

Systematic review and meta-analysis: transplanted hematopoietic stem cells and killer cells on leukemia

Yunjie Zhang, Yanping Song, Qingren Ni, Guang Li, Jinqian Dai, Menyun Zhang, Jia Xie

Institute of Hematology, Xi'an Jiaotong University Affiliated to Xi'an Central Hospital, Xi'an, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: Y Zhang, J Xie; (II) Administrative support: Y Song, Q Ni; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: Y Zhang, Y Song, Q Ni, G Li, J Dai; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: G Li, J Dai, M Zhang, J Xie; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Jia Xie. Institute of Hematology, Xi'an Jiaotong University Affiliated to Xi'an Central Hospital, 161 Xiwu Road, Xincheng District, Xi'an 710003, China. Email: xiejia1232021@163.com.

Background: meta-analysis was performed to study the therapeutic effect of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation combined with killer cells (important immune cells of the body) on leukemia, hoping to enhance the postoperative therapeutic efficiency.

Methods: literatures were searched with "Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation", "killer cell", "leukemia", "Cytokine induction", etc. as search terms using Boolean logic search. Review Manager was utilized for meta-analysis after literature screening.

Results: eleven literatures were included, most of which were of low-risk bias (medium-high quality). Through meta-analysis, statistical heterogeneity was found in non-recurring mortality (NRM) between control group and experimental group (Chi² =15.69, I²=62%, P=0.02). The leukemia-free survival rate between two groups was not heterogeneous (Chi² =13.16, I²=32%, P=0.16), without considerable difference between groups (Z=1.52, P=0.13). The incidence of graft-versus-host disease (GvHD) between the two groups was statistically heterogeneous (Chi² =21.38, I²=67%, P=0.003). The incidence of graft-versus-host disease in experimental group was greatly inferior to controls (Z=3.87, P=0.0001).

Discussion: hematopoietic stem cell transplantation combined with killer cells can effectively reduce the incidence of GvHD after stem cell transplantation in patients. The prognosis of transplantation was good, and it had no obvious effect on the overall survival rate and recurrence rate.

Keywords: Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation; killer cells; graft-versus-host disease (GvHD); leukemia

Submitted May 12, 2021. Accepted for publication Jun 25, 2021. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-1359 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-1359

Introduction

Bone marrow is the main organ of the human body that exercises the hematopoietic function. The normal division and differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) in the bone marrow form various blood cells and lymphocytes (1). Leukemia is caused by the failure of some HSC that should differentiate into blood cells and lymphocytes normally, which instead perform malignant proliferation and accumulation in bone marrow and other tissues, invading the liver, spleen, lymph nodes, and so on. The malignant disease of hematopoietic tissue formed by the inhibition of normal hematopoietic function is also called "blood cancer" (2,3). The pathology of leukemia is mainly manifested by a decrease in the production of red blood cells, which leads to abnormal changes in the quality and quantity of white blood cells, and an abnormal increase in their number. It also causes infiltration into various organs and systems of the human body which damages the normal function of those organs (4,5). According to its onset and cell morphology, leukemia is classified as either acute or chronic. The main types of leukemia are acute lymphocytic, acute myeloid, chronic lymphocytic, and chronic myeloid; among which, chronic myeloid leukemia accounts for about 70% of all leukemias (6,7). At present, related studies have found that some risk factors may increase the chance of certain types of leukemia. These risk factors include high-dose radiation exposure, history of radiotherapy and chemotherapy, chromosomal abnormalities related diseases (Down syndrome and so on), and chemicals such as formaldehyde (8,9).

The main purpose of treating leukemia is destroying leukemia cells and restoring the normal hematopoietic function of the bone marrow. Different types of leukemia should be treated with comprehensive consideration of the patient's condition and overall health status (10,11). Usually, chemotherapy, targeted therapy, killer cells (the body's important immune cells, which are not only related to anti-rheumatism, anti-tumor, antiviral infection, and immune regulation, but also involved in the development of hypersensitivity and autoimmune diseases in some cases), and hematopoietic stem cell transplantation were used for treatment (12). Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) is recommended for patients with refractory, recurrent leukemia, and newly diagnosed acute leukemia but with high-risk score and genetic adverse prognostic factors, who are successfully matched with high-dose chemotherapy and transplanted (13,14). In addition, cytokine-induced killer cells also have the effect of clearing residual leukemia and preventing its recurrence with less side effects (15,16). To compare and analyze the therapeutic effect of combined therapy and single therapy on leukemia, the therapeutic effect of hematopoietic stem cells combined with killer cells on leukemia was studied by means of meta-analysis. We present the following article in accordance with the PRISMA reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1359).

Methods

Literature retrieval

Boolean logic search was utilized to select related literatures. "Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation", "killer cell", "leukemia", "Cytokine induction", and so on, were set as search terms. The databases of PubMed, Medline, Embase, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang, VIP, and Google Scholar were searched. The search time was from inception of the database to 30 October 2020. All reference lists included in literature and published reviews were tracked to screen document that was not indexed by the database. The literature quality was assessed using the software Review Manager (RevMan) 5.2 (Copenhagen, Denmark: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012). Various search terms were combined freely, and after the literature was determined through multiple searches, the search engine was employed to locate the literature. Moreover, the latest research progress was acquired via contact with experts and researchers in corresponding field.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria of literature

The inclusion criteria for literature were as follows: (I) participants were patients diagnosed with leukemia, with no restriction on pathological type; (II) interventions for patients: participants in the experimental group received HSC transplantation combined with killer cell therapy; (III) the control group was treated with HSC inhibition/killer cell therapy alone; (IV) types: randomized clinical trial (RCT), prospective cohort study, and case-control ones.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (I) participants with a serious infectious or neurological disease; (II) literature without RCT; (III) no available data or incomplete reported data; (IV) overlap of participants or data; (V) duplicate literatures and those with too few experimental samples.

After inclusion and exclusion criteria screening, two senior experts independently screened the abstracts and full texts, and three pre-experiments were conducted before further screening. In the case of disagreement between experts, a consensus was reached after discussion, or it was arbitrated by a third expert.

Quality assessment

The pathology-controlled studies in the meta-analysis were evaluated by Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) of the Cochrane Collaborative Network. The star system (full score was 9 stars) was utilized to measure the results of the study subjects, case comparison, and inter-group comparison. Literature with 7 stars or above was deemed as high quality (which means low risk bias). Those with 1 star or less were of low quality (which means high risk bias). Literature with 2 to 6 stars was of medium quality (which means medium risk bias).

Two experts implemented the quality evaluation of



Figure 1 Document retrieval process.

literature independently, and three pre-experiments were required. If there was a disagreement between experts, it was discussed to reach a consensus or was arbitrated by a third party.

Data extraction

Data was extracted independently by two experts using a unified Excel table, and three pre-experiments were necessary. If there was a disagreement between experts, they can reach a consensus by discussion or ask a third expert to arbitrate. Extracted data included: (I) first author and publication year; (II) number of participants in each groups; (III) grouping of participants and the intervention methods adopted by each group, respectively; (IV) patients' recovery indexes before and after treatment, such as overall survival (OS), cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR), graft-versushost disease (GvHD), leukemia-free survival (LFS), and non-relapse mortality (NRM).

Statistical analysis

Meta-analysis was performed using RevMan 5.3 (Copenhagen, Denmark: The Nordic Cochrane Center, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014). Mean difference (MD) or standardized mean difference (SMD) and 95% confidence interval (CI) were taken as efficacy analysis statistics for study data continuity variables. Included studies were tested for heterogeneity (Q test). The bias risk assessment chart of RevMan was utilized to evaluate the risk bias of the included literatures. Each effect was expressed as 95% CI. When P>0.1 and I^2 <50%, the fixed-effects model (FEM) was employed for meta-analysis. When P<0.1 and I²>50%, the random effects model (REM) was utilized.

Results

Literature collection summary and NOS scale rating

A total of 250 articles were harvested in this search (Figure 1). We eliminated 142 studies after reading their abstracts and titles and eliminated 97 literatures after reading their full text. Eleven literatures were finally retained for meta-analysis. The main reasons that literatures were excluded included: participants with primary acute or chronic cardiopulmonary dysfunction and mental diseases: 48; literature on animal research: 21; repeated research subjects: 35; related information could not be obtained: 66; no HSC transplantation or killer cell therapy was performed: 46; and the results lacked raw data: 23. Table 1 shows the information of those studies. The years of included studies covered 2012-2018. The rating results of the NOS scale are shown in Figure 2. There were 5 which scored 7 stars or above, 6 with 2-6 stars, and 0 with 2 stars or below, all of which were medium and high-quality studies.

Assessment on bias risk of included literatures

The evaluation of multiple risk bias of literatures drawn by Review Manager is shown in *Figures 3* and *4*. Each

Annals of Palliative Medicine, Vol 10, No 7 July 2021

Author	Published year	Control group	Experimental group	Number of participants (control group)	Number of participants (experimental group)	Parameters	Gender (male)	Gender (female)
Wang	2015	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	219	231	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS, NRM	266	184
How	2017	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	32	24	OS, GvHD, NRM		
Chang	2017	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	181	498	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS, NRM	165	174
Liu	2018	Only K cell	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	43	127	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS, NRM	93	77
Bashey	2018	Only K cell	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	37	33	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS	37	33
Salvatore	2018	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	2,469	185	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS, NRM	1,399	1,255
Devillier	2018	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	31	33	OS, CIR, GvHD, LFS		
Di Stasi	2014	Only K cell	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	87	32	CIR, GvHD, LFS, NRM	68	51
Lorentino	2018	Only K cell	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	556	74	LFS		
Santoro	2018	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	2,589	250	LFS	1,627	1,208
Cho	2012	Only HSC transplantation	HSC transplantation combined with K cell	43	23	LFS	43	23

Table 1	Basic	data	of inc.	luded	studies
---------	-------	------	---------	-------	---------

HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; K cell, killer cell; OS, overall survival; CIR, cumulative incidence of relapse; GvHD, graft-versus-host disease; LFS, leukemia-free survival; NRM, non-relapse mortality.



Figure 2 NOS scale rating. NOS, Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

methodological feature of literature was incorporated, and assessment results were input into Review Manager to generate a bias risk map. Random sequence generation (which belongs to selection bias), allocation concealment (which belongs to selection bias), blinding of outcome evaluation (which belongs to measurement bias), incomplete outcome data (which belongs to follow-up bias), and selective reporting (which belongs to reporting bias) were significantly at low-risk bias. Participants' and researchers' blinding (which belongs to implementation bias) and low-risk bias evaluation of other biases were also around 50%. With the exceptions of Devillier [2018] and How [2017], the risk bias of the others was at obviously low risk.



Figure 3 Results of risk bias evaluation of literatures.

Wang 2015	santoro 2018	Salvatore 2018	Lorentino 2018	Liu 2018	How 2017	Di Stasi 2014	Devillier 2018	Cho 2012	Chang 2017	Bashey 2018	
••	•	•	2	?		2	2		?	?	Random sequence generation (selection bias)
••		•	+	••	•	+			•	••	Allocation concealment (selection bias)
•	•	•	••	•	•	••	••	••	•	•	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
•	••		••	••		••		••		•	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
•	••					••	••	••	•		Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
	••	•	••		•	•		•		•	Selective reporting (reporting bias)
		•••	•	+	+	•	+	+	••	+	Other bias

Figure 4 Risk bias assessment of the literatures. Note: green represented "low risk of bias", yellow represented "unclear risk of bias", and red represented "high risk of bias".

Experime	ental	Control		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% C		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
22	33	23	37	3.5%	1.22 [0.46, 3.25]			
384	498	140	181	23.1%	0.99 [0.66, 1.48]			
18	33	16	31	3.7%	1.13 [0.42, 3.01]			
9	24	9	32	2.4%	1.53 [0.50, 4.75]			
81	127	27	43	7.2%	1.04 [0.51, 2.14]			
126	185	1876	2469	40.9%	0.68 [0.49, 0.93]			
182	231	180	219	19.2%	0.80 [0.50, 1.29]			
	1131		3012	100.0%	0.85 [0.70, 1.05]		•	
822		2271						
.72, df = 6	(P = 0.5	58); I² = 0	%					
z = 1.53 (P	= 0.13					0.05 0.	2 1 5	20
	Experime 22 384 18 9 81 126 182 822 .72, df = 6 = 1 53 (P	Experimental Events Total 22 33 384 498 18 33 9 24 81 127 126 185 182 231 1131 822 .72, df = 6 (P = 0.13) = 1 53 (P = 0.13)	Experimental Contribution Events Total Events 22 33 23 384 498 140 18 33 16 9 24 9 81 127 27 126 185 1876 182 231 180 1131 822 2271 .72, df = 6 (P = 0.58); l² = 0 13 = 1 53 (P = 0.13) 18	Experimental Control Events Total Events Total 22 33 23 37 384 498 140 181 18 33 16 31 9 24 9 32 81 127 27 43 126 185 1876 2469 182 231 180 219 1131 3012 822 2271 2271 .72, df = 6 (P = 0.58); l² = 0% = 1 53 (P = 0 13)	Experimental Control Events Total Events Total Weight 22 33 23 37 3.5% 384 498 140 181 23.1% 18 33 16 31 3.7% 9 24 9 32 2.4% 81 127 27 43 7.2% 126 185 1876 2469 40.9% 182 231 180 219 19.2% 1131 3012 100.0% 822 2271 .72, df = 6 (P = 0.58); l ² = 0% = 1 53 (P = 0.13)	Experimental Control Odds Ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Fixed, 95% C 22 33 23 37 3.5% 1.22 [0.46, 3.25] 384 498 140 181 23.1% 0.99 [0.66, 1.48] 18 33 16 31 3.7% 1.13 [0.42, 3.01] 9 24 9 32 2.4% 1.53 [0.50, 4.75] 81 127 27 43 7.2% 1.04 [0.51, 2.14] 126 185 1876 2469 40.9% 0.68 [0.49, 0.93] 182 231 180 219 19.2% 0.80 [0.50, 1.29] 1131 3012 100.0% 0.85 [0.70, 1.05] 822 2271 .72 df = 6 (P = 0.58); l ² = 0% 153 (P = 0.13)	Experimental Control Odds Ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% CI 22 33 23 37 3.5% 1.22 [0.46, 3.25] 384 498 140 181 23.1% 0.99 [0.66, 1.48] 18 33 16 31 3.7% 1.13 [0.42, 3.01] 9 24 9 32 2.4% 1.53 [0.50, 4.75] 81 127 27 43 7.2% 1.04 [0.51, 2.14] 126 185 1876 2469 40.9% 0.68 [0.49, 0.93] 182 231 180 219 19.2% 0.80 [0.50, 1.29] 1131 3012 100.0% 0.85 [0.70, 1.05] 822 2271	Experimental Control Odds Ratio Odds Ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl 22 33 23 37 3.5% 1.22 [0.46, 3.25] M-H. Fixed. 95% Cl 384 498 140 181 23.1% 0.99 [0.66, 1.48] Image: state stat

Figure 5 Comparison of OS between two groups of patients. OS, overall survival.



Figure 6 Funnel plot of patients' OS. SE was the standard error; OR was the effect size. OS, overall survival.



Figure 8 Funnel plot of cumulative recurrence rate.



Figure 7 Comparison of cumulative recurrence rate. CI, confidence interval.

Comparison of OS rates between two groups

Comparison of the OS rate of participants in the control group and the experimental group after treatment is shown in *Figure 5*. Salvatore's [2018] research results occupied the highest percentage of final combined effects (40.9%), followed by those of Chang [2017] (23.1%) and Wang [2015] (19.2%). Horizontal line (HL) of the 95% CI of most studies was on the left of invalid vertical line (IVL), and HL crossed the IVL. HL of the 95% CI fell to the right of IVL in a few studies. Among the 11 studies, a total of 3,012 participants were included in the control groups, and a total of 1,131 participants were included in the experimental groups. No heterogeneity in postoperative speech function was revealed between two groups [chi-square (χ^2)=4.72, I²=0%, P=0.58]. The combined effect size (diamond block)

was on the left of IVL, odds ratio (OR) was 0.85, and 95% CI was (0.70, 1.05). FEM was adopted for analysis, and there was no considerable difference in OS rates between the two groups (Z=1.53, P=0.13).

The funnel plot of the OS rate comparison is shown in *Figure 6*. The circles of the included studies were concentrated in the top area, and the research accuracy was high. Although the circles of studies were on both sides of the midline, they were not symmetrical. Therefore, a publication bias was present in the included studies.

Cumulative recurrence rate

The comparison of cumulative recurrence rates between the control group and the experimental group is displayed in *Figure 7*. Salvatore's [2018] research results accounted for

Zhang et al. Meta-analysis: transplanted HSC and killer cell on leukemia

	Experimental Control		ol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H. Random, 95% CI
Bashey 2018	13	33	10	37	9.7%	1.75 [0.64, 4.80]	
Chang 2017	165	498	16	181	15.8%	5.11 [2.96, 8.82]	
Devillier 2018	7	33	3	31	6.1%	2.51 [0.59, 10.76]	
Di Stasi 2014	9	32	27	87	11.0%	0.87 [0.36, 2.13]	
How 2017	14	24	12	32	9.0%	2.33 [0.79, 6.88]	
Liu 2018	56	127	12	43	12.8%	2.04 [0.96, 4.33]	
Salvatore 2018	57	185	518	2469	18.9%	1.68 [1.21, 2.33]	+
Wang 2015	83	231	28	219	16.8%	3.83 [2.37, 6.18]	
Total (95% CI)		1163		3099	100.0%	2.32 [1.52, 3.56]	•
Total events	404		626				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.22; Chi ²	= 21.38,	df = 7 (P	= 0.00	3); l² = 679	%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.87 (F	P = 0.000)1)			Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	

Figure 9 Occurrence of GvHD. GvHD, graft-versus-host disease.



Figure 10 Funnel plot of the occurrence of GvHD. GvHD, graft-versus-host disease.

the highest percentage of the final combined results (36.1%), followed by those of Chang [2017] (22.0%) and Wang [2015] (19.5%). In addition, HL of the 95% CI of most studies was on the left side of IVL, and HL crossed IVL. In a few studies, HL of the 95% CI fell to the right of IVL. In the included 11 studies, the control groups included a total of 3,067 participants, and the experimental groups included a total of 1,139 participants. The comparison of the cumulative recurrence rate between the control group and the experimental group showed no statistical heterogeneity (χ^2 =3.25, I²=0%, P=0.78). Diamond block was located to the left of IVL, the OR was 0.80, and the 95% CI was 0.64 to 1.00. The random effects model was adopted for analysis, and it was revealed that the difference in cumulative recurrence rate between the two participant groups was not considerable (Z=1.93, P=0.05).

A funnel plot of the cumulative recurrence rate is displayed in *Figure 8*. Although the circles of the included studies were on both sides of the midline but were not symmetrical; therefore, the included studies had publication bias.

The occurrence of GvHD in the two groups

The comparison of the occurrence of GvHD in the control group and the experimental group after treatment is shown in Figure 9. Salvatore's [2018] research results had the highest percentage of the final combined results (18.9%), followed by those from Wang [2015] (16.8%) and Chang [2017] (15.8%). HL of the 95% CI of most studies was on right of IVL, and HL crossed IVL. In a few studies, HL of 95% CI fell to the left of IVL. In the included 11 studies, the control groups included a total 3,099 participants, and the experimental groups included a total of 1,163 participants. Heterogeneity was found in the occurrence of GvHD between groups (χ^2 =21.38, I²=67%, P=0.003). Diamond block was on the right of IVL, the OR was 2.32, and the 95% CI was 1.52 to 3.56. REM was adopted, and the incidence of GvHD in experimental group was vastly inferior to controls (Z=3.87, P=0.0001).

The funnel plot of the comparison of the occurrence of GvHD between groups after treatment is displayed in *Figure 10.* Although the circles of the included studies were found on both sides of the midline, they were not symmetrical. Therefore, there was certain publication bias.

Annals of Palliative Medicine, Vol 10, No 7 July 2021

	Experim	ental	Conti	rol		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Bashey 2018	19	33	19	37	2.0%	1.29 [0.50, 3.31]	
Chang 2017	368	498	132	181	13.2%	1.05 [0.72, 1.54]	
Cho 2012	15	23	31	43	2.0%	0.73 [0.24, 2.15]	
Devillier 2018	17	33	15	31	2.0%	1.13 [0.42, 3.02]	
Di Stasi 2014	10	32	31	87	3.0%	0.82 [0.35, 1.95]	
Liu 2018	80	127	22	43	3.2%	1.62 [0.81, 3.27]	
Lorentino 2018	39	74	235	556	6.8%	1.52 [0.94, 2.48]	
Salvatore 2018	107	185	1654	2469	25.3%	0.68 [0.50, 0.92]	
santoro 2018	87	250	1033	2589	30.9%	0.80 [0.61, 1.06]	
Wang 2015	171	231	171	219	11.9%	0.80 [0.52, 1.24]	
Total (95% CI)		1486		6255	100.0%	0.89 [0.77, 1.03]	•
Total events	913		3343				
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	13.16, df =	9 (P = 0					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.52 (F	P = 0.13)				0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 10
	,						Favours jexperimental Favours [control]

Figure 11 Comparison of LFS rates between the two groups. LFS, leukemia-free survival; CI, confidence interval.



Figure 12 Funnel plot of comparison of LFS. LFS, leukemia-free survival.

Comparison of LFS rates between the two participant groups

The comparison of LFS rates is shown in *Figure 11*. The research results of Santoro [2018] occupied the highest percentage of the final combined results (30.9%), followed by those of Salvatore [2018] (25.3%) and Chang [2017] (13.2%). In addition, in most studies, HL of the 95% CI crossed IVL. In a few studies, HL of the 95% CI was on right of IVL. In the included 11 studies, control group included 6,255 participants, while experimental group included a total of 1,486 participants. There was no statistical heterogeneity in the LFS rate between groups (χ^2 =13.16, I²=32%, P=0.16). Diamond block was located to the left of IVL, OR was 0.89, and 95% CI was 0.77 to 1.03. FEM was

adopted for analysis, and there was no remarkable difference in LFS rates between the two groups (Z=1.52, P=0.13).

A funnel plot showing the comparison of LFS rates between groups is displayed in *Figure 12*. The circles were concentrated in the top area, and the research accuracy was high. The circles were found on both sides of the midline and were roughly symmetrical. Therefore, the included studies had no publication bias.

Comparison of NRM between the two groups

The comparison of NRM between the control group and the experimental group is shown in *Figure 13*. Salvatore's [2018] research results occupied the highest percentage of the final combined effects (21.9%), followed by those of Chang [2017], (19.0%) and Wang [2015] (17.1%). Moreover, HL of the 95% CI of most studies was on right of IVL, and HL crossed IVL. HL of the 95% CI fell to the left of IVL in some studies. Among the 11 studies included, the control groups included 3,062 subjects, while experimental groups had 1,130 participants. There was statistical heterogeneity in NRM between groups (χ^2 =15.69, I²=62%, P=0.02). Diamond block was on right of IVL, the OR was 1.37, and 95% CI was 0.88 to 2.12. REM was adopted, and there was no substantial difference in NRM between two groups (Z=1.40, P=0.16).

A funnel plot comparing the NRM of participants in two groups is shown in *Figure 14*. Although the circles of the included studies were on both sides of the midline, they weren't symmetrical. Therefore, the included studies had publication bias.

Zhang et al. Meta-analysis: transplanted HSC and killer cell on leukemia

	Experim	ental	Control			Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Chang 2017	70	498	21	181	19.0%	1.25 [0.74, 2.10]	
Devillier 2018	8	33	6	31	8.9%	1.33 [0.40, 4.40]	
Di Stasi 2014	8	32	17	87	11.6%	1.37 [0.53, 3.58]	
How 2017	6	24	13	32	9.2%	0.49 [0.15, 1.56]	
Liu 2018	19	127	8	43	12.3%	0.77 [0.31, 1.91]	
Salvatore 2018	43	185	247	2469	21.9%	2.72 [1.89, 3.93]	
Wang 2015	30	231	18	219	17.1%	1.67 [0.90, 3.09]	
Total (95% CI)		1130		3062	100.0%	1.37 [0.88, 2.12]	•
Total events	184		330				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.19; Chi ²	= 15.69,	df = 6 (P	= 0.02); l² = 62%)	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 1.40 (F	P = 0.16)					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 13 Comparison of NRM between the two groups. NRM, non-relapse mortality.



Figure 14 Funnel plot of comparison of NRM. NRM, non-relapse mortality.

Discussion

Although standardized chemotherapy or hematopoietic stem cell transplantation can significantly improve the treatment effect of leukemia, the recurrence of most patients is still a difficult point in its treatment. Chemotherapy combined with immunotherapy is considered to be the most promising treatment, but the immune escape that easily occurs in the course of immunotherapy has become an important factor affecting the effect of leukemia treatment. Patients with leukemia can only be in complete remission before undergoing an autologous transplant. They then receive chemotherapy to "mobilize" their normal hematopoietic stem cells into the peripheral blood. Their own hematopoietic stem cells are taken and frozen. The patient receives super doses of chemotherapy and radiation to kill the malignant cells in their body. The collected autologous cells are then transfused back to rebuild hematopoietic and immune functions to cure patient (17,18). However, Cooley (19) found that there were very few malignant cells in the bone marrow or peripheral blood during the continuous remission period of leukemia, they could be regarded as "normal" cells, but they were also malignant cells. Therefore, the recurrence rate of autologous transplantation is higher than that of allotransplantation. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is currently an effective method for the treatment of hematological malignancies, but graft implantation failure is a serious complication of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. HLA haploidentity is a high risk factor for graft failure. Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation plays an important role in killing leukemic cells by eliminating residual leukemic cells. However, HSCT has a high recurrence rate for high-risk patients because it has no GvHD. The killing activity of killer cells against AML cell lines is related to the expression of PD-L1 on the surface of target cells, and the high expression of PD-L1 can inhibit the effective target killing activity of killer cells. Studies suggested that the killer cells have obvious cytotoxic effect on acute myeloid leukemia cells, but have no obvious inhibitory effect on normal bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells, and have high therapeutic performance and safety. Meta-analysis was implemented. Among the 11 included literatures, 9 used randomized control grouping, and only 2 used retrospective analysis, which brought bias to the study. Overall, however, the results of this study were affected slightly. Studies on a single sample can be volatile.

Meta-analysis was used to carry out quantitative synthesis of all the included literatures in the study, which can not only avoid the differences of different studies due to the sampling from different populations, but also give different weights to the results regarding sample size of each study, so as to increase the sample size and improve the credibility of conclusion. The quality of meta-analysis mainly lies in the authenticity and integrity of the analyzed literature. Due to the objective influence of included literatures, the number of included studies is limited, and the sample size should be expanded in subsequent studies to prevent bias.

The compound logic Boolean logic search method was adopted, and 11 literatures with only HSC transplantation or only K cell therapy as comparative study were included for meta-analysis, to discuss the therapeutic effect of HSC transplantation combined with K cell on hematological leukemia. As a result, there was no considerable heterogeneity of speech function between the two groups $(\chi^2 = 4.72, I^2 = 0\%, P = 0.58)$. There was no remarkable difference in OS rate between the 2 participant groups (Z=1.53, P=0.13). There was no heterogeneity in the cumulative recurrence rate comparison between the two participant groups (χ^2 =3.25, I²=0%, P=0.78). The cumulative recurrence rate of participants in experimental group was greatly inferior to controls (Z=1.93, P=0.05), indicating that the combined treatment did not have a significant effect on the recurrence rate of patients. Heterogeneity was suggested in the incidence of GvHD between the two participant groups (χ^2 =21.38, I²=67%, P=0.003). The incidence of GvHD in the experimental group was greatly inferior to that of controls (Z=3.87, P=0.0001). Such results were consistent with the study of Lorentino (20), which indicated that HSC transplantation combined with K cell can effectively reduce the incidence of stem cell GvHD in patients, the prognosis of transplantation was ideal, and it had no obvious effect on OS and recurrence rate.

Conclusions

The compound logic Boolean logic search method was adopted, and 11 literatures with only HSC transplantation or only K cell therapy as comparative study were included for meta-analysis, to discuss the efficiency of HSC transplantation combined with K cell on hematological leukemia. The results revealed that HSC transplantation combined with K cell can effectively reduce the incidence of stem cell GvHD in leukemia patients, the prognosis of transplantation was ideal, and it had no obvious effect on the OS and recurrence rates. However, the meta-analysis in this work still had limitations due to various confounding influence factors. The literatures selected were case-control studies. Therefore, there was survival bias itself, and many other indicators may not have been included in the study, which reduces the combined effect size notably. We will implement follow-up analysis of leukemia patients in the future to explore the effect of combined treatment on leukemia patients. In short, this work lays a theoretical basis and data support for the clinical treatment of leukemia and other hematological diseases.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the PRISMA reporting checklist. Available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1359

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://dx.doi. org/10.21037/apm-21-1359). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

1. Wang Y, Liu QF, Xu LP, et al. Haploidentical vs identicalsibling transplant for AML in remission: a multicenter,

Zhang et al. Meta-analysis: transplanted HSC and killer cell on leukemia

prospective study. Blood 2015;125:3956-62.

- Ljungman P, de la Camara R, Robin C, et al. Guidelines for the management of cytomegalovirus infection in patients with haematological malignancies and after stem cell transplantation from the 2017 European Conference on Infections in Leukaemia (ECIL 7). Lancet Infect Dis 2019;19:e260-72.
- Yaniv I, Krauss AC, Beohou E, et al. Second Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Post-Transplantation Relapsed Acute Leukemia in Children: A Retrospective EBMT-PDWP Study. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2018;24:1629-42.
- 4. Chang YJ, Wang Y, Liu YR, et al. Haploidentical allograft is superior to matched sibling donor allograft in eradicating pre-transplantation minimal residual disease of AML patients as determined by multiparameter flow cytometry: a retrospective and prospective analysis. J Hematol Oncol 2017;10:134.
- Wang Z, Li Z, Wu Q, et al. The risk of developing acute non-lymphocytic leukemia in women with breast cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2020;9:2701-9.
- Czyz A, Nagler A. The Role of Measurable Residual Disease (MRD) in Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Hematological Malignancies Focusing on Acute Leukemia. Int J Mol Sci 2019;20:5362.
- Devillier R, Legrand F, Rey J, et al. HLA-Matched Sibling versus Unrelated versus Haploidentical Related Donor Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Patients Aged Over 60 Years with Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Single-Center Donor Comparison. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2018;24:1449-54.
- Chen XP, Cao ZR, Hu J. Advances in Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation for Chronic Myelogenous Leukemia--Review. Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi 2019;27:1334-8.
- Liu Y, Huang X, Fei Q, et al. Comparison analysis between haplo identical stem cell transplantation and matched sibling donor stem cell transplantation for high-risk acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission. Sci China Life Sci 2019;62:691-7.
- 10. Salvatore D, Labopin M, Ruggeri A, et al. Outcomes of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation from unmanipulated haploidentical versus matched sibling donor in patients with acute myeloid leukemia in first complete remission with intermediate or high-risk cytogenetics: a study from the Acute Leukemia Working Party of the European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Haematologica 2018;103:1317-28.

- 11. Gilleece MH, Labopin M, Yakoub-Agha I, et al. Measurable residual disease, conditioning regimen intensity, and age predict outcome of allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia in first remission: A registry analysis of 2292 patients by the Acute Leukemia Working Party European Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation. Am J Hematol 2018;93:1142-52.
- Cho BS, Yoon JH, Shin SH, et al. Comparison of allogeneic stem cell transplantation from familial-mismatched/ haploidentical donors and from unrelated donors in adults with high-risk acute myelogenous leukemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2012;18:1552-63.
- Bashey ZA, Zhang X, Brown S, et al. Comparison of outcomes following transplantation with T-replete HLA-haploidentical donors using post-transplant cyclophosphamide to matched related and unrelated donors for patients with AML and MDS aged 60 years or older. Bone Marrow Transplant 2018;53:756-63.
- Shelikhova L, Ilushina M, Shekhovtsova Z, et al. αβ T Cell-Depleted Haploidentical Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation without Antithymocyte Globulin in Children with Chemorefractory Acute Myelogenous Leukemia. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2019;25:e179-82.
- 15. Di Stasi A, Milton DR, Poon LM, et al. Similar transplantation outcomes for acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome patients with haploidentical versus 10/10 human leukocyte antigen-matched unrelated and related donors. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant 2014;20:1975-81.
- 16. Santoro N, Labopin M, Giannotti F, et al. Unmanipulated haploidentical in comparison with matched unrelated donor stem cell transplantation in patients 60 years and older with acute myeloid leukemia: a comparative study on behalf of the ALWP of the EBMT. J Hematol Oncol 2018;11:55.
- 17. Okamoto Y, Nakazawa Y, Inoue M, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in children and adolescents with nonremission acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2020;67:e28732.
- Romee R, Rosario M, Berrien-Elliott MM, et al. Cytokine-induced memory-like natural killer cells exhibit enhanced responses against myeloid leukemia. Sci Transl Med 2016;8:357ra123.
- Cooley S, He F, Bachanova V, et al. First-in-human trial of rhIL-15 and haploidentical natural killer cell therapy for advanced acute myeloid leukemia. Blood Adv 2019;3:1970-80.

7882

Annals of Palliative Medicine, Vol 10, No 7 July 2021

20. Lorentino F, Labopin M, Bernardi M, et al. Comparable outcomes of haploidentical, 10/10 and 9/10 unrelated donor transplantation in adverse karyotype AML in first

Cite this article as: Zhang Y, Song Y, Ni Q, Li G, Dai J, Zhang M, Xie J. Systematic review and meta-analysis: transplanted hematopoietic stem cells and killer cells on leukemia. Ann Palliat Med 2021;10(7):7872-7883. doi: 10.21037/ apm-21-1359

complete remission. Am J Hematol 2018;93:1236-44.

(English Language Editor: J. Jones)