<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		be not
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		used
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	res (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	be not
Provide accession number in repository OR		used
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		useu
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		be not
origin, genetic modification status.		used
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		be not
genetic modification status. Provide accession		used
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		be not
field: Provide species, sex and age where		used
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		be not
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		used
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		be not
number if available, and source (including location		used
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		be not
accession number if available, and source		used
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	in the "Ethical Statement" section of Footnote(see	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	page 9,line 28).	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Provided as an additional file	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Provided as an additional file	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	in the methods paragraph	
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	in the Experimental methods section of	
by-step protocols are available.	methods	
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	in the methods paragraph	
Randomisation		Not needed
Blinding		Not needed
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	in the methods paragraph	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	2	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	technical replicates	
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	in the "Ethical Statement" section of	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Footnote(see page 9,line 28).	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		be not used
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		be not used
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
	•	Not of this
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference		kind

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	in the Experimental methods section of	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were	"methods"	
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	in the Experimental methods section of	
tests.	"methods"	
	· ·	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		Time is not up
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		Time is not up
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		Time is not up
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		No code
If code is publicly available, provide accession		No code
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1347