Morphologic analysis of the sublime tubercle: considerations in the approach to ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction
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Background: Given the increased incidence of ulnar collateral ligament (UCL) injuries and the projected increase in reconstruction procedures, a robust understanding of the morphologic location of the sublime tubercle is paramount to anatomic reconstruction. There is limited research evaluating the morphology of the sublime tubercle of the proximal ulna in an anterior-to-posterior plane.

Methods: Twenty-five computed tomography (CT) scans of intact proximal elbow joints for patients were selected using a de-identified database. Cases with history of fracture or surgical intervention to the elbow joint were excluded. These CT scans were then imported into the Mimics Innovation Suite 24.0 software to analyze the sublime tubercle angle from the midpoint of the trochlear notch. All left elbow angle and clock-face values were converted to right elbow values for analysis.

Results: The average sublime tubercle angle from the midpoint of the trochlear notch was 282.53 degrees. The angle was converted to a clock face descriptor which located the sublime tubercle at 9.42. Our findings demonstrate that, in the non-throwing population, the morphology of the sublime tubercle can be expected to have a consistent medial location between 9 and 10 o’clock or 2 and 3 o’clock on the contralateral elbow.

Conclusions: In relation to the proximal ulnar landmarks, the sublime tubercle, in the non-throwing population, has a consistent relative location.

Keywords: Sublime tubercle; ulnar collateral ligament reconstruction (UCL reconstruction); ulnar collateral ligament

Received: 03 March 2022; Accepted: 08 August 2022.

doi: 10.21037/aoj-22-20

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj-22-20
specifically evaluating the morphology of the sublime tubercle of the proximal ulna in an anterior-to-posterior plane. Given the increased incidence of UCL injuries and the projected increase in reconstruction procedures, a robust understanding of the morphologic nature of the UCL and sublime tubercle is crucial (5,8).

The purpose of this morphologic analysis is to examine the anatomic variations of the sublime tubercle relative to the ulna. Knowledge of this location plays an integral role in surgical planning. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at https://aoj.amergroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aoj-22-20/rc).

**Methods**

**Selection process**

The University of South Florida, Department of Radiology maintains an anonymized research database of medical image data. This data was collected under IRB approval for Human Subject Research following all ethical policies for patient data research. All scans were clinically ordered for diagnostic imaging as part of the patient’s standard of clinical care and therefore, no individuals were subjected to any radiation for research purposes. These computed tomography (CT) scans were then extracted without any patient health information (PHI), including age and sex, that were sourced from the hospital picture archiving and communication system (PACS) in Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) format. Each CT scan was given a unique alphanumerical identity (ID) of 6 letters and/or numbers. A total of 91 CT scans were identified that included the anatomy of interest. Each dataset was screened for inclusion/exclusion criteria. Studies were included if the entirety of the proximal ulna was captured in the scan field of view (FOV). Scans were excluded if the ulna was only partially captured, if there was evidence of an unhealed or healed fracture, any surgical intervention, or identifiable pathology, including but not limited to arthritis, congenital malformation, or osteosarcoma. Sixty-six CT scans were excluded, and 25 elbows met criteria for analysis. These CT images were then imported into the Mimics Innovation Suite 24.0 (Leuven, Belgium) for morphologic analysis. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). Twenty-five de-identified CT scans were obtained for morphological analysis of the sublime tubercle at our University’s Department of Radiology. Informed consent was waived due to the de-identified nature of this study. IRB determined the study was exempt due to the de-identified nature of this study.

**Morphologic analysis**

The following analytic procedure was conducted for each elbow. The proximal ulna was initially segmented using a bone threshold (≥226 Hounsfield units). Hand-segmentation was used to separate the ulna from the humerus and radius and subsequent 3D models were generated of the proximal ulna (Figure 1). The central line of the proximal ulna was measured by placing a landmark on three locations: the midpoint of the trochlear notch, the most superior point of the trochlear notch, and the most inferior point of the olecranon (Figure 2). A central line intersecting the midpoint of the trochlear notch was then created from the superior to inferior landmarks and used as a point of reference for the sublime angle (Figure 3). The length of this central line was also measured. The sublime tubercle was then marked and a line was formed from the sublime tubercle to the midpoint of the trochlear notch. This distance was also captured. The sublime angle, produced from the central line and the line from the midpoint of the trochlear notch to the sublime tubercle, was then measured (Figure 4). For left ulnae, the inverse of this angle was calculated by using the following formula: 360° – measured sublime angle (°) = true sublime angle (°).

**Statistical analysis**

Measurements of central tendency were calculated for the distance of the sublime tubercle to the midpoint of the trochlear notch, the maximum distance of the central line, and the sublime angle. The sublime angle was also converted to an o’clock format in which the “hour” signified the location of the sublime tubercle in relation to the central line. After the conversion, the hour was rounded up or down to the nearest hundredth of an hour. Microsoft Excel™ 2022 was used to calculate mean values, standard deviations, and ranges for continuous variables.

**Results**

The sublime tubercle of the ulna was identified in all 25 CT scans. Due to the use of a de-identified database, no demographic data was available. The average maximum
Figure 1  Coronal, sagittal, and axial CT images of the proximal ulna of a right elbow are shown with the sublime tubercle landmarked. The ulna with all four landmarks is also shown as a 3D reconstruction using the Mimics Innovation Suite 24.0 software. CT, computed tomography; 3D, three-dimensional.

Figure 2  A 3D reconstructed proximal ulna of a right elbow is shown with the following landmarks: midpoint of the trochlear notch (or centroid), the most superior point of the trochlear notch, and the most inferior point of the olecranon. 3D, three-dimensional.

Figure 3  A 3D reconstructed proximal ulna of a right elbow is shown with a line connecting all three landmarks, creating the central line. 3D, three-dimensional.
of the trochlear notch was 15.18±3.67 mm (range, 5.57–22.55 mm). All left elbow angle and clock-face values were converted to right elbow values for analysis.

The average sublime tubercle angle from the midpoint of the trochlear notch was 282.53±8.8° (range, 271.19°–305.04°). There was only one sublime tubercle angle over 300°. The sublime tubercle angle was then equated to a clock face to provide a vernacular descriptor commonly used by surgeons to localize direction of structures. The 12, 3, 6, and 9 o’clock positions correlate to 0°, 90°, 180°, and 270°, respectively. The conversion placed the sublime tubercle at 9.42±0.29 (range, 9.04–10.17), roughly half past 9 (Figure 5). Results can be seen in Table 1.

**Discussion**

This study examined the osseous morphology of the sublime tubercle as it relates to the anterior-to-posterior plane of the proximal ulna. We determined the mean distance from the sublime tubercle to the midpoint of the trochlear notch to be approximately 15.2 mm in an anterior-to-posterior plane. UCL injuries have increased over the last two decades in overhead throwers, especially baseball players (2,5,7,9). Ciccotti et al. found that the overall return to play is relatively strong at 79.9% of professional pitchers returning with 71.2% returning to the same level of performance (2). The financial and time-related costs associated with UCL injuries in professional baseball are significant (10). A robust knowledge of proximal ulnar anatomy is required for the development of novel reconstruction techniques to continue to improve the surgical treatment of UCL injuries.

The validity of our results is strengthened by similar findings utilizing different methodologies. Cage et al. used digital calipers to measure the insertion of the anterior bundle of the UCL from the coronoid tip while Rausch et al. used individually calibrated images of cadaveric dissections to standardize measurements from the lesser sigmoid notch (8,11). Using these methods, the ventral aspect of the anterior bundle of the UCL and coronoid tip were a mean distance of 18.4 mm dorsal to the coronoid tip as described by Cage et al. and 7.8 mm from the coronoid tip in a sagittal line as described by Rausch et al (8,11). Farrow et al. found a mean distance between the sublime tubercle to the posterior articular margin of the lesser sigmoid notch to be 5.5±1.0 mm with digital calipers and 5.8±1.3 mm using CT scans with 3D reconstructions and digital measuring tools (12). Although these methods cannot be directly compared due to the differences in

---

**Figure 4** A 3D reconstructed proximal ulna of a right elbow is shown demonstrating the measurement of the sublime angle from the midpoint of the trochlear notch along the central line to the sublime tubercle. 3D, three-dimensional.

**Figure 5** A 3D reconstructed proximal ulna of a right elbow is shown on top of a clock face to demonstrate the clock face conversion of the sublime angle. 3D, three-dimensional.
techniques, they provide various reference points for accurately determining the sublime tubercle landmark. The mean distance from the midpoint of the trochlear notch to the sublime tubercle was 15.18±3.67 mm (range, 5.57–22.55 mm). This distance is congruent in magnitude with the other studies measuring the sublime tubercle from both the coronoid tip and the posterior articular margin of the lesser sigmoid notch (8,11).

Variations in previously described UCL anterior bundle insertions have been reported with insertions 1–4 mm from the articular surface on the sublime tubercle (8,13-16). These variations, albeit small, may show a relationship with any morphologic variations of the sublime tubercle itself. Rausch et al. evaluated the relationship of the anterior bundle of the UCL to the coronoid tip and reported high variance (11). However, they did not examine the relationship to the sublime tubercle or evaluate any variations in the osseous morphology of the sublime tubercle. Ikezu et al. and Hoshika et al. have demonstrated variations in not only the relationship between the tendinous structures of the medial elbow and the UCL but also the relationship between their insertion on the sublime tubercle (14,17). Hoshika et al. suggested reconsideration of the anterior bundle of the UCL given their findings and the shared insertions related to the sublime tubercle (14). We believe that the various medial elbow anatomical descriptions and variations demonstrate the importance of characterizing the morphology of the sublime tubercle since this osseous landmark is frequently discussed and referenced. This relationship is vital to UCL reconstruction techniques which anchor the grafts around the sublime tubercle (18,19). Multiple studies have examined the medial elbow anatomy, but to our knowledge none have specifically examined the osseous morphology of the sublime tubercle in anterior-to-posterior plane described in this study (8,11,13-17).

This study has several limitations. CT was the only modality used to evaluate the osseous morphology of the sublime tubercle. However, the consistency of this method has been verified in previous similar work analyzing the sublime tubercle (12). Another limitation is the small sample size, although we believe that this study should be assessed in conjunction with other CT-based morphologic studies, which provide further evidence of this technique as a viable means of evaluating osseous morphology. The use of a de-identified database potentially introduces inadvertent selection bias and limits the applicability to throwers. Since there was a relatively wide range of sublime tubercle positions in our cohort of 25 elbows, there may be larger variance found in the population at large. Future studies could address the position of the sublime tubercle in larger populations as well as throwers in particular.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the importance of understanding any anatomic variations of the sublime tubercle is paramount in properly restoring the kinematics of UCL reconstruction. We analyzed the morphology of the sublime tubercle in the anterior-to-posterior plane to evaluate variations in the anatomy of this integral structure. Our findings demonstrate that the bony morphology of the sublime tubercle can be expected to have a consistent medial location between 9 and 10 o’clock on a right elbow (2 and 3 o’clock on the contralateral elbow).
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