A novel mechanism of regulation of SHPRH by circular RNA, circ-SHPRH in glioblastoma

Shinseog Kim¹, Soomin Kim^{1,2}, Yuri Seo¹, Kyungjae Myung^{1,2}

¹Center for Genomic Integrity, Institute for Basic Science, Ulsan, Korea; ²School of Life Sciences, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan, Korea

Correspondence to: Kyungjae Myung. Center for Genomic Integrity, Institute for Basic Science, Ulsan 44919, Korea. Email: kmyung@ibs.re.kr. *Comment on*: Zhang M, Huang N, Yang X, et al. A novel protein encoded by the circular form of the SHPRH gene suppresses glioma tumorigenesis. Oncogene 2018;37:1805-14.

Received: 09 May 2018; Accepted: 31 May 2018; Published: 13 June 2018. doi: 10.21037/ncri.2018.06.03 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ncri.2018.06.03

Maintenance of genomic integrity is critical for the control of cell proliferation and survival. The genome is susceptible to DNA damage, which generates DNA lesions blocking the progression of DNA replication. Cells have multiple mechanisms to respond to DNA damage during replication; stabilization of the stalled replication fork, bypass the damage using specific DNA polymerases or recombination, or converting the damage into a second lesion. Responses by cells to DNA damage by these mechanisms can either activate DNA-repair pathway or programmed cell death (1). Failure or abnormal responses to DNA damage results in accumulation of mutations and promotes transformation of normal cells into cancer cells.

A recent paper by Zhang *et al.* described a potential role of short circular form of the SHPRH (SNF2 histone linker PHD RING helicase) as a suppressor of glioma tumorigenesis (2). In this paper, authors characterized circular RNAs (circRNAs) from glioma and adjacent normal brain tissues by using deep RNA-seq with computational approaches. They found that a circular form of *SHPRH* gene encodes a novel short form of SHPRH protein (SHPRH-146aa), which was less expressed in glioblastoma patient samples compared to surrounding normal brain tissues. They showed that SHPRH-146aa affected the stability of a full-length SHPRH protein and suggested that it possibly acted as a tumor suppressor in brain.

circRNAs are covalently closed RNAs generated by a back-splice event of pre-mRNA. A downstream 5' splice donor site is ligated to an upstream 3' acceptor site (3). Due to its absence of free 5' and 3' termini, circRNAs are

resistant to degradation by RNA exonuclease and have long half-lives (4). Notably circRNAs are enriched in neural tissues especially in brain (5). The low proliferation rate of neuronal cells may account for the high level of circRNAs in brain as more stable circRNAs can accumulate without degradation. In contrast, the expression of circRNAs are shown to be less abundant in cancerous tissues with high proliferation rate underscoring the relation between circRNAs and proliferation (6). Recent studies have suggested functions of circRNAs in regulating gene expression; some circRNAs regulate gene expression by competing with host mRNAs for miRNA binding as miRNA sponges and other circRNAs has been reported to associate with RNA polymerase II and promote the transcription of their parental genes (7,8). Thus circRNAs can be functionally involved in carcinogenesis either by generating novel cancer promoting circRNAs or by regulating expression of oncogenic proteins (3). These features also make circRNAs as potential cancer biomarkers.

Zhang *et al.* provided evidence that the circSHPRH contained 440 nucleotides and the expression level was decreased in glioblastoma cells compared to adjacent normal brain tissues. For the detection of protein coding circRNAs, Zhang *et al.* used the approach with deep RNA sequencing with ribosomal RNA depletion. The authors further validated the circSHPRH was translated to a functional 144 amino acids of SHPRH (circSHPRH) by targeted mass spectrometry and LC-MS. The circSHPRH seems to protect the degradation or enhance the stability of the full length SHPRH since the expression of

full-length SHPRH protein was increased following overexpression of circSHPRH (2). These results imply that the circSHPRH contributes to genome stability from replication stress through regulating the full length SHPRH.

Human *SHPRH* gene is located at the chromosome 6q 24 and has been suggested as a putative tumor suppressor (9). It is a functional ortholog of *S. cerevisiae* Rad5, a DNA repair protein having Swi2/Snf2 chromatin remodeling and DNA dependent ATPase activity. Rad5 also contains a RING finger motif that mediates ubiquitin ligase activity and this activity is important for polyubiquitination of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) in post replication repair (PRR) pathway (10-12).

PCNA, the replicating sliding clamp is an evolutionary well conserved protein found in all eukarvotes and plays an essential role in DNA replication and repair. The proper control of replication process is crucial for genomic integrity and when replication forks encounter DNA damage, they can stall to repair DNA lesions by DNA damage response mechanisms. However, persistently stalled replication forks may fail to restart and collapse to cause severe genome instability (13). To suppress these detrimental effects, cells have evolved the PRR pathways; either translesion synthesis (TLS) bypassing DNA lesions by TLS polymerases or template switching (TS) to the nascent strand of sister chromatids (14). The posttranslational modifications of PCNA regulate the choice of the PRR pathways. Upon various DNA damage and replication stress, PCNA is either mono- or polyubiquitinated on the lysine 164 (K164) residue (15). Monoubiquitination of PCNA by Rad18 and Rad6 promotes error-prone DNA lesion bypasses by recruiting TLS polymerases to the replication forks whereas Rad5 dependent K63-linked polyubiquitination of PCNA facilitates error-free TS pathway (15). The K63linked polyubiquitination of PCNA promotes the TS in a proteasome independent manner and this is different from canonical K48-linked polyubiquitination that leads protein degradation (16).

Human SHPRH physically interacts with the human PCNA, RAD18, and ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBC13 and also promotes DNA damage induced PCNA polyubiquitination (17,18). Human Rad5 family includes SHPRH and helicase like transcription factor (HLTF). Both SHPRH and HLTF are involved in polyubiquitination of PCNA and loss of either SHPRH or HLTF increases genome instability following DNA damage suggesting they are functional homologs of Rad5 (17,19). The predicted functional domains in SHPRH include SWI2/SNF2, RING helicase and PHD domain (9,20). Zhang *et al.* showed a translated form of human circSHPRH is generated from exons 26–29 harboring helicase domain of SHPRH. They found that the overexpression of SHPRH-146aa increased the level of full length SHPRH protein without affecting the level of mRNA. The SHPRH-146aa also includes putative ubiquitination site that can be a target of ubiquitin ligase (2). The SHPRH-146aa may protect SHPRH from ubiquitination *in vivo* and enhance its stability, which could promote tumor suppressive functions of SHPRH through regulation of posttranslational modification of PCNA upon DNA damage.

In addition to functions in DNA repair and PCNA polyubiquitination, SHPRH has been shown to regulate ribosomal RNA (rRNA) transcription through its plant homeodomain (PHD) (21). The PHD of SHPRH interacts with histone H3 in an mTOR-dependent manner and the presence of SHPRH is important to recruit RNA polymerase I to the rDNA promoter (21). Transcription of rRNA accounts for more than 50% of RNA synthesis in a cell and rRNA transcription is involved in many cellular processes including cell cycle regulation, differentiation, and metabolic processes (22-24). Due to its multiple physiological functions, abnormal rRNA transcription has been reported in many cancers (25). Several point mutations of SHPRH gene were found in multiple human cancer cells (17). Although it is still unclear which function of SHPRH has link to carcinogenesis, it is possible that the SHPRH-146aa secures the regulation of rRNA transcription by SHPRH to suppress tumorigenesis.

Zhang *et al.* explored the potential clinical implications of SHPRH-146aa and observed down-regulation in 81% glioblastoma samples and patients with higher level of SHPRH146aa in their tumor survive longer. Moreover, overexpression of SHPRH-146aa resulted in lower tumorigenicity suggesting SHPRH-146aa as a potential prognostic biomarker of glioblastoma.

The study provides a novel insight of potential role of circRNAs in carcinogenesis and the clinical implication of translated peptides. In additions, this study uncovers a new mechanism of regulation of SHPRH protein stability and its importance in glioblastoma. Further studies for molecular mechanism of SHPRH-146aa as a tumor suppressor will deepen our understandings of coding circRNAs and improve the prognosis of cancer.

Non-coding RNA Investigation, 2018

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R022-D1).

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Jin Li (Cardiac Regeneration and Ageing Lab, School of Life Sciences, Shanghai University, Shanghai, China).

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/ncri.2018.06.03). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Prakash S, Johnson RE, Prakash L. Eukaryotic translesion synthesis DNA polymerases: specificity of structure and function. Annu Rev Biochem 2005;74:317-53.
- 2. Zhang M, Huang N, Yang X, et al. A novel protein encoded by the circular form of the SHPRH gene suppresses glioma tumorigenesis. Oncogene 2018;37:1805-14.
- Patop IL, Kadener S. circRNAs in Cancer. Curr Opin Genet Dev 2018;48:121-7.
- 4. Lasda E, Parker R. Circular RNAs: diversity of form and function. RNA 2014;20:1829-42.
- Rybak-Wolf A, Stottmeister C, Glazar P, et al. Circular RNAs in the Mammalian Brain Are Highly Abundant, Conserved, and Dynamically Expressed. Mol Cell 2015;58:870-85.

- Page 3 of 4
- 6. Bachmayr-Heyda A, Reiner AT, Auer K, et al. Correlation of circular RNA abundance with proliferation--exemplified with colorectal and ovarian cancer, idiopathic lung fibrosis, and normal human tissues. Sci Rep 2015;5:8057.
- Memczak S, Jens M, Elefsinioti A, et al. Circular RNAs are a large class of animal RNAs with regulatory potency. Nature 2013;495:333-8.
- 8. Zhang Y, Zhang XO, Chen T, et al. Circular intronic long noncoding RNAs. Mol Cell 2013;51:792-806.
- Sood R, Makalowska I, Galdzicki M, et al. Cloning and characterization of a novel gene, SHPRH, encoding a conserved putative protein with SNF2/helicase and PHD-finger domains from the 6q24 region. Genomics 2003;82:153-61.
- Carlile CM, Pickart CM, Matunis MJ, et al. Synthesis of free and proliferating cell nuclear antigen-bound polyubiquitin chains by the RING E3 ubiquitin ligase Rad5. J Biol Chem 2009;284:29326-34.
- 11. Parker JL, Ulrich HD. Mechanistic analysis of PCNA poly-ubiquitylation by the ubiquitin protein ligases Rad18 and Rad5. EMBO J 2009;28:3657-66.
- 12. Joazeiro CA, Wing SS, Huang H, et al. The tyrosine kinase negative regulator c-Cbl as a RING-type, E2-dependent ubiquitin-protein ligase. Science 1999;286:309-12.
- Kolodner RD, Putnam CD, Myung K. Maintenance of genome stability in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Science 2002;297:552-7.
- 14. Smirnova M, Klein HL. Role of the error-free damage bypass postreplication repair pathway in the maintenance of genomic stability. Mutat Res 2003;532:117-35.
- Kannouche PL, Lehmann AR. Ubiquitination of PCNA and the polymerase switch in human cells. Cell Cycle 2004;3:1011-3.
- Sun L, Chen ZJ. The novel functions of ubiquitination in signaling. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2004;16:119-26.
- Motegi A, Sood R, Moinova H, et al. Human SHPRH suppresses genomic instability through proliferating cell nuclear antigen polyubiquitination. J Cell Biol 2006;175:703-8.
- Unk I, Hajdu I, Fatyol K, et al. Human SHPRH is a ubiquitin ligase for Mms2-Ubc13-dependent polyubiquitylation of proliferating cell nuclear antigen. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:18107-12.
- Motegi A, Liaw HJ, Lee KY, et al. Polyubiquitination of proliferating cell nuclear antigen by HLTF and SHPRH prevents genomic instability from stalled replication forks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:12411-6.

Non-coding RNA Investigation, 2018

Page 4 of 4

- Lee D, Park JH, Kim S, et al. SHPRH as a new player in ribosomal RNA transcription and its potential role in homeostasis of ribosomal DNA repeats. Transcription 2018;9:190-5.
- 21. Lee D, An J, Park YU, et al. SHPRH regulates rRNA transcription by recognizing the histone code in an mTOR-dependent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017;114:E3424-E33.
- 22. Chen FW, Ioannou YA. Ribosomal proteins in cell

doi: 10.21037/ncri.2018.06.03

Cite this article as: Kim S, Kim S, Seo Y, Myung K. A novel mechanism of regulation of SHPRH by circular RNA, circ-SHPRH in glioblastoma. Non-coding RNA Investig 2018;2:31.

proliferation and apoptosis. Int Rev Immunol 1999;18:429-48.

- 23. Mayer C, Zhao J, Yuan X, et al. mTOR-dependent activation of the transcription factor TIF-IA links rRNA synthesis to nutrient availability. Genes Dev 2004;18:423-34.
- 24. Russell J, Zomerdijk JC. RNA-polymerase-I-directed rDNA transcription, life and works. Trends Biochem Sci 2005;30:87-96.
- 25. Ruggero D, Pandolfi PP. Does the ribosome translate cancer? Nat Rev Cancer 2003;3:179-92.