

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jeccm-22-64>

Reviewer A

This case report is not well described and there are so many grammar errors in the manuscript.

Reply: a complete linguistic and spelling revision was performed after making the required changes.

Reviewer B

The article entitled “Organophosphate pesticide poisoning: a peculiar case report” presents a case of organophosphate poisoning where the clinical intervention had a great impact on the patient’s outcome. It was very well written and presents information relevant to the area of study. However, it should show more clearly what makes this a peculiar case of organophosphate poisoning. The manuscript can be accepted for publication with minor revisions. Some suggestions were listed below.

Abstract

According to the JECCM guidelines for authors, a case report’s abstract session must be structured with a background, which should state what is known and unknown about the topic; why the case report is unique and what it adds to existing literature; a case description that describes the patient’s demographic details and main history, the main diagnosis, interventions, outcomes and follow-ups, and a conclusion section that summarizes the main take-away lesson, clinical impact and potential implications.

Comment 1: However, I couldn’t find information about the uniqueness of the case or any case report description in the abstract section. Please, add more information about the case itself so the readers can have enough information about the paper in the abstract.

Reply 1: in the abstract session we have added some specifics and clinical information of the clinical case described.

Changes in the text: see page 3 line 63

Introduction

The introduction section presents good information about the organophosphate poisoning background.

Comment 2: However, the structuring and paragraphs division should be reviewed. For example, the second and third paragraphs should be together, since they are a continuation of each other, while the fourth, fifth and sixth should be part of the same paragraph. A paragraph with only one line is not a paragraph itself. So, review this

throughout the manuscript.

Reply 2: as suggested, we have better organized the paragraphs by following the sections of the introduction by topic

Changes in the text: see page 4 from line 74 to line 113

Case presentation

The case presentation is clear and informative.

Comment 3: However, before mentioning an abbreviation, make sure you cite the full name, specially when you talk about technical parameters. This paper will be read not only by experts. It is also worth mentioning that it is not clear in the case presentation why this case is unique as the group mention ride away on the title. So, why is this case so important and unique?

Reply 3: In this session we checked all the abbreviations and added the description where it was missing.

In this session we have neglected to specify the peculiar characteristics of the case, deciding to describe them in the final part of the discussion, avoiding repetitions.

Changes in the text:- see page 5 from line 116 to line 155

-see page 2 from line 25 to 47.

Discussion

Congratulations on this section! It is well written, very informative and clear.

Comment 4: However, how about add a final paragraph to discuss the uniqueness of the case? It should be nothing more than 4 or 5 lines resuming the case differences from the other cases. This should represent a fine closure for the paper.

Reply 4: In this session we have added a paragraph describing the main peculiarities of the case as suggested by the reviewer.

Changes in the text: see page 12 from line 271 to 287