TREND Statement Checklist

Paper Section/ Topic	ltem No	Descriptor	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and Abstract			-	
Title and Abstract	1	Information on how unit were allocated to interventions	Page1/line1-2	Title/paragraph1
		Structured abstract recommended	Page1/line20-48	Abstract/paragraph1-2
		Information on target population or study sample	Page1/line24-25	Abstract/paragraph1
Introduction				
Background	2	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	Page2/line56-85	Introduction/paragraph1-3
		Theories used in designing behavioral interventions	Page3/line86-91	Introduction/paragraph3
Methods				
Participants	3	Eligibility criteria for participants, including criteria at different levels in recruitment/sampling plan (e.g., cities, clinics, subjects)	Page4/line111-119	Methods/paragraph1
		Method of recruitment (e.g., referral, self-selection), including the sampling method if a systematic sampling plan was implemented	Page4/line106-110	Methods/paragraph1
		Recruitment setting	Page4/line124-133	Methods/paragraph2
		Settings and locations where the data were collected	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph3
Interventions	4	Details of the interventions intended for each study condition and how and when they were actually administered, specifically including:	Page6/line170-173	Methods/paragraph8
		o Content: what was given?	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph3
		o Delivery method: how was the content given?	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph3
		o Unit of delivery: how were the subjects grouped during delivery?	Page4/line114-116	Methods/paragraph1
		o Deliverer: who delivered the intervention?	Page5/line141-143	Methods/paragraph3
		o Setting: where was the intervention delivered?	Page4/line115-116	Methods/paragraph1
		o Exposure quantity and duration: how many sessions or episodes or events were intended to be delivered? How long were they intended to last?	Page6/line170-173	Methods/paragraph8

	1		1	1
		o Time span: how long was it intended to take to deliver the intervention to each unit?	Page6/line170-173	Methods/paragraph8
		o Activities to increase compliance or adherence (e.g., incentives)	Page4/line124-133	Methods/paragraph2-3
Objectives	5	Specific objectives and hypotheses	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph4
Outcomes	6	Clearly defined primary and secondary outcome measures	Page5/line147-158	Methods/paragraph5-6
		Methods used to collect data and any methods used to enhance the quality of measurements	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
		Information on validated instruments such as psychometric and biometric properties	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph4
Sample Size	7	How sample size was determined and, when applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping rules	Page4/line114-116	Methods/paragraph1
Assignment Method	8	Unit of assignment (the unit being assigned to study condition, e.g., individual, group, community)	Page4/line114-116	Methods/paragraph1
		Method used to assign units to study conditions, including details of any restriction (e.g., blocking, stratification, minimization)	Page5/line159-166	Methods/paragraph7
		• Inclusion of aspects employed to help minimize potential bias induced due to non-randomization (e.g., matching)	Page5/line137-143	Methods/paragraph4
Blinding (masking)	9	• Whether or not participants, those administering the interventions, and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to study condition assignment; if so, statement regarding how the blinding was accomplished and how it was assessed.	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
Unit of Analysis	10	Description of the smallest unit that is being analyzed to assess intervention effects (e.g., individual, group, or community)	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph
		• If the unit of analysis differs from the unit of assignment, the analytical method used to account for this (e.g., adjusting the standard error estimates by the design effect or using multilevel analysis)	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
Statistical Methods	11	Statistical methods used to compare study groups for primary methods outcome(s), including complex methods of correlated data	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph
		Statistical methods used for additional analyses, such as a subgroup analyses and adjusted analysis	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
		Methods for imputing missing data, if used	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
		Statistical software or programs used	Page6/line176-179	Statistical methods/paragraph1
Results				
Participant flow	12	Flow of participants through each stage of the study: enrollment, assignment, allocation, and intervention exposure, follow-up, analysis (a diagram is strongly recommended)	Page6/line184-185	Results/paragraph1
		o Enrollment: the numbers of participants screened for eligibility, found to be eligible or not eligible, declined to be enrolled, and enrolled in the study	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2

		o Assignment: the numbers of participants assigned to a study condition	Page6/line184-185	Results/paragraph1
		o Allocation and intervention exposure: the number of participants assigned to each study condition and the number of participants who received each intervention	Page6/line184-185	Results/paragraph1
		o Follow-up: the number of participants who completed the follow- up or did not complete the follow-up (i.e., lost to follow-up), by study condition	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
		o Analysis: the number of participants included in or excluded from the main analysis, by study condition	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
		Description of protocol deviations from study as planned, along with reasons	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
Recruitment	13	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
Baseline Data	14	Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of participants in each study condition	Page6/line184-185	Results/paragraph1
		Baseline characteristics for each study condition relevant to specific disease prevention research	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
		Baseline comparisons of those lost to follow-up and those retained, overall and by study condition	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
		Comparison between study population at baseline and target population of interest	Page7/line204-224	Results/paragraph3-5
Baseline equivalence	15	Data on study group equivalence at baseline and statistical methods used to control for baseline differences	Page7/line204-224	Results/paragraph3-5
Numbers analyzed	16	Number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis for each study condition, particularly when the denominators change for different outcomes; statement of the results in absolute numbers when feasible	Page6/line184-185	Results/paragraph1
		Indication of whether the analysis strategy was "intention to treat" or, if not, description of how non-compliers were treated in the analyses	Page6/line190- Page7/line200	Results/paragraph2
Outcomes and estimation	17	• For each primary and secondary outcome, a summary of results for each estimation study condition, and the estimated effect size and a confidence interval to indicate the precision	Page7/line204-224	Results/paragraph3-5
		Inclusion of null and negative findings	Page7/line204-224	Results/paragraph3-5
		Inclusion of results from testing pre-specified causal pathways through which the intervention was intended to operate, if any	Page7/line204-224	Results/paragraph3-5
Ancillary analyses	18	Summary of other analyses performed, including subgroup or restricted analyses, indicating which are pre- specified or exploratory	Page7/line229- Page8/line235	Results/paragraph6
Adverse events	19	 Summary of all important adverse events or unintended effects in each study condition (including summary measures, effect size estimates, and confidence intervals) 	Page7/line229- Page8/line235	Results/paragraph6

DISCUSSION					
Interpretation	20	 Interpretation of the results, taking into account study hypotheses, sources of potential bias, imprecision of measures, multiplicative analyses, and other limitations or weaknesses of the study 	Page8/line239-249	Discussion/paragraph1	
		Discussion of results taking into account the mechanism by which the intervention was intended to work (causal pathways) or alternative mechanisms or explanations	Page8/line250-280	Discussion/paragraph2	
		Discussion of the success of and barriers to implementing the intervention, fidelity of implementation	Page9/line281-301	Discussion/paragraph3	
		Discussion of research, programmatic, or policy implications	Page10/line305-311	Conclusion/paragraph1	
Generalizability	21	 Generalizability (external validity) of the trial findings, taking into account the study population, the characteristics of the intervention, length of follow-up, incentives, compliance rates, specific sites/settings involved in the study, and other contextual issues 	Page8/line239-Page9/line311	Discussion/paragraph1-3	
Overall Evidence	22	General interpretation of the results in the context of current evidence and current theory	Page8/line239-Page9/line311	Discussion/paragraph1-3	

From: Des Jarlais, D. C., Lyles, C., Crepaz, N., & the Trend Group (2004). Improving the reporting quality of nonrandomized evaluations of behavioral and public health interventions: The TREND statement. *American Journal of Public Health*, 94, 361-366. For more information, visit: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/trendstatement/</u>

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-157

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copy editing and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.