

## Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)\*

<http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/srqr/>

Page/line no(s).

### Title and abstract

<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Title Page, Page 1
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Abstract, Page 2

### Introduction

<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Introduction, Page 4-5, Paragraphs 2-4, Lines 10-34
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Introduction, Page 5, Paragraph 4, Lines 34-38

### Methods

<b>Qualitative approach and research paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	Methods, Page 8, Paragraph 4, line 90-94.
<b>Researcher characteristics and reflexivity</b> - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	Methods, Page 8, Paragraph 3-4, lines 85-92
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Methods, Page 5-6, Paragraph 1, lines 41-61
<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Methods, Page 7, Paragraph 2, Lines 63-67
<b>Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Methods, Page 7, Paragraph 2, lines 63-64
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	Methods, Page 7, Paragraph 2, Lines 63-68

<b>Data collection instruments and technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Methods, Page 7-8, Paragraph 3, Lines 76-88
<b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Findings, Page 9, paragraph 1, lines 107-112
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	Methods, Page 8-9, paragraph 4, lines 90-104
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Methods, Page 8, Paragraph 4, lines 90-99
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	Methods, Page 9, Paragraph 4, lines 99-104

### Results/findings

<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Findings, Page 9, paragraphs 1-2, lines 107-118
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Findings, Pages 9-13, paragraphs 3-6, lines 120-200

### Discussion

<b>Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field</b> - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Discussion, Pages 13-18, paragraphs 1-5, lines 203-309
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Limitations, Page 19, Paragraph 1, lines 312-320

### Other

<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	Footnotes, COI statement, page 21-22, lines 371-375
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	Acknowledgements, Page 21, lines 364-365

\*The authors created the SRQR by searching the literature to identify guidelines, reporting standards, and critical appraisal criteria for qualitative research; reviewing the reference lists of retrieved sources; and contacting experts to gain feedback. The SRQR aims to improve the transparency of all aspects of qualitative research by providing clear standards for reporting qualitative research.

\*\*The rationale should briefly discuss the justification for choosing that theory, approach, method, or technique rather than other options available, the assumptions and limitations implicit in those choices, and how those choices influence study conclusions and transferability. As appropriate, the rationale for several items might be discussed together.

**Reference:**

O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. **Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations.** *Academic Medicine*, Vol. 89, No. 9 / Sept 2014  
DOI: 10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/apm-21-3225>

\*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.