

## Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoj-23-51>

### Reviewer A

Very well-done systematic review exploring a question that is novel and relevant for surgeons who treat pediatric patients for a very common injury.

Limitations of this review clearly outlined.

Excellent foundation for future comparative studies.

(Line 117) exclusion criteria included pediatric patients younger than 10 - why was this? Should this be mentioned in paper?

Very interesting and relevant topic done in a thorough and systematic way. As a pediatric orthopedic surgeon, I found this very useful and relevant to my practice.

**Reply:** Thank you for your feedback. We agree this was unclear and upon retrospective review, patients below age 18 (17 and younger) were included in the study and only ages 18 and older were excluded. The manuscript has been adjusted to reflect this.

**Changes in the text:** highlighted in blue within the uploaded document.

### Reviewer B

A lot of work done. Interesting topic, whole process done in a clear way.

Sample could be bigger.

**Reply:** Thank you for your review of our manuscript. It is our hope that with our manuscript, we can encourage future studies with larger sample size.