

OR =0.097, 95% CI: 0.011–0.885) (17). In Another study found the 516 TT homozygous mutant genotype of the *CYP2B6* gene to also carry significance (P=0.046; OR =0.063, 95% CI: 0.004–0.955) in a key variable analysis (6).

With respect to CYP3A4, the situation was even more indistinct. For the *CYP3A4* gene (c.-392 G>A) CYP3A4 genotypes were not significantly associated with ADTILI in Zaverucha-do-Valle's study (2), which was most likely attributable to most of the participants in the analysis carrying the homozygous wild-type genotype. Meanwhile, in Sun's study, the CYP3A4 18B20332G/A genotype was found to be a protective genotype against ADTILI (OR =0.088 95% CI: 0.027–0.291) (15). Moreover, in Guo's study, the CYP3A4 18B genotype elevated the risk of developing ADTILI (OR =1.196, 95% CI: 1.231–2.980) (16). The results were equivocal. The main characteristics and genotypes are summarized in *Tables 2,3*, respectively.

Discussion

In the present study, we meta-analyzed 29 studies involving 7,526 cases (1,548 with ATDILI, and 5,978 without ATDILI) which explored the association of *CYP2E1* Rsal/PstI gene polymorphism with ADTILI. Our study found that RsaI/PstI polymorphisms in the *CYP2E1* gene were associated with an increased risk of developing ATDILI among East Asian populations. This conclusion was in agreement with the results of three other meta-analyses (47). INH showed a reduced inhibitory effect on *CYP2E1* activity among patients carrying the *CYP2E1* Rsal/PstI c1/c1 genotype compared to patients with other genotypes. Thus, as a result of INH treatment, participants harboring the *CYP2E1* c1/c1 genotype display increased *CYP2E1* activity compared to patients carrying other genotypes; consequently, these patients may display elevated

	ATD	н	non-A	TDH		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
2.1.1 East Asia							
An2012	72	101	64	107	5.3%	1.67 [0.93, 2.98]	
Cho H.J2007	10	18	65	114	4.4%	0.94 [0.35, 2.56]	
F.M. Fena2014	136	173	97	173	5.5%	2.88 [1.80, 4.61]	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Jinling Zhang2016	70	114	80	114	5.4%	0.68 [0.39, 1.17]	
Lee S.W2010	26	45	55	95	5.0%	1.00 [0.49, 2.04]	-+
Sang-Heon Kim2009	54	66	97	153	5.1%	2.60 [1.28, 5.27]	
Sotsuka T2011	11	20	60	92	4.5%	0.65 [0.24, 1.74]	
Tang S.W2012	56	89	225	236	5.0%	0.08 [0.04, 0.17]	
Wang T2010	82	104	71	111	5.2%	2.10 [1.14, 3.86]	
Y.chen 2010	76	103	143	236	5.4%	1.83 [1.10, 3.05]	-
Yang Xiang2014	58	60	126	462	3.5%	77.33 [18.61, 321.34]	
Yi-Shin Huang2003	37	49	148	269	5.1%	2.52 [1.26, 5.05]	
Zhou.M2012	65	100	51	100	5.3%	1.78 [1.01, 3.15]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		1042		2262	64.7%	1.53 [0.86, 2.72]	•
Total events	753		1282				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.98; Chi ² :	= 116.5	6, df = 13	2(P < 0)	.00001); (² = 90%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.44 (P	= 0.15)	·			
2.1.2 South Asia							
Artini2017	3	5	19	30	2.6%	0.87 (0.13, 6.03)	10 CT 10 CT
Gupta2013	49	50	156	165	2.4%	2.83 [0.35, 22.87]	10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Mishra2013	31	33	168	173	3.0%	0.46 (0.09, 2.49)	
S. K. Sharma2014	81	105	139	185	5.3%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	+
Singla2014	15	17	375	391	3.3%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		210		944	16.6%	0.95 [0.59, 1.55]	•
Total events	179		857				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi ² :	= 4.03,	df = 4 (P)	= 0.40)	; l ² = 1%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.20 (P	= 0.85)				
2.1.3 South America							
Brito2014	13	15	195	230	3.3%	1.17 [0.25, 5.40]	
Heinrich2016	14	23	69	93	4.5%	0.54 [0.21, 1.41]	
Santos 2013	15	18	173	229	3.8%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80]	
Teixeira2011	23	26	128	141	3.7%	0.78 [0.21, 2.95]	
Zaverucha2014	48	51	74	78	3.3%	0.86 [0.19, 4.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		133		771	18.6%	0.85 [0.48, 1.50]	+
Total events	113		639				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.00; Chi ² :	= 2.03,	df = 4 (P	= 0.73)	; I ² = 0%		
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.57 (P	= 0.57)	10	202		
Total (95% CI)		1385		3977	100.0%	1.24 [0.82, 1.89]	•
Total events	1045		2778				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.78; Chi ² :	= 128.5	9, df = 22	2 (P < 0	.00001):	² = 83%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.01 (P	= 0.31)	·	1010		
Test for subaroup differ	rences: Cl	hi ² = 2.3	33. df = 2	(P = 0.)	31), $ ^2 = 1$	4.1%	Favours (ATDH) Favours (non-ATL

Figure 3 Forest plot of the association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ADTILI risk in subgroups with different ethnic origins. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; ATDH, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity; CI, confidence interval.

hepatotoxin production, increasing their chances of sustaining liver injury (10).

The human derived *PXR*, also known as nuclear receptor 112, was initially considered as the main regulatory factor of exogenous detoxification. It regulates the expression of drug metabolizing enzymes and transporters to control the degradation and excretion of exogenous and endogenous substances (including therapeutic drugs). *PXR* gene polymorphism is considered to be related to adverse reactions and interactions of drugs (or exogenous drugs) (48). The polymorphism of *PXR* gene and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity (ATDH)

susceptibility have attracted the attention of researchers. In 2015, Zazuli *et al.* analyzed the *PXR* gene polymorphism of 106 Indonesian people, and found that the T genotype of rs3814055 was related to high risk of ATDH, so it was considered that *PXR* gene polymorphism was one of the risk factors of ATDH (49). In the same year, Wang *et al.* analyzed the polymorphism of *PXR* combined *NAT* gene in 355 Taiwan people at the same time. It was found that *NAT2* and malnutrition were independent risk factors of ATDH in male and female patients, but AA genotype of rs2461823 and an allele of rs6785049 were only independent risk factors for female sex. The results show that SNP genotype

	ATD	H	non-AT	TDH		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
4.1.1 INH+RIF+PZA+EMI	В						
An2012	72	101	64	107	5.3%	1.67 [0.93, 2.98]	
Artini2017	3	5	19	30	0.6%	0.87 [0.13, 6.03]	
Chamorro2013	30	47	83	128	4.8%	0.96 [0.48, 1.92]	1 2 0
Cho H.J2007	10	18	65	114	2.3%	0.94 [0.35, 2.56]	10 10 10 10
Gupta2013	49	50	156	165	0.4%	2.83 [0.35, 22.87]	all and a second second
Heinrich2016	14	23	69	93	3.2%	0.54 [0.21, 1.41]	
Jinling Zhang2016	70	114	80	114	9.1%	0.68 [0.39, 1.17]	
Lee S.W2010	26	45	55	95	4.4%	1.00 [0.49, 2.04]	2
Mishra2013	31	33	168	173	1.0%	0.46 [0.09, 2.49]	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Rana S2014	28	55	150	245	8.0%	0.66 [0.36, 1.18]	
Roy B2006	7	8	99	101	0.5%	0.14 [0.01, 1.76]	
S. K. Sharma2014	81	105	139	185	6.8%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	
Sang-Heon Kim2009	54	66	97	153	3.1%	2.60 [1.28, 5.27]	
Singla2014	15	17	375	391	1.1%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	20 <u>42</u> 07
Sotsuka T2011	11	20	60	92	2.8%	0.65 [0.24, 1.74]	
Tang S.W2012	56	89	225	236	13.5%	0.08 [0.04, 0.17]	
Nang T2010	82	104	71	111	4.3%	2.10 [1.14, 3.86]	
Y.chen 2010	76	103	143	236	6.7%	1.83 [1.10, 3.05]	
Yang Xiang2014	58	60	126	462	0.3%	77.33 [18.61, 321.34]	
Yi-Shin Huang2003	37	49	148	269	3.3%	2.52 [1.26, 5.05]	
Zhou.M2012	65	100	51	100	5.3%	1.78 [1.01, 3.15]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		1212		3600	86.8%	1.33 [1.14, 1.55]	•
Total events	875		2443				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 12	4.40, df =	= 20 (P	< 0.0000	1); 2 =	84%		
Test for overall effect: Z :	= 3.68 (P	= 0.00	02)	<u>.</u>			
4.1.2 INH+RIF+PZA							
Brito2014	13	15	195	230	0.9%	1.17 (0.25, 5.40)	
F.M. Feng2014	100	470	97	173	6.1%	2.88 [1.80, 4.61]	
	1.30	173					
Santos 2013	130	1/3	173	229	1.2%	1.62 [0.45 5.80]	26 0.277 621
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014	136	173	173 74	229 78	1.2%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% Cl)	136 15 48	173 18 51 257	173 74	229 78 710	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80] 0.86 [0.19, 4.04] 2.32 [1.54, 3.50]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events	136 15 48 212	173 18 51 257	173 74	229 78 710	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80] 0.86 [0.19, 4.04] 2.32 [1.54, 3.50]	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heternogeneity: Chi ² = 3 ;	130 15 48 212 46 df = 3	173 18 51 257 (P = 0	173 74 539 33): I ² = 1	229 78 710	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80] 0.86 [0.19, 4.04] 2.32 [1.54, 3.50]	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi¤ = 3. Test for overall effect: Z :	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.00	173 74 539 33); I ⁼ = 1 01)	229 78 710	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 (1.54, 3.50)	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi¤ = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P	(P = 0. < 0.000	173 74 539 33); I² = 1 01)	229 78 710 3%	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50]	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi [#] = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Teiveira2011	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P	(P = 0. < 0.000	173 74 539 33); F = 1 01) 128	229 78 710 3%	1.2% 1.0% 9.3%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50]	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /viilleumier N2006	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000	173 74 539 33); I ² = 1 01) 128 44	229 78 710 3% 141 81	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5 89 (0.69, 50 05)	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /vuilleumier.N2006 /amada2009	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.00) 26 8 22	173 74 539 33); I [≠] = 1 01) 128 44 107	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.92)	•
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /viilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI)	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57	173 74 539 33); ² = 1 01) 128 44 107	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 (0.64, 2.76)	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /uilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57	173 74 539 33); I ² = 1 11) 128 44 107 279	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 (0.64, 2.76)	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Feixeira2011 /uilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 17 47 67 df = 2	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57 (P = 0	173 74 539 33); I ² = 1 11) 128 44 107 279 26); I ² = 2	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95] 5.89 (0.69, 50.05] 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 [0.64, 2.76]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /viilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2. Test for overall effect: Z :	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 17 47 67, df = 2 = 0.75 (P	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57 (P = 0. = 0.45)	173 74 539 33); ² = 1 01) 128 44 107 279 26); ² = 2	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369 25%	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 (0.64, 2.76]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /uilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2. Test for overall effect: Z :	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 17 47 67, df = 2 = 0.75 (P	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57 (P = 0. = 0.45) 1526	173 74 539 33); = 1 01) 128 44 107 279 26); = 2	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369 25%	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 [0.64, 2.76]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Fotal events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Feixeira2011 /uilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2. Test for overall effect: Z : Total (95% CI)	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 47 67, df = 2 = 0.75 (P	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. 26 8 23 57 (P = 0. = 0.45) 1526	173 74 539 33); * = 1 01) 128 44 107 279 26); * = 2	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369 25% 4679	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95] 5.89 (0.69, 50.05] 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 (0.64, 2.76]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 Vuilleumier.N2006 Yamada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2. Total (95% CI) Total events	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 47 67, df = 2 = 0.75 (P 1134	173 18 51 257 (P = 0. < 0.000 26 8 23 57 (P = 0. (P = 0. 4 (P = 0. 1526 23 25 23 25 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	173 74 539 33); ² = 1 01) 128 44 107 279 26); ² = 2 3261	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369 25% 4679	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 [0.64, 2.76] 1.42 [1.24, 1.64]	
Santos 2013 Zaverucha2014 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi [∓] = 3. Test for overall effect: Z : 4.1.3 INH Teixeira2011 /vuilleumier.N2006 /amada2009 Subtotal (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi [∓] = 2. Total (95% CI) Total events Heterogeneity: Chi [∓] = 13 Total events	136 15 48 212 46, df = 3 = 4.00 (P 23 7 17 47 67, df = 2 = 0.75 (P 1134 99.89, df =	(P = 0. < 0.000 (P = 0. < 0.000 266 8 23 57 (P = 0. = 0.45) 1526 = 27 (P	173 74 539 33); *= 1 11) 128 44 107 279 26); *= 2 3261 < 0.0000	229 78 710 3% 141 81 147 369 25% 4679 11); I ² =	1.2% 1.0% 9.3% 1.4% 0.3% 2.2% 3.9% 100.0% 81%	1.62 (0.45, 5.80) 0.86 (0.19, 4.04) 2.32 [1.54, 3.50] 0.78 (0.21, 2.95) 5.89 (0.69, 50.05) 1.06 (0.39, 2.88) 1.32 [0.64, 2.76] 1.42 [1.24, 1.64]	

Figure 4 Forest plot of the association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ADTILI risk in subgroups with different anti-TB combination regimens. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; TB, tuberculosis; ATDH, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity; CI, confidence interval.

and haplotype of PXR are still important risk factors of ATDH in Asian population, and show the characteristics of gender stratification (50). In 2019, Wang *et al.* studied 502 Chinese TB patients and found that the secondary allele and h0010001 haplotype of rs7643645 in PXR were related to reducing the risk of ATDH, and suggested that the drug metabolic enzyme regulated by PXR was related to the pathogenesis of ATDH (51). In 2019, Zhang *et al.*

conducted allele frequency, genotype and genetic model of *PXR* gene in 746 patients with TB in Western China, and analyzed interaction with SNP. It was found that the risk of ATDH in T allele carriers of rs3814055 was lower than that of C allele carriers, the dominant and additive models in the main genetic models also suggested that the locus was related to the risk of ATDH susceptibility (52).

NAT2 and CYP2E1 are the key enzymes for the

	ATD	н	non-A	TDH		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 cohort							
Artini2017	3	5	19	30	2.1%	0.87 [0.13, 6.03]	
Brito2014	13	15	195	230	2.7%	1.17 [0.25, 5.40]	
Chamorro2013	30	47	83	128	4.4%	0.96 [0.48, 1.92]	
Cho H.J2007	10	18	65	114	3.8%	0.94 [0.35, 2.56]	
Gupta2013	49	50	156	165	2.0%	2.83 [0.35, 22.87]	the second se
Heinrich2016	14	23	69	93	3.9%	0.54 [0.21, 1.41]	
Lee S.W2010	26	45	55	95	4.3%	1.00 [0.49, 2.04]	
Mishra2013	31	33	168	173	2.5%	0.46 [0.09, 2.49]	20 Alexa (0)
Rana S2014	28	55	150	245	4.6%	0.66 [0.36, 1.18]	
S. K. Sharma2014	81	105	139	185	4.6%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	+
Sang-Heon Kim2009	54	66	97	153	4.4%	2.60 [1.28, 5.27]	
Santos 2013	15	18	173	229	3.2%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80]	
Singla2014	15	17	375	391	2.7%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	100 March 100
Sotsuka T2011	11	20	60	92	3.8%	0.65 [0.24, 1.74]	
Tang S.W2012	56	89	225	236	4.3%	0.08 [0.04, 0.17]	site and state and st
Vuilleumier.N2006	7	8	44	81	1.9%	5.89 [0.69, 50.05]	
Y.chen 2010	76	103	143	236	4.7%	1.83 [1.10, 3.05]	- Carlos and Carlos an
Yamada2009	17	23	107	147	3.8%	1.06 [0.39, 2.88]	<u> </u>
Yang Xiang2014	58	60	126	462	2.9%	77.33 [18.61, 321.34]	
Yi-Shin Huang2003	37	49	148	269	4.4%	2.52 [1.26, 5.05]	
Zaverucha2014	48	51	74	78	2.7%	0.86 [0.19, 4.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		900		3832	73.7%	1.15 [0.70, 1.87]	♠
Total events	679		2671				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.97; Chi2:	= 114.0	16, df = 21) (P < 0	.00001);	² = 82%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 0.55 (P	= 0.58))				
3.1.2 case-control							
An2012	72	101	64	107	4.6%	1.67 [0.93, 2.98]	
F.M. Feng2014	136	173	97	173	4.8%	2.88 [1.80, 4.61]	
Jinling Zhang2016	70	114	80	114	4.7%	0.68 [0.39, 1.17]	
Teixeira2011	23	26	128	141	3.1%	0.78 [0.21, 2.95]	7 10 10
Wang T2010	82	104	71	111	4.5%	2.10 [1.14, 3.86]	
Zhou.M2012	65	100	51	100	4.6%	1.78 [1.01, 3.15]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		618		746	26.3%	1.56 [0.97, 2.49]	•
Total events	448		491				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.23; Chi2:	= 17.34	, df = 5 (l	P = 0.00	04); I ² = 71	1%	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.85 (P	= 0.06)				
Total (95% CI)		1518		4578	100.0%	1.22 [0.84, 1.77]	•
Total events	1127		3162				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0	.69: Chi2:	= 136 8	7. df = 21	6 (P < 0	.00001).	² = 81%	
Test for overall effect: 7	= 1.06 (P	= 0.29)				0.01 0.1 1 10 100
Test for subaroup differ	ences: C	$hi^2 = 0$	78 df = 1	(P = 0)	38) I ² = 0	96	Favours [ATDH] Favours [non-ATDH]

Figure 5 Forest plot of the association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ADTILI risk in subgroups based on study type. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; ATDH, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity; CI, confidence interval.

detoxification of INH in liver (5). Due to the association between the "slow acetylation" phenotype of *NAT2* gene and the increased blood level and toxic reaction rate of INH, FDA has included the *NAT2* gene phenotype in the drug label of INH for the treatment of TB (53). PharmGKB database also uses important *NAT2* genetic variation as a clinical guideline to predict the risk of ATDH induced by INH in TB patients. Researchers have studied a large number of gene polymorphisms in drug metabolism, such as *CYP2E1*, glutathione S-transferase (GST), cholesterol 7 α -hydroxylase gene, bile salt export pump (*BSEP*) gene, sodium ion sodium taurocholate cotransporter polypeptide gene and *PXR* gene. hydrazine (Hz), acetyldiazine (AC) and their metabolites, which are the toxic metabolites of INH, can cause liver injury, which is related to the metabolism of *NAT2*, *CYP2E1* and *GST* had correlation; RIF also has weak hepatotoxicity due to the enhancement of INH hydrolase activity and/or activation of *PXR*, such as CYP, glutathione, bile acid and lipid metabolism enzymes, with the incidence of less than 1.1% (3,54,55).

The occurrence and development of ATDH involves many complex links, such as drug metabolism, oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, immune regulation and inflammatory response. These links occur simultaneously or sequentially, and the interaction of each link determines the occurrence, outcome and prognosis of ATDH. Drug

Liu et al. The association of CYP2E1 with ATDILI: a meta-analysis

	ATD	н	non-AT	DH		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
5.1.1 ALT>2ULN							
An2012	72	101	64	107	4.7%	1.67 [0.93, 2.98]	
Artini2017	3	5	19	30	2.2%	0.87 (0.13, 6.03)	
Ben Fredi2017	13	15	195	230	2.9%	1.17 [0.25, 5,40]	
Cho H.J2007	10	18	65	114	3.9%	0.94 [0.35, 2.56]	
F.M. Fena2014	136	173	97	173	4.9%	2.88 [1.80, 4.61]	+
Gupta2013	49	50	156	165	2.1%	2.83 (0.35, 22.87)	
Jinling Zhang2016	70	114	80	114	4.8%	0.68 [0.39, 1.17]	
Mishra2013	31	33	168	173	2.6%	0.46 [0.09, 2.49]	10 A.C. (0)
Roy B2006	7	8	99	101	1.6%	0.14 [0.01, 1.76]	
S. K. Sharma2014	81	105	139	185	4.7%	1.12 [0.64, 1.96]	+-
Santos 2013	15	18	173	229	3.3%	1.62 [0.45, 5.80]	
Tang S.W2012	56	89	225	236	4.4%	0.08 [0.04, 0.17]	
Teixeira2011	23	26	128	141	3.2%	0.78 [0.21, 2.95]	
Vuilleumier.N2006	7	8	44	81	2.0%	5.89 (0.69, 50.05)	
Wang T2010	82	104	71	111	4.7%	2.10 [1.14, 3.86]	
Y.chen 2010	76	103	143	236	4.8%	1.83 [1.10, 3.05]	
Yamada2009	17	23	107	147	3.9%	1.06 [0.39, 2.88]	
Yi-Shin Huang2003	37	49	148	269	4.5%	2.52 [1.26, 5.05]	
Zhou.M2012	65	100	51	100	4.7%	1.78 [1.01, 3.15]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)		1142		2942	69.9%	1.16 [0.75, 1.78]	•
Total events	850		2172				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	61; Chi ² :	= 87.18	, df = 18	(P < 0.0	00001); I ²	= 79%	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.67 (P	= 0.51)	ò				
5.1.2 ALT>3ULN							
Chamorro2013	30	47	83	128	4.5%	0.96 (0.48, 1.92)	
Heinrich2016	14	23	69	93	4.0%	0.54 [0.21, 1.41]	
Lee S.W2010	26	45	55	95	4.5%	1.00 [0.49, 2.04]	<u> </u>
Sang-Heon Kim2009	54	66	97	153	4.5%	2.60 [1.28, 5.27]	
Singla2014	15	17	375	391	2.8%	0.32 [0.07, 1.52]	and the second second
Sotsuka T2011	11	20	60	92	3.9%	0.65 [0.24, 1.74]	
Yang Xiang2014	58	60	126	462	3.1%	77.33 [18.61, 321.34]	
Zaverucha2014	48	51	74	78	2.8%	0.86 [0.19, 4.04]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		329		1492	30.1%	1.40 [0.54, 3.63]	-
Total events	256		939				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.	57; Chi ² :	= 54.45	, df = 7 (F	< 0.00	0001); I ² =	87%	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 0.70 (P	= 0.48)) ì				
Total (95% CI)		1471		4434	100.0%	1.22 [0.83, 1.78]	•
Total events	1106		3111				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	72; Chi ² =	= 134.7	5. df = 28	6 (P < 0	.00001): 1	²= 81%	
Test for overall effect: Z =	= 1.00 (P	= 0.32)				
Test for subaroup differe	ences: Cl	ni² = 0.1	13. df = 1	(P = 0.	72). I ² = 0	%	Favours [ATDH] Favours [non-ATDH]

Figure 6 Forest plot of the association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ADTILI risk in subgroups based on the definition of ATDILI. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; ATDH, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 7 Begg's plot of publication bias among all 29 studies on the association of *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms with ADTILI risk. CYP2E1, cytochrome P450 2E1; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; OR, odds ratio.

metabolic transport is the initiation of ATDH, especially the metabolism of INH is related to the metabolic type of metabolic enzyme NAT (5,53,56).

Drug metabolizing enzyme gene polymorphism has always been the research direction of ATDH, but most of them are small sample and single ethnic analysis, and there is no recognized conclusion about the correlation between *NAT* gene polymorphism and ATDH (53).

Studies in the case-control design subgroup seemed to show high risks of ATDILI. To some degree, this result may be explained by the accurate matching in advance, which eliminated some mixed factors, such as age and sex.

Both epigenetic and genetic alterations may affect the

Chinese

Chinese

Chinese

Brazilian

Brazilian

Chinese

2015

2016

2017

2015

2014

2013

Lei

Zhang

Wang

Fernande

Zaverucha

-do-Valle Tang

6529

CYPs

CYP1A2, CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2C19 CYP3A4, CYP3A5

CYP1A2, CYP2C19

CYP1A1

CYP2D6

CYP2B6

CYP2B6, CYP3A5

CYP3A4

CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP2C19

Study	Year	Population	Study design	Case ^a (N)	Control ^a (N)	Male case (N)	Male control (N)	Mean case ^a	Mean control ^a
Sun	2017	Chinese	Case control	207	207	NA ^b	NA ^b	NA ^b	NA^{b}
Guo	2016	Chinese	Case control	175	185	127	139	47.5	47.1
Lei	2015	Chinese	Case control	179	179	134	134	46.8	47

127

114

166

31

51

89

Table 2 Characteristics of studies on the association of other CYPs and ATDILI risk

Case control

Case control

Case control

Cohort

Case control

Cohort

^a, cases were TB patients with ATDILI; controls were TB patients without ATDILI; ^b, no independent data available. CYP, cytochrome P450; ATDILI, anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury; TB, tuberculosis.

127

114

177

189

78

356

94

92

88

NA^b

NA^b

65

expression of GSTP1 and CYP1A1, such as abnormal changes in CpG island methylation of the GSTP1 promoter. Gene promoter methylation is generally related to transcriptional repression via mechanisms such as direct prevention of transcription factor binding to DNA binding sites or via complex indirect mechanisms such as chromatin remodeling. Toxic metabolites of anti-TB drugs may induce the methylation of the promoter regions of GSTP1 or CYP1A1 (19).

Down-regulated expression of bile acid transporters, BSEP, and sodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP) are found in mice co-treated with INH and RMP, which is associated with an increased risk of the ATDILI (28). Increased expression of CYP7A1 occurs in Wistar rats co-treated with INH and RMP, which results in excess bile acids based on histo-pathological studies (29). Therefore, alterations of CYP7A1 and BSEP in the accumulation of bile acids may contribute to ATDILI (53).

We also found that the risk of ATDILI varies with different treatment protocol for TB. Only three studies used INH as the sole anti-TB treatment, while most of the others used a three- or four-drug combination regimen. Thus, it was equivocal that INH alone seemed to have a lower risk (OR =0.97), while combination regimens seemed to have a higher risk. In most of the articles, the definition of ATDILI was an ALT level of at least two- or three-fold the ULN, with or without an elevated level of aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and with or without symptoms of hepatitis. We stratified ATDILI into subgroups only by levels of ALT, and found a statistical association between ATIDIL risk and the ALT >2 ULN subgroup (OR =1.35, 95% CI: 1.05–1.74, P=0.021); however, taking the heterogeneity into consideration (I²=44.4%, P=0.02), the analysis maybe under power. It is worth mentioning that heterogeneity dramatically decreased from I²=44% to I²=23%, following the removal of Feng *et al.*'s article (21), with a seemingly stable association (OR =1.26, 95% CI: 1.01–1.58, P=0.04).

48.98

52.4

38.3

NA^b

NA^b

43.7

94

92

86

NA^b

NA^b

260

49.1

53.1

38.3

NA^b

 NA^{b}

43.6

In our study, a total of 28 studies reported an association between the *CYP2E1* gene and ATDILI, and there was obvious heterogeneity among the studies (χ^2 =139.89, I²=81%, P<0.05); The pooled OR of all studies for *CYP2E1* to the risk of ATDILI was 1.18 (95% CI: 0.82–1.71, P=0.37, *Figure 2*). This result showed that *CYP2E1* RsaI/ PstI polymorphisms were not statistically associated with ATDILI. Our meta-analysis has uncovered an association between *CYP2E1* RsaI/PstI polymorphisms and ATDILI, especially among patients who receive a four-drug (INH + RIF + PZA + EMB) or three-drug (INH + RIF + PZA) anti-TB treatment regimen.

The Begg's funnel plot (P=0.122) showed the included studies to have an acceptable level of publication bias. Compared with previous meta-analyses, there was a remarkable increase in articles with a larger number of

Table 3 Genotype distril	outions of the	other CYP polymo	orphisms	in each st	udy						
0,11,01				Case ^ª			Control ^a		Drug	Definition	Genotype
Study	25	- 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	11 ^b	12 ^b	22 ^b	11 ^b	12 ^b	22 ^b	protocol	of ATDILI	method
Sun (15)	CYP1A2	734C/A	34	84	89	49	59	66	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
	CYP3A4	20231G/A	91	95	21	116	69	14	I	I	I
	CYP3A5	6986A/G	51	72	84	1	67	129	I	I	I
	CYP2C19	681G/A	71	104	32	76	110	21	I	I	I
Guo (16)	CYP3A4	20231G/A	74	82	19	109	63	13	I	I	I
	CYP3A5	6986A/G	œ	68	66	31	76	78	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Lei (18)	CYP1A2	734C/A	32	69	78	41	87	51	I	I	I
	CYP2C19	681G/A	62	06	27	66	93	20	I	I	I
Lei (19)	CYP1A1	ldsm	65	62	U	74	53	°	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Zhang (8)	CYP2D6	188C/T	74	4	o	80	34	<u>ں</u>	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Wang (17)	CYP2B6	rs3745274G/T	113	48	5	110	53	14	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
	CYP2B6	rs2279343A/G	94	58	12	95	61	21	I	I	I
Fernande (6)	CYP2B6	rs3745274G/T	12	18	-	06	86	13	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
	CYP3A5	6986A/G	5	20	0	95	94	0	I	I	I
Zaverucha-do-Valle (2)	CYP3A4	392 G/A	27	35	o	25	44	<u>.</u>	INH + RIF + PZA	ALT >2 ULN	PCR-RFLP
Tang (7)	CYP3A4	rs1233983T/A	36	42	1	166	146	38	INH + RIF + PZA + EMB	ALT >3 ULN	PCR-RFLP
	CYP2C9	rs4918758T/A	33	36	18	119	166	69	I	I	I
	CYP2C9	rs9334098G/A	86	2	0	330	24	0	I	I	I
	CYP2C19	rs1568732T/G	62	80	-	295	55	4	I	I	I
	CYP2C19	rs4986894T/C	36	36	12	147	155	44	I	I	I
^a , cases were TB patien homozygote. CYP, cyto aminotransferase; ULN,	ts with ATDIL chrome P450 upper limit oi	l; controls were TI ; ATDILI, anti-tube f normal; PCR, po	B patien erculosis Iymeras	ts withou drug-ind e chain re	t ATDILI; uced live action; F	^b , wild hc r injury; ll RFLP, rest	omozygot VH, isoni riction fra	te (n=11); azid; RMF agment le	hybrid (n=12); mutant homozy ? rifampicin; PZA, pyrazinamic nath polymorphism; TB, tuber	ygote (n=22); °, hy de; EMB, ethambu culosis.	brid and mutant itol; ALT, alanine

cases with different origins and over a long-time span. It is possible that studies based on smaller sample sizes could have tilted toward producing positive results. Second, as in Feng *et al.*'s study (21), liver function detection was done at 6 months after the end of therapy, which is a later point in time than in most of the reported studies (6,17,21). A large proportion of studies reported that ADTILI occurred within 2 months after the end of treatment, which suggests there was potential selection bias. Third, there were many case-control studies in this research, which may have contributed to selection bias. Finally, we only do metaanalysis on those published articles. Therefore, mild publication bias potentially existed.

There are also some limitations to our meta-analysis. First, we did not research DraI polymorphisms in the CYP2E1 gene, as they have rarely been reported on since the publication of Wang's study (12); moreover, none of the existing meta-analyses declared any association between DraI polymorphism and ADTILI. Second, we did not evaluate the potential association between NATs and CYP2E1, and there may be combined effects of these two genes. Further analyses should be conducted to assess the combination of NAT statues and CYP2E1 genotypes on the risk of ATDIL. Third, there are many other highrisk factors for ATIDLI, such as alcohol consumption, sex, diabetes, malnutrition, HIV infection and viral hepatitis (5). Although it is difficult to explore these underlying risk factors in a simple meta-analysis, the gene-to-gene, gene-toenvironment, and gene phenotypes should not be neglected. Finally, there was a lack of evidence of other CYPs related to ATIDIL due to the limited number of studies, and even in the handful of studies available, the authors did not focus on the same tag SNPs.

In the transcription factor binding sites of the PXR regulatory region (the promoter and intron 1) have also been associated with PXR alteration and CYP3A4 expression, and drug-induced liver injury (50). As RIF is a human PXR-specific activator, it is reasonable to believe that the polymorphism of CYP3A4 may have an effect on RIF-induced liver injury, although to date, there have been no positive findings (7).

Notably, in Zhang's research (8), a correlation was identified between CpG island hypermethylation of the *CYP2E1* and *CYP2D6* genes and the development of ADTILI. This finding may bring new insight into the relationship between the epigenetic candidate genes with ADTILI.

In conclusion, the results of this meta-analysis indicate

an association between *CYP2E1* genetic polymorphisms and ATDILI, especially in patients treated with a fourdrug (INH + RIF + PZA + EMB) or three-drug (INH + RIF + PZA) anti-TB treatment regimen. Genetic mutations of CYP enzyme family members and alterations in DNA methylation levels are perhaps only two of many risk factors for ATDILI, with other extrinsic factors waiting to be discovered, including diet, alcohol consumption, smoking, existing liver disease, and other co-existing diseases. Furthermore, the gene-to-gene, gene-to-environment, and host immunity against varied strains of TB also need to be explored.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81700581), the Project of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (grant no. 2019YFH0069), Chengdu Medical Research Project (grant no. 2020208), and Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital Clinical Research and Transformation Fund (2018LY12).

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the PRISMA reporting checklist. Available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1224

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1224). All authors report that this project was supported by the Project of Science and Technology Department of Sichuan Province (grant no. 2019YFH0069), Chengdu Medical Research Project (grant no. 2020208), and the Project of Sichuan Medicine Research (S20014). The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-

commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Chakaya J, Khan M, Ntoumi F, et al. Global Tuberculosis Report 2020 - Reflections on the Global TB burden, treatment and prevention efforts. Int J Infect Dis 2021. [Epub ahead of print]. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2021.02.107.
- Zaverucha-do-Valle C, Monteiro SP, El-Jaick KB, et al. The role of cigarette smoking and liver enzymes polymorphisms in anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Brazilian patients. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2014;94:299-305.
- Wang YM, Chai SC, Brewer CT, et al. Pregnane X receptor and drug-induced liver injury. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2014;10:1521-32.
- Chang HY, Chen CJ, Ma WC, et al. Modulation of pregnane X receptor (PXR) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) activation by ursolic acid (UA) attenuates rifampin-isoniazid cytotoxicity. Phytomedicine 2017;36:37-49.
- Ramappa V, Aithal GP. Hepatotoxicity related to antituberculosis drugs: mechanisms and management. J Clin Exp Hepatol 2013;3:37-49.
- Fernandes DC, Santos NP, Moraes MR, et al. Association of the CYP2B6 gene with anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in a Brazilian Amazon population. Int J Infect Dis 2015;33:28-31.
- Tang SW, Lv XZ, Chen R, et al. Lack of association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP3A4, CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 and antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury in a community-based Chinese population. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2013;40:326-32.
- Zhang J, Zhu X, Li Y, et al. Correlation of CpG island methylation of the cytochrome P450 2E1/2D6 genes with liver injury induced by anti-tuberculosis drugs: a nested case-control study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016;13:776.
- Sun F, Chen Y, Xiang Y, et al. Drug-metabolising enzyme polymorphisms and predisposition to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2008;12:994-1002.
- 10. Cai Y, Yi J, Zhou C, et al. Pharmacogenetic study of drugmetabolising enzyme polymorphisms on the risk of anti-

tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012;7:e47769.

- Deng R, Yang T, Wang Y, et al. CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI polymorphism and risk of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a meta-analysis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012;16:1574-81.
- 12. Wang FJ, Wang Y, Niu T, et al. Update meta-analysis of the CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI and DraI polymorphisms and risk of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: evidence from 26 studies. J Clin Pharm Ther 2016;41:334-40.
- Heinrich MM, Zembrzuski VM, Ota MM, et al. Factors associated with anti-TB drug-induced hepatotoxicity and genetic polymorphisms in indigenous and nonindigenous populations in Brazil. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2016;101:15-24.
- Sun Q, Liu HP, Zheng RJ, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of SLCO1B1, CYP2E1 and UGT1A1 and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: a Chinese population-based prospective case-control study. Clin Drug Investig 2017;37:1125-36.
- Sun SF, Li B, Chong YZ, et al. Relationships of CYP450, GSTs, and UGT gene polymorphisms with antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury. Shandong Medical Journal 2017;57:6-10.
- Guo TJ, Li YH, Zhu LY, et al. Association between CYP3A5*3/CYP3A4*18-B gene polymorphisms and liver injury induced by anti-tuberculosis drugs. Chinese Journal of Disease Control & Prevention 2016;20:897-900, 909.
- Wang Y, Xiang X, Wu SQ, et al. Association of CYP2B6 gene polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in a Chinese population. Infect Genet Evol 2017;51:198-202.
- He L, Gao L, Shi Z, et al. Relationship study between the gene polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 1A2/2C19 and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatic injury. Chinese Pharmaceutical Journal 2015;50:248-52.
- He L, Gao L, Shi Z, et al. Involvement of cytochrome P450 1A1 and glutathione S-transferase P1 polymorphisms and promoter hypermethylation in the progression of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a case-control study. PLoS One 2015;10:e0119481.
- 20. An HR, Wu XQ, Wang ZY, et al. NAT2 and CYP2E1 polymorphisms associated with antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity in Chinese patients. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 2012;39:535-43.
- Feng FM, Guo M, Chen Y, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in metabolic enzymes and susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatic injury. Genet Mol Res

6532

6533

2014;13:9463-71.

- Huang YS, Chern HD, Su WJ, et al. Cytochrome P450 2E1 genotype and the susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Hepatology 2003;37:924-30.
- 23. Zhou M, Li FJ, Zhang L, et al. Study on Chinese medicine constitution and its relation with CYP2E1 gene polymorphisms of anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Chinese Archives of Traditional Chinese Medicine 2012;30:1841-3.
- 24. Tang S, Lv X, Zhang Y, et al. Cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms/haplotypes and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatitis in a Chinese cohort. PLoS One 2013;8:e57526.
- 25. Tang SW, Lv XZ, Zhang Y, et al. CYP2E1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 genetic polymorphisms and susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: a nested case-control study. J Clin Pharm Ther 2012;37:588-93.
- 26. Wang T, Yu HT, Wang W, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 and glutathione S-transferase associated with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Chinese tuberculosis patients. J Int Med Res 2010;38:977-86.
- 27. Xiang Y, Ma L, Wu W, et al. The incidence of liver injury in Uyghur patients treated for TB in Xinjiang Uyghur autonomous region, China, and its association with hepatic enzyme polymorphisms nat2, cyp2e1, gstm1 and gstt1. PLoS One 2014;9:e85905.
- Chen Y, Guo M, Li SM, et al. Study of the relationship between polymorphisms of cytochrome P450 2E1 and antituberculosis drug-induced hepatic injury. Chinese Journal of Infectious Diseases 2010;28:748-52
- 29. Lee SW, Chung LS, Huang HH, et al. NAT2 and CYP2E1 polymorphisms and susceptibility to first-line anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatitis. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2010;14:622-6.
- Gupta VH, Amarapurkar DN, Singh M, et al. Association of N-acetyltransferase 2 and cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms with antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity in Western India. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;28:1368-74.
- 31. Mishra S, Daschakraborty S, Shukla P, et al. N-acetyltransferase and cytochrome P450 2E1 gene polymorphisms and susceptibility to antituberculosis drug hepatotoxicity in an Indian population. Natl Med J India 2013;26:260-5.
- Rana SV, Sharma SK, Ola RP, et al. N-acetyltransferase
 cytochrome P4502E1 and glutathione S-transferase
 genotypes in antitubercular treatment-induced

hepatotoxicity in North Indians. J Clin Pharm Ther 2014;39:91-6.

- 33. Roy B, Ghosh SK, Sutradhar D, et al. Predisposition of antituberculosis drug induced hepatotoxicity by cytochrome P450 2E1 genotype and haplotype in pediatric patients. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;21:784-6.
- Singla N, Gupta D, Birbian N, et al. Association of NAT2, GST and CYP2E1 polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2014;94:293-8.
- 35. Sharma SK, Jha BK, Sharma A, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of CYP2E1 and GSTM1 loci and susceptibility to anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2014;18:588-93.
- Brito TC, Possuelo LG, Valim ARM, et al. Polymorphisms in CYP2E1, GSTM1 and GSTT1 and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity. An Acad Bras Cienc 2014;86:855-65.
- 37. Teixeira RL, Morato RG, Cabello PH, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of NAT2, CYP2E1 and GST enzymes and the occurrence of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatitis in Brazilian TB patients. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2011;106:716-24.
- 38. Santos NP, Callegari-Jacques SM, Ribeiro Dos Santos AK, et al. N-acetyl transferase 2 and cytochrome P450 2E1 genes and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in Brazilian patients. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2013;17:499-504.
- Cho HJ, Koh WJ, Ryu YJ, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of NAT2 and CYP2E1 associated with antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity in Korean patients with pulmonary tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 2007;87:551-6.
- 40. Kim SH, Kim SH, Bahn JW, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and anti-TB drug-induced hepatitis. Pharmacogenomics 2009;10:1767-79.
- 41. Chamorro JG, Castagnino JP, Musella RM, et al. Sex, ethnicity, and slow acetylator profile are the major causes of hepatotoxicity induced by antituberculosis drugs. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2013;28:323-8.
- 42. Vuilleumier N, Rossier MF, Chiappe A, et al. CYP2E1 genotype and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in patients treated for latent tuberculosis. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2006;62:423-9.
- 43. Yamada S, Tang M, Richardson K, et al. Genetic variations of NAT2 and CYP2E1 and isoniazid hepatotoxicity in a diverse population. Pharmacogenomics 2009;10:1433-45.
- 44. Artini IGA, Artana IGNB, Aman IGM, et al. CYP2E1 genotype and transaminase level of tuberculosis patients receiving fixed dose combination of antituberculosis. Bali

Liu et al. The association of CYP2E1 with ATDILI: a meta-analysis

6534

Medical Journal 2017;6:S70-4.

- 45. Sotsuka T, Sasaki Y, Hirai S, et al. Association of isoniazid-metabolizing enzyme genotypes and isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in tuberculosis patients. In Vivo 2011;25:803-12.
- Ben Fredj N, Gam R, Kerkni E, et al. Risk factors of isoniazid-induced hepatotoxicity in Tunisian tuberculosis patients. Pharmacogenomics J 2017;17:372-7.
- Sheng YJ, Wu G, He HY, et al. The association between CYP2E1 polymorphisms and hepatotoxicity due to antituberculosis drugs: a meta-analysis. Infect Genet Evol 2014;24:34-40.
- Moreau A, Vilarem MJ, Maurel P, et al. Xenoreceptors CAR and PXR activation and consequences on lipid metabolism, glucose homeostasis, and inflammatory response. Mol Pharm 2008;5:35-41.
- 49. Zazuli Z, Barliana MI, Mulyani UA, et al. Polymorphism of PXR gene associated with the increased risk of druginduced liver injury in Indonesian pulmonary tuberculosis patients. J Clin Pharm Ther 2015;40:680-4.
- Wang JY, Tsai CH, Lee YL, et al. Gender-dimorphic impact of PXR genotype and haplotype on hepatotoxicity during antituberculosis treatment. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015;94:e982.

Cite this article as: Liu X, Ren S, Zhang J, Xu D, Jiang F, Jiang P, Feng J, Deng F. The association between cytochrome P450 polymorphisms and anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Palliat Med 2021;10(6):6518-6534. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-1224

- Wang Y, Xiang X, Huang WW, et al. Association of PXR and CAR polymorphisms and antituberculosis druginduced hepatotoxicity. Sci Rep 2019;9:2217.
- 52. Zhang J, Zhao Z, Bai H, et al. Genetic polymorphisms in PXR and NF-κB1 influence susceptibility to antituberculosis drug-induced liver injury. PLoS One 2019;14:e0222033.
- Bao Y, Ma X, Rasmussen TP, et al. Genetic variations associated with anti-tuberculosis drug-induced liver injury. Curr Pharmacol Rep 2018;4:171-81.
- Huang JH, Zhang C, Zhang DG, et al. Rifampicininduced hepatic lipid accumulation: association with upregulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ in mouse liver. PLoS One 2016;11:e0165787.
- 55. Kim JH, Nam WS, Kim SJ, et al. Mechanism investigation of rifampicin-induced liver injury using comparative toxicoproteomics in mice. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:1417.
- 56. McDonagh EM, Boukouvala S, Aklillu E, et al. PharmGKB summary: very important pharmacogene information for N-acetyltransferase 2. Pharmacogenet Genomics 2014;24:409-25.

(English Language Editor: J. Reynolds)