Mediastinum, 2021

AB009. Pitfalls in mediastinal masses diagnosis

Aldo Caltavituro^{1#}, Roberto Buonaiuto^{1#}, Fabio Salomone¹, Pietro De Placido¹, Marianna Tortora², Rocco Morra¹, Erica Pietroluongo¹, Annarita Peddio¹, Fernanda Picozzi¹, Margaret Ottaviano^{2,3}, Sabino De Placido^{1,2}, Mario Giuliano^{1,2}, Mirella Marino⁴, Giovannella Palmieri²

¹Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University Federico II, Naples, Italy; ²Rare Tumors Coordinating Center of Campania Region (CRCTR), Campania, Italy; ³Oncology Unit, Ospedale del Mare, Napoli, Italy; ⁴Departement of Pathology Regina Elena National Cancer Institute, Rome, Italy

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Aldo Caltavituro. Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University Federico II, Via Sergio Pansini, 5, 80131 Naples, Italy. Email: acaltavituro1995@gmail.com.

Abstract: Mediastinum is a complex anatomic space in which different malignancies can occur, originating from different cell types, such as epithelial thymic, lymphoid, germinal cell and mesenchimal cell. Their diagnosis requires dedicated knowledge and expertise, due to heterogeneous features. Indeed, there is also a frequent overlap between different histologies leading to uncertainty in the diagnostic process. Here, we present an uncommon mediastinal mass challenging in its characterization. A thirty-year-old woman performed thoracic CT scan for dyspnea and persistent cough. Imaging showed a solid mass of 14×11 cm involving the left thorax with mediastinal deviation to the right side. Patient underwent resection en bloc of left endothoracic mass and segmental resection of the left upper lung lobe, and of the 3rd, 4th and 5th ribs. Initial histological examination was suggestive for B3 thymoma/thymic carcinoma due to the positivity for p63, CD99, CD117 and vimentin. Pathological stage pT1b. Patient was then referred to our rare tumor Reference Center. Histological review showed small-medium sized tumor cells. The immunohistochemical panel showed negativity for cytokeratins (CKMNF116, CKAE1/AE3, CK19), as well as PAX8, CD56 NSE and CD117; while CD99 was positive, excluding the diagnosis of thymic/ thymoma neoplasms; c-kit and other thymoma related genes resulted negative for mutations at a next generation

sequencing (NGS) analysis. On the other hand, the negativity of different types of cytokeratins and the elevated mitotic index associated with the sporadic positivity for p63 was not specific for an epithelial neoplasm. Morphological pattern and positivity for CD99 led to a diagnosis of undifferentiated sarcoma. A third revision, was performed at the National Sarcoma Center and included the assessment of markers for sarcoma cluster differentiation. Tumor cells were characterized by the positivity for CD99 and NKX2.2 consistent with a diagnosis of Ewing's sarcoma, despite the negativity for ETV4. The evaluation of expert centers was critical to establish a correct diagnosis in this complex case. Taking into account the time lasting from the diagnosis and the aggressiveness of this kind of neoplasm, the patient was candidate for chemo-radiotherapy after a multidisciplinary discussion.

Keywords: Differential diagnosis; sarcoma; thymoma

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

doi: 10.21037/med.2021.ab009

Cite this abstract as: Caltavituro A, Buonaiuto R, Salomone F, De Placido P, Tortora M, Morra R, Pietroluongo E, Peddio A, Picozzi F, Ottaviano M, De Placido S, Giuliano M, Marino M, Palmieri G. Pitfalls in mediastinal masses diagnosis. Mediastinum 2021;5:AB009.