### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	-	This study did not involve
name, catalogue number and RRID, if		antibodies.
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
<b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID	-	This study did not involve cells.
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex	-	This study did not involve primary
of origin, genetic modification status.		cultures.
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
<b>Laboratory animals:</b> Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID	-	This study did not involve animals.
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	-	This study did not involve animals.
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b>	-	This study did not involve animals.
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
<b>Plants:</b> provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	-	This study did not involve plants.
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique	-	This study did not involve
accession number if available, and source		microbes.
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	-	This study did not involve human.
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	-	This study did not involve human.
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	-	This study did not involve human.

# <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.	-	This study did not involve clinical trial.
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	-	This study did not involve laboratory protocol.
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, <b>or</b> if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	-	This study did not involve sample size determination.
Randomisation	-	This study did not involve randomisation.
Blinding	-	This study did not involve blinding.
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	-	This study did not involve inclusion/exclusion criteria.
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	-	This study did not involve laboratory replication.
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	-	No data refers to replicates.
Ethics	Vac lindianta udana manidadi	
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes (indicate where provided: -	n/a This study did not involve human.
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	-	This study did not involve animals.
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	-	This study did not involve specimen.
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory	-	The study isn't subject to dual use research of concern.

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	-	There is no excluded for
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		sample or data analysis.
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Method/Paragraph 8	-
tests.		
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	Supplementary Section,	-
including protocols for access or restriction on	including raw files and	
access.	computer outputs.	
If data are publicly available, provide accession	-	The data are not publicly
number in repository or DOI or URL.		available.
If publicly available data are reused, provide	-	There is no reused for
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		publicly available data.
possible.		
Code Availability	Vee (indicate where menided)	
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Supplementary Section	-
If code is publicly available, provide accession	-	There is no accession
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		number.

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	-

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-3298