STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No	Section/ paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	3/29	Abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	3-4/32-57	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	5/62-93	Intro
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	4/90-93	Intro
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants (b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials Methods/materials
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case		
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials

(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials
(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed		Methods/materials
Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed		
Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy		
(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	6-9/100-150	Methods/materials

Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	n/a	
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed		
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	n/a	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	n/a	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	9/158-179, table 1	Results
		confounders		
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	7/121	Methods/materials
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	n/a, all f/u 24mo	
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	10/181-189	Results
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	n/a	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	n/a	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	10/181-189	Results
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	n/a	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/a	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	10/181-189	Results
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	13/256-286	Discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and	11/201-212	discussion
		magnitude of any potential bias		
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from	14/282-292	Discussion
		similar studies, and other relevant evidence		
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	13/268-277	Discussion
Other information	on			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on	n/a	
		which the present article is based		

^{*}Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj-20-111

^{*}As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.