



Extended abstract: cutting edge developments and new therapeutics in myasthenia gravis

Henry J. Kaminski

Department of Neurology & Rehabilitation Medicine, George Washington University, Washington, DC, USA

Correspondence to: Henry J. Kaminski, MD. Department of Neurology & Rehabilitation Medicine, George Washington University, 2150 Pennsylvania Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20037, USA. Email: hkaminski@mfa.gwu.edu.

Received: 18 November 2023; Accepted: 06 March 2024; Published online: 29 May 2024.

doi: 10.21037/med-23-58

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/med-23-58>

Over the past fifteen years, there have been remarkable strides in our comprehension of myasthenia gravis and the development of therapeutic approaches. This progress has evolved from a single Phase 3 trial to an impressive array of over 25 trials encompassing various early phases and the approval of new medications by the FDA. This substantial therapeutic advancement has been propelled by a deeper understanding of the immune system, coupled with technological advancements in immune system analysis (1).

Within this context, myasthenia gravis is stratified based on several key metrics that significantly influence the choice of treatment. From an autoantibody perspective, individuals with acetylcholine receptor antibodies can be classified into early-onset and late-onset categories, with symptom onset occurring either before age 45 to 50 years or later in life. Early-onset patients, who are predominantly women and often exhibit thymic hyperplasia, tend to benefit from thymectomy (2-4). On the other hand, late-onset patients, who are more frequently men and typically associated with thymic atrophy, do not typically show improvement following thymus removal. Moreover, late-onset and early-onset patients exhibit genetic distinctions (5,6).

Approximately 10% of myasthenia gravis patients are diagnosed with a thymoma, which is more prevalent in cases with AB and B2 thymomas. Interestingly, transcription profiling has revealed variations in the mechanisms underlying myasthenia gravis induction for each thymoma subtype (7). Thymoma patients almost invariably present with acetylcholine receptor antibodies, although there have been rare reports of muscle-specific kinase antibodies. The pathogenesis of myasthenia gravis induced by acetylcholine receptor antibodies involves complement activation, receptor cross-linking, rapid muscle surface removal,

and receptor function blockade. The recognition of complement-mediated injury has spurred the development of inhibitors for myasthenia gravis treatment over the course of two decades (8). Eculizumab, ravulizumab, and zilucoplan are approved treatments (9). Clinical trials of these agents have yielded positive results, albeit with some variability, underscoring the significance of other mechanisms contributing to neuromuscular junction injury.

Immunoglobulins undergo a robust recycling process in which antibodies attach to Fc receptors on endothelial cells and are subsequently internalized, with a portion undergoing proteolysis and the majority returning to circulation (10). This physiological process has been targeted by inhibitors that enhance antibody removal, resulting in a concurrent reduction in circulating antibodies. Neonatal Fc receptor (FcRn) inhibitors, such as rozanolixizumab and efgartigimod, have received approval for myasthenia gravis. Rozanolixizumab is approved for both muscle-specific kinase and acetylcholine receptor antibody myasthenia gravis, while efgartigimod is specifically indicated for acetylcholine receptor antibody myasthenia. These FcRn inhibitors, although currently approved only for myasthenia gravis, hold promise for the treatment of other antibody-mediated diseases. It is worth noting that these novel treatments come with a significant cost, ranging from \$225,000 to \$700,000 per year.

Despite these encouraging advancements, it is important to acknowledge that the new treatments do not directly impact the production of underlying antibodies. Rituximab, an antibody targeting CD20, has shown efficacy in muscle-specific kinase myasthenia, but its effectiveness is somewhat limited in cases of acetylcholine receptor antibody myasthenia gravis (11). This distinction suggests

that CD20-expressing short-lived plasma cells drive the pathology in muscle-specific kinase myasthenia, whereas long-lived plasma cells lacking CD20 contribute to the pathology in acetylcholine receptor myasthenia gravis (12).

Within the context of new treatment options and appreciation of biomarkers that guide therapy, conventional treatment, in particular prednisone, are highly effective and offer patients the potential for long-term remission (13-15). As a neurologist and scientist, I am excited to be living during the most exciting time in myasthenia gravis therapeutic development and I look forward to a bright future in the field.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by NIH grant U54NS115054.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editors (Malgorzata Szolkowska, Chul Kim, Mohammad Ashraghi, and Claudio Silva) for “The Series Dedicated to the 13th International Thymic Malignancy Interest Group Annual Meeting (ITMIG 2023)” published in *Mediastinum*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at <https://med.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/med-23-58/prf>

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://med.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/med-23-58/coif>). “The Series Dedicated to the 13th International Thymic Malignancy Interest Group Annual Meeting (ITMIG 2023)” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. H.J.K. is a principal investigator for the Rare Disease Network, MGNet supported by NIH grant U54NS115054 and a consultant for R43NS12432. He receives grants from Roche, UCB, AND Takeda as site investigator. He is a consultant for Mimivax, Roche Pharma, Takeda, Cabaletta Bio, UCB Pharmaceuticals, EMD Serono, Ono Pharmaceuticals, Gilde Healthcare, Admirix, Inc., ECoR1 and Canopy. He received support from UCB for attending the Physician Education Meeting. He reports Stock options of Mimivax. Argenix provides an unrestricted educational grant to George Washington University. The

author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Fichtner ML, Jiang R, Bourke A, et al. Autoimmune Pathology in Myasthenia Gravis Disease Subtypes Is Governed by Divergent Mechanisms of Immunopathology. *Front Immunol* 2020;11:776.
2. Narayanaswami P, Sanders DB, Wolfe G, et al. International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis: 2020 Update. *Neurology* 2021;96:114-22.
3. Wolfe GI, Kaminski HJ, Aban IB, et al. Long-term effect of thymectomy plus prednisone versus prednisone alone in patients with non-thymomatous myasthenia gravis: 2-year extension of the MGTX randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2019;18:259-68.
4. Wolfe GI, Kaminski HJ, Aban IB, et al. Randomized Trial of Thymectomy in Myasthenia Gravis. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:511-22.
5. Avidan N, Le Panse R, Berrih-Aknin S, et al. Genetic basis of myasthenia gravis - a comprehensive review. *J Autoimmun* 2014;52:146-53.
6. Chia R, Saez-Atienzar S, Murphy N, et al. Identification of genetic risk loci and prioritization of genes and pathways for myasthenia gravis: a genome-wide association study. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2022;119:e2108672119.
7. Yamada Y, Weis CA, Thelen J, et al. Thymoma Associated Myasthenia Gravis (TAMG): Differential Expression of Functional Pathways in Relation to MG Status in Different Thymoma Histotypes. *Front Immunol* 2020;11:664.
8. Albazli K, Kaminski HJ, Howard JF Jr. Complement Inhibitor Therapy for Myasthenia Gravis. *Front Immunol*

- 2020;11:917.
9. Tang GQ, Tang Y, Dhamnaskar K, et al. Zilucoplan, a macrocyclic peptide inhibitor of human complement component 5, uses a dual mode of action to prevent terminal complement pathway activation. *Front Immunol* 2023;14:1213920.
 10. Ward ES, Ober RJ. Targeting FcRn to Generate Antibody-Based Therapeutics. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2018;39:892-904.
 11. Verschuuren JJ, Palace J, Murai H, et al. Advances and ongoing research in the treatment of autoimmune neuromuscular junction disorders. *Lancet Neurol* 2022;21:189-202.
 12. Takata K, Stathopoulos P, Cao M, et al. Characterization of pathogenic monoclonal autoantibodies derived from muscle-specific kinase myasthenia gravis patients. *JCI Insight* 2019;4:e127167.
 13. Benatar M, Cutter G, Kaminski HJ. The best and worst of times in therapy development for myasthenia gravis. *Muscle Nerve* 2023;67:12-6.
 14. Kaminski HJ, Denk J. Corticosteroid Treatment-Resistance in Myasthenia Gravis. *Front Neurol* 2022;13:886625.
 15. Nguyen-Cao TM, Gelinis D, Griffin R, et al. Myasthenia gravis: Historical achievements and the "golden age" of clinical trials. *J Neurol Sci* 2019;406:116428.

doi: 10.21037/med-23-58

Cite this article as: Kaminski HJ. Extended abstract: cutting edge developments and new therapeutics in myasthenia gravis. *Mediastinum* 2024;8:20.