Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) for staging & treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)

Marc Hartert, Jan Tripsky, Martin Huertgen

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Katholisches Klinikum Koblenz-Montabaur, Koblenz, Germany

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: M Hartert, M Huertgen; (II) Administrative support: J Tripsky; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: M Hartert; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: M Hartert; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: M Hartert, M Huertgen; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Marc Hartert, MD. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Katholisches Klinikum Koblenz-Montabaur, Rudolf-Virchow-Str. 7-9, 56073 Koblenz, Germany. Email: m.hartert@kk-km.de.

Abstract: Precise preoperative staging and restaging of mediastinal lymph nodes in patients with potentially resectable non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is of supreme importance. Over the last years, algorithms on preoperative mediastinal staging incorporating imaging, endoscopic and surgical techniques have been widely published, offering more evidence concerning different mediastinal staging techniques. Current guidelines well define when and how to receive tissue confirmation in case of computed tomography (CT)-enlarged or positron emission tomography (PET)-positive mediastinal lymph nodes. Endosonography [(endoscopic bronchial ultrasonography/oesophageal ultrasonography (EBUS/EUS)] with fine needle aspiration still is the first choice (when accessible) since it is minimally invasive and has a high sensitivity to confirm mediastinal nodal disease. If negative, surgical staging with nodal dissection or biopsy is indicated. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) and transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy (TEMLA) are preferred over conventional mediastinoscopy if a mediastinal R0-resection can be achieved. The mutual use of endoscopic and surgical staging effects highest accuracy. Straight surgical resection of tumors ≤ 3 cm (located within the external third of the lung) with systematic nodal dissection is justified as soon as there are no enlarged lymph nodes on CT-scan and once there is no nodal uptake on PET-CT. In case of central tumors and enlarged or FDG avid nodes regardless of cytological result, preoperative invasive mediastinal staging is indicated to rule out mediastinal nodal spread. However, accuracy needed in preoperative nodal staging has been under continuous debate ever since and with the advent of immunotherapy is right now intensely revived. During the last two decades VAMLA has been growing up from being a merely staging tool to an expert-recognized therapeutic tool in the context of minimal invasive lung cancer resection.

Keywords: Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA); nodal staging; mediastinoscopy; videoassisted thoracic surgery (VATS); lung cancer

Received: 16 August 2019; Accepted: 18 September 2019; Published: 25 March 2020. doi: 10.21037/med.2019.09.06 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/med.2019.09.06

Introduction

Correct invasive mediastinal staging of resectable lung cancer is clinically imperative concerning two aspects: (I) in the forecast of postoperative prognosis and (II) in the implementation of multimodal therapy. In the midst of the three components of the TNM staging system, the nodal denominator is the most challenging to conclude appropriately owed to the limited specificity of imaging modalities (1). Therefore, numerous invasive staging techniques have been established to increase the accuracy of

Page 2 of 11

mediastinal nodal staging. Conventional mediastinoscopy, presented by Carlens in 1959, has been broadly used to biopsy the mediastinal lymph nodes, and subsidized to more precise nodal staging (2). However, inter alia its restricted visualization of the mediastinal structures has forbidden the thorough resection of the mediastinal lymph nodes.

During the 1990s, video cameras became popular in various sectors comprising surgical endoscopy. Videomediastinoscopy has been proposed by Lerut in 1993 (3), and commercially available tubular video-mediastinoscopes emerged few years later. The technical revolution of the imaging system led to enhanced visibility, facilitating the performance and teaching of the procedure as well as the stowing of visual information. It was the concept and realization of a two-bladed spreadable videomediastinoscope by Linder and Dahan that provided a widespread operation field, thus enabling bimanual maneuvers (4).

In expanding the application of the Linder-Dahan mediastinoscope, video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) increases the exposure and the imaging of the mediastinal structures and thus allows the complete dissection of lymph node stations instead of nodal sampling (4,5). The initiation of VAMLA marks an iconic basis for systematic lymph node dissection in thoracic oncologic surgery: the thorough removal of all potentially involved lymph nodes and lymphatic tissue in order to provide complete oncologic resection, precise nodal staging, and an accurate indication for multimodality treatment, resulting in improved local tumor control and extended survival (6). Furthermore, the minimally invasive approach is equal to open lymphadenectomy in terms of diagnostic accurateness and surgical radicality, and has expanded its clinical applications from preoperative examination and sampling to a therapeutic instrument for intraoperative complete mediastinal dissection (7). Additionally, with a rising amount of minimally invasive pulmonary resections, where oncologically ideal mediastinal lymph node dissection is not always achievable (particularly in leftsided lung cancer), VAMLA is evolving as a supplement to video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) lobectomy (8,9). We hereby sum up both indications and technique for VAMLA and discuss its results in relation to the other available mediastinal staging procedures like TEMLA, EUS-FNA (endoscopic ultrasonography with fine-needle aspiration), and EBUS-FNA (endoscopic bronchial ultrasonography with FNA).

VAMLA indications

It is a popular fallacy to equate conventional mediastinoscopy with VAMLA. The first is in general defined as "a surgical procedure to examine mediastinal lymph nodes with the possibility of taking surgical biopsy specimens". Typing the acronym "VAMLA" says it all: it means a complete mediastinal lymphadenectomy rather than merely taking biopsies; it means staging & treating rolled into one rather than staging alone. In this sense we declare an upgrade of the VAMLA procedure from a solely diagnostic and dissection tool to a combined staging and advanced therapeutic intervention.

The contemporary performance of VAMLA for both mediastinal staging and dissection is a distinct decision in every aspect. Developing an institutional choice, the well-known arguments in favor of VAMLA to mediastinal staging and dissection should be measured (10-12): the high staging accuracy, the prevention of re-mediastinoscopies afterwards neoadjuvant treatment, the enhancement of mediastinal dissection in combination with left-sided and VATS lung resections, the unison of staging and dissection within one method, the conceivable mixture with extended mediastinoscopy to sample stations 5 and 6, mediastinoscopic ultrasound, right-sided videothoracoscopy contained by the same procedure and in general the option of bilateral mediastinal lymphadenectomy regardless of the tumor location or surgical approach for tumor resection. The latter, otherwise could only be achieved via sternotomy or bilateral VATS thus exposing the patient to an unacceptable extent of surgery. To come to a suitable individual indication, the likelihood of mediastinal involvement, the extent and resectability of mediastinal nodes, the laterality of the primary, and the capability of the patient to resist invasive mediastinal staging and probably multimodality treatment should be taken into account (10).

Novel indications are eased by the clinical adaptability of VAMLA. It seems evident to combine VAMLA and nonsurgical modalities as radiation therapy and radiofrequency ablation (13). VAMLA rules out nodal disease in such restricted local treatment techniques. In certain patients, there might be a role for VAMLA in palliative treatment concepts. The rationale is always the enhancement of local mediastinal control in patients inappropriate for lung resection to avoid local difficulties like bleeding, infection, respiratory insufficiency, and asphyxia, thus conserving quality of life and a constant

Mediastinum, 2020

clinical state enabling palliative treatment.

In terms of clinical research, VAMLA offers several potentials, mainly in its surgical assessment of other procedures of mediastinal staging (e.g., EUS-FNA, EBUS-FNA, and PET-CT) (12,14). This aspect is all the more important as the majority of studies assessing new treatments or new prognostic aspects still offer very low diagnostic certainty with respect to mediastinal staging. The recognized survival differences amid cN and pN stages are well inside the array of the variances perceived in many oncological trials, suggesting the application of VAMLA to offer precise staging, and, if surgery is part of the procedure, suitable dissection (15,16).

Principal VAMLA technique

The two doctrines of VAMLA are (I) minimally invasive access analogous to conventional mediastinoscopy and (II) the compartmental *en bloc* resection of the complete mediastinal adipose tissue conducted by anatomical landmarks comparable to open systematic nodal dissection (17). To overcome this obvious contradiction, VAMLA necessitates a bimanual dissection technique, reliant on a spreadable video-mediastinoscope (18).

Elementary dissection technique comprises two steps. First, applying tension on a dissection plane and second, dividing. The dissection plane is most often exposed by maneuvering the specimen. Stirring the specimen inwards or sideward and thus away from the optic is beneficial to preserve a proper view. The specimen is straightforwardly maneuvered by a large grasper with blunt fenestrated claws. Strong closure of the instrument is almost never required and should be circumvented, as it will cause tissue fragmentation. Division of connective tissue planes requires blunt and sharp dissection. The suction-coagulation device provides both modalities and does no damage, as long as the coagulation current is kept within a range that avoids carbonization (soft-coagulation mode). Herein lays the particular advantage of modern energy devices: following coagulation the soft tissue can usually be dissected without the use of a cutting current. Whenever cutting current is used, utmost care must be taken to avoid any proximity or even contact with vessels, the tracheobronchial tree or nerves. Even in no-contact situations sparks from cutting current can produce holes in those anatomical structures. The newer energy devices using bipolar current or ultrasound for coagulation may help avoiding such complications. However, their tips can remain hot after or

while dividing tissue and contact with surrounding tissue can have detrimental consequences.

Prerequisite for any dissection is a clear vision. For this purpose, both the suction-coagulation device as well as the suction-irrigation channel incorporated into the mediastinoscope should be kept in continuous action through the procedure. Irrigation is very cooperative to clear not only the dissection site but also the tip of the optic. The latter necessitates forceful flushing of 5–10 mL isotonic solution, and sometimes supplementary cleansing by a small sponge stick.

To accomplish VAMLA, the mediastinum is separated in three compartments according to anatomy and useful surgical reason, which are dissected sequentially. Remarkably, this very kind of compartmentation was reproduced by the concept of mediastinal zones and the IASLC lymph node map (15).

Regular dissection was well-defined to comprise all lymph node stations within the range of conventional mediastinoscopy. It contains (I) the subcarinal compartment including the subcarinal lymph nodes, (II) the right compartment enclosing the pretracheal, right paratracheal, and tracheobronchial nodes and (III) the left compartment covering the left paratracheal and tracheobronchial nodes, thus providing bilateral clearance of the nodal stations 2, 4, and 7. As the range is not limited by this definition but by the dimensions of the video-mediastinoscope in relation to the patient, it is conceivable to embrace supraclavicular, prevascular, paraesophageal, hilar, and interlobar pulmonary nodes (stations 1, 3a, 8, 10, and 11R) and, via extended mediastinoscopy, the para- and subaortic nodes (stations 5 and 6). The resection quality of the latter comprises sampling as well as systematic dissection and its performance depends on the individuals' anatomy along with the necessity within an individual clinical context.

Parallel to conventional mediastinoscopy, VAMLA begins with a small jugular access to the cervical trachea and digital mobilization of the airway and the innominate artery. An extremely helpful addition to this is to flex the finger that is introduced underneath the innominate artery around it ventrally and to mobilize the lymphatic tissue away from the artery and dorsally in direction to the trachea. The closed mediastinoscope is then inserted identifying the main carina and both main bronchi as important landmarks for orientation in the central mediastinum just like in conventional mediastinoscopy. The sequence of dissecting the mediastinal compartments is choice of the performing surgeon. However, starting with the left side has several

Page 4 of 11

advantages. The recurrent nerve is visualized, and the fascia is divided between the nerve and the left tracheobronchial angle to expose the station 4L nodes. Often, the left bronchial artery is crossing these nodes and lying above the left main bronchus. Dividing the artery between clips opens the left compartment wider and reduces bleeding during later dissection of the subcarinal compartment. Protecting the nerve and thus its function, cautious dissection and removal of all evident lymph nodes are desirable to en bloc resection of the left paratracheal and tracheobronchial adipose tissue. For the same reason, electric current is not appropriate on the left side, and hemostasis is trusting on clips, momentary compression, or resorbable hemostats. This should also be considered in the supravascular zone, where both recurrent nerves are possibly at risk. To expose the subcarinal nodes, tracheal bifurcation and pulmonary artery are carefully separated with spreading of the blunt forceps. The scope is positioned in-between, stacking the artery on its upper blade, and then secured and spread to show the subcarinal compartment and to remove the subcarinal tissue en bloc, ensuing the medial borders of both the main bronchi and the anterior wall of the esophagus. Then, the scope is withdrawn to the innominate artery and focused to the right side. As described above, the pretracheal and right paratracheal fat pad has already been mobilized downwards and now is further pushed with the upper blade of the scope exposing the parietal pleura and superior vena cava. The fat pad is removed en bloc down to the azygos vein and the right main bronchus reaching as far as 10R between the azygos vein and the first branch of the right pulmonary artery. Dissection of station 11 nodes and other extensive techniques are not to be described here.

Minor hemorrhage is best disallowed by clipping the habitually appearing bronchial artery branches crossing the subcarinal space and the small veins draining into the vena cava. Major hemorrhage is very unlikely, if the pulmonary artery is adequately mobilized afore the scope is spread open. Protective measures to minimize the intrinsic risk of tumor spillage are vital and embrace the cautious treatment of the specimen, avoiding the crushing or cutting through diseased nodes.

Imaging techniques

Chest computed tomography (CT) remains important in lung cancer imaging. Despite its low sensitivity (55%) and specificity (81%) in terms of solely relying on CT scan, it is moreover a guide in choosing the suitable technique for

Mediastinum, 2020

tissue sampling due to the anatomical images it offers (19). When the CT scan is added by the information given by positron emission tomography (PET)—thereby binding into PET-CT—the results are more accurate concerning lymph node staging than CT scan alone with an elevated overall sensitivity (80–90%) and specificity (85–95%) (20-23). It has a high negative predictive value for detecting mediastinal nodal disease in peripherally located non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) except (I) suspicious N1 nodes; (II) tumor >3 cm; and (III) centrally located tumor without suspicious nodes on CT or PET scan.

Improvements in magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) technology have allowed attainment of diffusion-weighted MRI (DWI), which offers exceptional tissue disparity because of the variance in the diffusion of water molecules amongst tissues. The technique profits qualitative and quantitative information that echoes changes at a cellular level and provides unique insights about tumor cellularity and the integrity of cell membranes. Up to now there exist only few studies comparing the value of DWI and PET-CT. Even it is too early in this context to determine the true value of DWI in nodal staging of patients with NSCLC, the sensitivity for DWI seems to be significantly better than for PET-CT (95% vs. 89%) (24).

Endoscopic techniques

Endoscopic ultrasonography (EBUS and EUS) are performed in an outpatient setting under local anesthesia with moderate sedation. EBUS-TBNA (EBUS with transbronchial needle aspiration) is able to visualize superior and inferior mediastinal lymph nodes at stations 2R/2L, 4R/4L and 7, as well as hilar lymph nodes at stations 10, 11, and even 12 (15). EUS-FNA particularly visualizes superior mediastinal lymph nodes in station 4L, and inferior mediastinal nodes in stations 7, 8 and 9 (15). Thus, EUS-FNA complements other techniques, as several of these lymph nodes (stations 8 and 9) are not accessible by EBUS-TBNA or conventional mediastinoscopy. If EUS-FNA is to be considered in lymph node stations 5 and 6 remains to be an object of ongoing debate (25). In our experience lymph node station 5 is reachable in case of a wide aortic pulmonary window and additional lymph node augmentation, whereas lymph node station 6 is hardly accessible.

It is conceivable to envision and sample lymph nodes with a short axis of >5 mm with the ideal number of aspirations being made per lymph node is three (26). For mediastinal staging, systematic nodal aspiration of at least 3 stations including 4R, 4L and 7 is required (26-31). Additionally, the biggest node gauging >5 mm on ultrasonography inside each of these stations as well as PET-CT positive nodes within each of these nodal stations should be included. On indication nodal station 10R and 10L can be biopsied. To evade contamination while using one single needle for an EBUS or EUS procedure, the order of nodal sampling should start at the level of N3 nodes tailed by N2 nodes before finishing with N1 nodes.

Numerous meta-analyses on endobronchial and esophageal endosonography with FNA stated a mutual sensitivity of 83% to 94% for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer (32-38). Equating the two staging strategies proposed in the ESTS guidelines (either conventional mediastinoscopy, or alternatively endosonography followed by conventional mediastinoscopy) there was no variance in sensitivity or negative predictive value when conventional mediastinoscopy was compared with endoscopic staging (17,27). However, the staging strategy starting with endosonography and if negative joining it with surgical staging has confirmed to detect significantly more mediastinal nodal N2/3 disease compared to conventional mediastinoscopy alone (27). Another consequence is that the application of endosonography for baseline mediastinal nodal staging evidently reduces the necessity for mediastinoscopy (39). As from today's perspective, these studies have several potential sources of error. The two most important points of discussion are: (I) the expertise in the respective study centers is much higher for endosonography than for conventional mediastinoscopy; (II) there are not enough powerful investigations on the comparative examination of endosonography and VAMLA. Despite guessing the misalignment, the results of these biased studies conduct the slightly imprudent clinical pathways, thus leaving VAMLA and its benefits-to-prove in disgraceful light.

Contrariwise, the negative likelihood ratio stated by three of the meta-analyses indicates that the possibility of having mediastinal nodal contribution for any distinct patient with a negative endosonography result is at least 13–15% (34-38). This possibility founded on endosonography alone is definitely not low enough to directly proceed to a surgical resection in any oncological concept that tries to avoid unforeseen N2 or N3 at tumor resection. Consequently, in routine practice a preoperative surgical staging procedure (i.e., VAMLA) as a consequence of a negative endosonography shall be provided. When it comes to prospective studies in experienced endosonography centers, it is of importance that the lack of improved sensitivity of conventional mediastinoscopy after well-performed negative endosonography has not to be equated with the possible sensitivity of VAMLA (as there is still a lack of appropriate studies) (28,40,41).

Surgical techniques (VAMLA vs. TEMLA)

VAMLA and transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy (TEMLA) aim for a complete mediastinal dissection (4,42). VAMLA is utterly accomplished with the use of the video-mediastinoscope whereas TEMLA uses a 5-8 cm collar incision in the neck and uplifts the sternum with a Cooper retractor. The dissection is achieved in an open manner and with additional use of the videomediastinoscope. In comparison to VAMLA, where usually the same lymph nodes are removed which are generally reachable through conventional mediastinoscopy, by TEMLA there are more lymph node stations routinely accessible such as the prevascular, the paraaortic, the subaortic and the paraesophageal lymph node stations. The negative predictive value is very high and approaches 98.7% for TEMLA. TEMLA has a higher morbidity and mortality. The complications after VAMLA and TEMLA are well documented and are perhaps more studied in detail than after conventional mediastinoscopy-as these procedures are done in very experienced centers (4,5,42-47).

VAMLA complications

Intra- and postoperative complications happen in fewer than 5% (5,45). The range is comparable to conventional mediastinoscopy, counting wound infection, mediastinal hematoma and seroma, mediastinitis, pleural effusion, pneumothorax, chyloma and chylothorax, left-sided recurrent nerve paralysis, minor hemorrhage from thyroid and mediastinal veins as well as bronchial artery branches, and damage of larger vessels, central airways, and esophagus. But major events are undoubtedly extremely exceptional, also in our institutional experience. Several precautionary methods are advisable: prophylactic single-shot antibiotics; low-voltage coagulation without cutting current; avoiding electrocautery within the left compartment; clip occlusion of small veins, bronchial artery branches, and lymphatic vessels; and usage of resorbable local hemostats.

Separate consideration needs to be given the following technically inherent risks. First, to avoid catastrophic

Page 6 of 11

laceration of the pulmonary artery throughout subcarinal dissection, the vessel has to be unfettered from the main carina, both the main bronchi, and the subcarinal lymph nodes afore insertion of the scope and its spreading. Second, to avoid wall necrosis of the airway and the esophagus, subcarinal dissection and hemostasis by electrocautery has to be precise and effective.

VAMLA-results

The first portrayal of VAMLA compared the mediastinal harvest of 40 complete VAMLA dissections compared to 80 cases of open mediastinal dissection (which were extracted in the course of an open thoracotomy lobectomy) in terms of a single center prospective comparative study. The mass of the VAMLA specimen was significantly higher and containing significantly more lymph nodes (20.7 *vs.* 14.3 nodes) than those of the open surgery group (4). To complement these findings, an investigation of 20 VAMLA procedures labelled dissection rates of different mediastinal nodal stations within a range of 92–100% (6). Both studies highlighted a new era in thoracic oncology: the simple perception that the profit and the radicality of VAMLA is at least as equivalent as open mediastinal dissection.

The recital of VAMLA under routine clinical and training situations was evaluated in a prospective manner in 144 successive VAMLA procedures counting 9 procedures performed after neoadjuvant treatment (5). VAMLA had a mean duration of 54.1 (range, 40-175) minutes, no mortality or conversion rate to open surgery, and a complication rate of 3.98% tumbling from 5.3% to 2.6% with rising knowledge of the surgeons involved. The most common complication was momentary left-sided recurrent nerve paralysis that happened in 4 out of 144 VAMLA patients (2.8%). An underlying connection is possible, although all of these patients had additional extended mediastinoscopy for assessment of the left-sided para- and subaortic nodes. To determine the staging accurateness, 130 nodalnegative patients experienced mediastinal re-exploration at the time of lung resection. Sensitivity, specificity, and false-negative rate were 0.938, 1, and 0.009. Mediastinal adhesions existed in 19.2%, most often in case of time intervals between VAMLA and lung resection beyond one week. Uninterrupted healing of the bronchial stump was witnessed in all but one patient (99.2%). This institutional series called VAMLA as a innocuous and practical procedure in patients with normal or slightly enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes and its capacity as a minimally

Mediastinum, 2020

invasive nevertheless complete technique of systematic nodal dissection prevailing under conditions of clinical routine, producing accuracy data superior to conventional mediastinoscopy, endoscopic FNA procedures, and PET-CT scan (48-50)—even if those are applied in combination and, characteristically, in oncologic cohorts presenting high prevalence of extensive mediastinal disease (27,29,31,51,52).

Collective VAMLA and selective extended mediastinoscopy for left-sided tumors with distrustful nodes in the paraand subaortic lymph node stations had an influence on mediastinal staging in 78% of 92 studied patients (53). It resulted in mortality and complication rates of zero and 4.5%. Staging sensitivity, specificity, and negative predictive value were 0.94, 1.0, and 0.96 (54).

Joint mediastinal dissection by VAMLA and VATS lobectomy rose in the dissection of suggestively more mediastinal lymph node stations (6.4 vs. 3.6), yielding mediastinal specimen of a significantly higher weight (10.7 vs. 5.6 g) matched to mediastinal dissection by VATS only (9). This effect was most noticeable at the subcarinal and contralateral mediastinal lymph node stations, and for left-sided procedures. For none of the viability factors being conversion rate, blood loss, operation time, complications, and drainage time, a substantial difference was perceived. The VAMLA part of the combined procedure yielded two thirds of the mediastinal dissection and required about a fourth of the total operation time. This is of economic importance, as the mediastinoscopic part of the procedure requires only one surgeon.

Numerous studies strengthened these outcomes (55,56). One of the few could confirm the clinical results in an individual series of 104 VAMLA procedures, the majority of which was carried out for clinically resectable left-sided NSCLC in combination with VATS lobectomy, reporting a mean duration of 39.8 (range, 14–85) minutes, a median yield of 16.0 (range, 3–37) lymph nodes, dissection rates between 71.3% and 100%, zero mortality, and a complication rate of 3.4% recurrent nerve paralyses having been the only complication perceived (45).

Taking up the idea and carry it further, the first long time survival after stage-oriented tumor therapy focused by VAMLA and lung resection was described in a cohort of 89 patients containing 33.7% pN2 and 6.7% pN3 involvement (57). Five-year overall survival was 90%, compared to 66% observed after standard staging videomediastinoscopy and lung resection in 344 patients of the same institution. Multivariate analysis recognized an odds ratio of 1.34 for longer survival after VAMLA (95% confidence interval, 1.1–3.2; P=0.2). Last not least, pooled VAMLA and mediastinoscopic ultrasound (MUS) can increase clinical T staging and resection rates of central tumors over-staged by CT scan (58,59).

Conclusions

In previous eras, the gold standard of mediastinal staging was conventional mediastinoscopy. Modern imaging techniques and erudite studies on lymphatic spread allow us to differentiate cases in whom to approve, in whom to dismiss, and in whom to disregard the option of mediastinal blowout (60,61). The standard diagnostic examination has been stratified consequently, recommending endoscopic FNA techniques, standard video-mediastinoscopy, and intra-operative lymph node dissection, respectively.

A distinctive contour has to be pinched amid meager staging procedures like PET-CT, EUS-FNA, and EBUS-FNA, which justifiably have substituted standard mediastinoscopy as a staging tool in many cases, and the techniques of minimally invasive systematic lymphadenectomy by VAMLA or TEMLA, which still are perceived as staging tools, whereas they allow a nodal dissection at least as adequate as open lymphadenectomy. Replacing a staging procedure by complete systematic nodal dissection has impact beyond enhanced accuracy of mediastinal staging. Known implications are as follows: (I) mediastinal dissection becomes independent of lung resection or any other kind of treatment, e.g., radiation of the primary; (II) mediastinal re-exploration at lung resection is no longer required; (III) neoadjuvant treatments of tumors with minor mediastinal disease otherwise only detected intra-operatively or by pathologic examination is possible; (IV) re-mediastinoscopy to assess mediastinal response to neoadjuvant treatment is omitted; (V) mediastinal dissection is improved and facilitated in situations when complete bilateral mediastinal dissection is complicated by anatomical or technical conditions, as it is known for left-sided lung resections or the thoracoscopic approach; and (VI) studies in clinical oncology can be based on minimally invasive standardized mediastinal dissection.

Plain common sense rules logical sequence of diagnostic procedures. EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA are recommended—truly acclaimed by oncologists—as initial most likely minimal-invasive tissue staging procedures. Combining the results of EBUS-TBNA and EUS-FNA seems to be the most optimal methods in generating a precise mediastinal nodal map. Based on this diagnostic pathway, mediastinoscopy is recommended only in case of ruling out false-negative endosonography. However, debate persists about its value due to its (seemingly) limited nodal metastasis detection rate, morbidity, associated treatment delay, and questionable impact on survival. This debate has resulted in wide variation in practice and deviance from guideline recommendations in clinical practice. The trapdoor is the misinterpreted equation of *the old* conventional mediastinoscopy and *the new* VAMLA.

Classically, for the assessment of a new surgical technique, initial published evidence was the result of single-institutional prospective observational clinical research. In the meanwhile, these outcomes have been recurrently confirmed by other groups and are broadly recognized in the oncologic society. Long-term survival data after VAMLA are still kind of preliminary, but nevertheless inspiring. For these motives, VAMLA was consequently well-thought-out as a practical and effective method to mediastinal staging and dissection for thoracic malignancy.

Exact mediastinal lymph node staging of NSCLC is decisive in guiding treatment options and determining prognosis (62,63). When both FDG-PET and CT-scan do not illustrate any marks of distant metastases (M1 disease), mediastinal nodal status determines treatment options. The ESTS guideline dating from 2014 endorses invasive mediastinal lymph node staging in patients with clinical N1 to N3 (cN1-3) disease, a centrally located primary tumor, a non-FDG-avid primary tumor, or a peripheral tumor larger than 3 cm. This guideline has the goal to offer neoadjuvant treatment or definitive chemoradiation therapy to patients with positive mediastinal nodes and primary resection to those without nodal involvement (N0) or exclusive hilar node involvement (N1). However, the incrementing routine use of minimal invasive resection techniques on one hand and the advent of immunotherapy on the other have started to challenge and complicate this simple rule. With lower risk, lower complications and faster recovery after minimal invasive resection, primary resection and adjuvant treatment is advocated for limited stage III disease. With neoadjuvant immunotherapy and systemic treatment options offered not only to patients with nodal spread (regardless to which extent), pre-therapeutic accurate detection of even minor nodal disease gains increasing importance. VAMLA can provide this perfectly.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Page 8 of 11

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editors Marcin Zielinski and Qingdong Cao for the series "Mediastinoscopic Surgery" published in *Mediastinum*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/med.2019.09.06). The series "Mediastinoscopic Surgery" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. MH has a consulting agreement with Richard Wolf GmbH (Knittlingen, Germany) and Karl Storz SE & Co. KG (Tuttlingen, Germany) and is receiving royalties related to instrument development. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Detterbeck FC, Jantz MA, Wallace M, et al. Invasive mediastinal staging of lung cancer: ACCP evidencebased clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). Chest 2007;132:202S-20S.
- 2. Carlens E. A method for inspection and tissue biopsy in the superior mediastinum. Dis Chest 1959;36:343-52.
- De Leyn P, Vansteenkiste J, Cuypers P, et al. Role of cervical mediastinoscopy in staging of non-small cell lung cancer without enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes on CT scan. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1997;12:706-12.
- 4. Hürtgen M, Friedel G, Toomes H, et al. Radical video-

assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA)--technique and first results. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2002;21:348-51.

- Witte B, Wolf M, Huertgen M, et al. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic surgery: clinical feasibility and accuracy of mediastinal lymph node staging. Ann Thorac Surg 2006;82:1821-7.
- Leschber G. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) - a method for systematic mediastinal lymphnode dissection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2003;24:192-95.
- Kim HJ, Kim YH, Choi SH, et al. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy combined with minimally invasive pulmonary resection for left-sided lung cancer: feasibility and clinical impacts on surgical outcomes. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;49:308-13.
- Lardinois D, De Leyn P, Van Schil P, et al. ESTS guidelines for intraoperative lymph node staging in non-small cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2006;30:787-92.
- Witte B, Messerschmidt A, Hillebrand H, et al. Combined videothoracoscopic and videomediastinoscopic approach improves radicality of minimally invasive mediastinal lymphadenectomy for early stage lung carcinoma. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2009;35:343-7.
- Witte B, Hürtgen M. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA). J Thorac Oncol 2007;2:367-9.
- Zieliński M. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy and transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy. Thorac Surg Clin 2012;22:219-25.
- 12. Yendamuri S, Demmy TL. Is VAMLA/TEMLA the new standard of preresection staging of non-small cell lung cancer? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2012;144:S14-7.
- Fung SF, Warren GW, Singh AK. Hope for progress after 40 years of futility? Novel approaches in the treatment of advanced stage III and IV non-small-cell-lung cancer: Stereotactic body radiation therapy, mediastinal lymphadenectomy, and novel systemic therapy. J Carcinog 2012;11:20.
- Witte B, Neumeister W, Huertgen M. Does endoesophageal ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration replace mediastinoscopy in mediastinal staging of thoracic malignancies? Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2008;33:1124-8.
- 15. Chansky K, Detterbeck FC, Nicholson AG, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: External Validation of the Revision of the TNM Stage Groupings in the

Page 9 of 11

Mediastinum, 2020

Eighth Edition of the TNM Classification of Lung Cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2017;12:1109-21.

- Decaluwé H, Dooms C, De Leyn P, et al. Adding an invasive procedure will not necessarily change treatment or outcome of NSCLC patients with preoperative clinical N1 disease. Eur Respir J 2018. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00410-2018.
- De Leyn P, Dooms C, Kuzdzal J, et al. Preoperative mediastinal lymph node staging for non-small cell lung cancer: 2014 update of the 2007 ESTS guidelines. Transl Lung Cancer Res 2014;3:225-33.
- Witte B, Wolf M, Hürtgen M. Video-Assisted Mediastinoscopic Lymphadenectomy (VAMLA). In: Zielinski M, Rami-Porta R. editors. Transcervical Approach in Thoracic Surgery. Springer, 2014:89-99.
- Silvestri GA, Gonzalez AV, Jantz MA, et al. Methods for staging non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest 2013;143:e211S-50S.
- 20. Hishida T, Yoshida J, Nishimura M, et al. Problems in the current diagnostic standards of clinical N1 non-small cell lung cancer. Thorax 2008;63:526-31.
- Wang J, Welch K, Wang L, et al. Negative predictive value of positron emission tomography and computed tomography for stage T1-2N0 non-small-cell lung cancer: a meta-analysis. Clin Lung Cancer 2012;13:81-9.
- 22. Gómez-Caro A, Boada M, Cabañas M, et al. Falsenegative rate after positron emission tomography/ computer tomography scan for mediastinal staging in cI stage non-small-cell lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;42:93-100.
- Lee PC, Port JL, Korst RJ, et al. Risk factors for occult mediastinal metastases in clinical stage I non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2007;84:177-81.
- 24. Wu LM, Xu JR, Gu HY, et al. Preoperative mediastinal and hilar nodal staging with diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging and fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer: which is better? J Surg Res 2012;178:304-14.
- 25. von Bartheld MB, Versteegh MI, Braun J, et al. Transesophageal ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration for the mediastinal restaging of non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:1510-5.
- 26. Kang HJ, Hwangbo B, Lee GK, et al. EBUS-centred versus EUS-centred mediastinal staging in lung cancer: a

randomised controlled trial. Thorax 2014;69:261-8.

- 27. Annema JT, van Meerbeeck JP, Rintoul RC, et al. Mediastinoscopy vs endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer: a randomized trial. JAMA 2010;304:2245-52.
- Szlubowski A, Zieliński M, Soja J, et al. Accurate and safe mediastinal restaging by combined endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound-guided needle aspiration performed by single ultrasound bronchoscope. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;46:262-6.
- 29. Herth FJ, Krasnik M, Kahn N, et al. Combined endoscopic-endobronchial ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of mediastinal lymph nodes through a single bronchoscope in 150 patients with suspected lung cancer. Chest 2010;138:790-4.
- Block MI. Endobronchial ultrasound for lung cancer staging: how many stations should be sampled? Ann Thorac Surg 2010;89:1582-7.
- Ohnishi R, Yasuda I, Kato T, et al. Combined endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for mediastinal nodal staging of lung cancer. Endoscopy 2011;43:1082-9.
- 32. Micames CG, McCrory DC, Pavey DA, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration for non-small cell lung cancer staging: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Chest 2007;131:539-48.
- Gu P, Zhao YZ, Jiang LY, et al. Endobronchial ultrasoundguided transbronchial needle aspiration for staging of lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cancer 2009;45:1389-96.
- 34. Adams K, Shah PL, Edmonds L, et al. Test performance of endobronchial ultrasound and transbronchial needle aspiration biopsy for mediastinal staging in patients with lung cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. Thorax 2009;64:757-62.
- 35. Chandra S, Nehra M, Agarwal D, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of endobronchial ultrasound-guided transbronchial needle biopsy in mediastinal lymphadenopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Care 2012;57:384-91.
- 36. Zhang R, Ying K, Shi L, et al. Combined endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for mediastinal lymph node staging of lung cancer: a metaanalysis. Eur J Cancer 2013;49:1860-7.
- 37. Peeters ST, Dooms C, Van Baardwijk A, et al. Selective mediastinal node irradiation in non-small cell lung cancer in the IMRT/VMAT era: How to use E(B)US-NA information in addition to PET-CT for delineation?

Mediastinum, 2020

Page 10 of 11

Radiother Oncol 2016;120:273-8.

- Dooms C, Tournoy KG, Schuurbiers O, et al. Endosonography for mediastinal nodal staging of clinical N1 non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective multicenter study. Chest 2015;147:209-15.
- Tournoy KG, De Ryck F, Vanwalleghem LR, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound reduces surgical mediastinal staging in lung cancer: a randomized trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:531-5.
- Bendzsak A, Waddell TK, Yasufuku K, et al. Invasive Mediastinal Staging Guideline Concordance. Ann Thorac Surg 2017;103:1736-41.
- 41. Block MI, Tarrazzi FA. Invasive mediastinal staging: endobronchial ultrasound, endoscopic ultrasound, and mediastinoscopy. Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;25:218-27.
- 42. Kuzdzał J, Zieliński M, Papla B, et al. Transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy - the new operative technique and early results in lung cancer staging. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2005;27:384-90.
- Leschber G, Holinka G, Linder A. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) - a method for systematic mediastinal lymphnode dissection. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2003;24:192-5.
- 44. Zielinski M, Szlubowski A, Kołodziej M, et al. Comparison of endobronchial ultrasound and/or endoesophageal ultrasound with transcervical extended mediastinal lymphadenectomy for staging and restaging of non-smallcell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2013;8:630-6.
- 45. Yoo DG, Kim YH, Kim DK, et al. Clinical feasibility and surgical benefits of video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy in the treatment of resectable lung cancer. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2011;40:1483-6.
- Belda-Sanchis J, Trujillo-Reyes JC, Obiols C, et al. Transcervical videomediastino-thoracoscopy. J Thorac Dis 2018;10:S2649-55.
- Trujillo-Reyes JC, Martínez-Téllez E, Rami-Porta R, et al. Combination video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy and transcervical thoracoscopy. Multimed Man Cardiothorac Surg 2018. doi: 10.1510/ mmcts.2018.004.
- Toomes H. Mediastinoskopie. In: Nolte D. editor. Manuale pneumologicum. München; Dustri-Verlag, 2000.
- Puli SR, Batapati Krishna Reddy J, Bechtold ML, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound: it's accuracy in evaluating mediastinal lymphadenopathy? A meta-analysis and systematic review. World J Gastroenterol 2008;14:3028-37.

- 50. Seifert S, Nemat A. Die Rolle der Chirurgie beim Lungenkarzinom. Pneumologe 2010;7:237-44.
- 51. Wallace MB, Silvestri GA, Sahai AV, et al. Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration for staging patients with carcinoma of the lung. Ann Thorac Surg 2001;72:1861-7.
- 52. Rintoul RC, Skwarski KM, Murchison JT, et al. Endobronchial and endoscopic ultrasound-guided realtime fine-needle aspiration for mediastinal staging. Eur Respir J 2005;25:416-21.
- 53. Obiols C, Call S, Rami-Porta R, et al. Extended cervical mediastinoscopy: mature results of a clinical protocol for staging bronchogenic carcinoma of the left lung. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2012;41:1043-6.
- Witte B, Wolf M, Hillebrand H, et al. Extended cervical mediastinoscopy revisited. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;45:114-9.
- 55. Decaluwé H, Dooms C, D'Journo XB, et al. Mediastinal staging by videomediastinoscopy in clinical N1 non-small cell lung cancer: a prospective multicentre study. Eur Respir J 2017. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01493-2017.
- 56. Obiols C, Call S, Rami-Porta R, et al. Survival of patients with unsuspected pN2 non-small cell lung cancer after an accurate preoperative mediastinal staging. Ann Thorac Surg 2014;97:957-64.
- 57. Turna A, Demirkaya A, Ozkul S, et al. Video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy is associated with better survival than mediastinoscopy in patients with resected non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;146:774-80.
- 58. Hürtgen M, Wolf M, Witte B. Mediastinoscopic ultrasonography. J Thorac Oncol 2007;2:362-4.
- Witte B. New developments in videomediastinoscopy: video-assisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy and mediastinoscopic ultrasound. Front Radiat Ther Oncol 2010;42:63-70.
- 60. Asamura H, Nakayama H, Kondo H, et al. Lobe-specific extent of systematic lymph node dissection for non-small cell lung carcinomas according to a retrospective study of metastasis and prognosis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1999;117:1102-11.
- 61. Shimada Y, Saji H, Kakihana M, et al. Retrospective analysis of nodal spread patterns according to tumor location in pathological N2 non-small cell lung cancer. World J Surg 2012;36:2865-71.
- 62. Decaluwé H, Petersen RH, Brunelli A, et al; MITIG-ESTS. Multicentric evaluation of the impact of central

Mediastinum, 2020

tumour location when comparing rates of N1 upstaging in patients undergoing video-assisted and open surgery for clinical Stage I non-small-cell lung cancer[†]. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2018;53:359-65.

doi: 10.21037/med.2019.09.06

Cite this article as: Hartert M, Tripsky J, Huertgen M. Videoassisted mediastinoscopic lymphadenectomy (VAMLA) for staging & treatment of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Mediastinum 2020;4:3. Rami-Porta R, Call S, Dooms C, et al. Lung cancer staging: a concise update. Eur Respir J 2018. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00190-2018.