Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 and cancer metastasis

Jongchan Kim

Department of Life Science, Sogang University, Seoul, Republic of Korea Correspondence to: Jongchan Kim. Department of Life Science, Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Republic of Korea. Email: jkimatsgu@sogang.ac.kr. Response to: Regouc M, Pichler M. The new role of IncRNA MALAT1 as a tumor suppressor. Biotarget 2019;3:2.

Received: 09 April 2019; Accepted: 30 April 2019; Published: 04 May 2019. doi: 10.21037/biotarget.2019.04.02 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/biotarget.2019.04.02

Since *Malat1* was identified as a prognostic marker for poor clinical outcomes in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients, number of *Malat1* studies have revealed its roles in human cancers (1,2). Recently, we demonstrated unexpected *Malat1* function in suppressing breast cancer metastasis using genetic mouse and cell line models of breast cancer (3). Here, I review the controversies in *Malat1* studies conducted by ourselves and others by comparing research designs and interpreting the results.

Previously, *Malat1* was shown to co-localize with nuclear speckles enriched in pre-mRNA splicing factors. *In vitro* siRNA study revealed that *Malat1* interacts with splicing factors in the nucleus and regulates pre-mRNA splicing (4). *Malat1* genetic knockout mice were generated from independent research groups and exhibited no apparent phenotypic abnormalities (5,6). Surprisingly, unlike *in vitro* results, there were no significant changes in alternative splicing or global gene expression due to genetic loss of *Malat1* (3,6).

Arun *et al.* (7) generated *Malat1* knockout mice by deleting 3 kb genomic locus spanning both upstream and downstream of transcription start site and crossed them to Polyomavirus middle T antigen (PyMT)-induced mouse mammary tumor model. Although *Malat1* loss did not substantially alter primary tumorigenesis, mammary tumors of *Malat1^{-/-}* PyMT mice exhibited cystic phenotype compared to *Malat1^{+/+}* PyMT animals. Furthermore, lung metastasis was significantly suppressed by *Malat1* loss. In addition to genetic ablation of *Malat1*, PyMT mice were treated with antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs). Compared to complete genetic *Malat1* knockout, ASOs led to ~60% reduction in *Malat1* levels but caused stronger suppression in mammary tumor growth and comparable inhibition in lung metastasis. Targeting *Malat1* with less-effective ASOs demonstrated more evident tumor-suppressive outcome, which obviously raises a question of off-target effects of ASOs.

We utilized Malat1 mouse knockout model generated by targeted insertional inactivation (5) and crossed them to PyMT mice (3). Unexpectedly, however, we observed dramatic induction of lung metastasis in Malat1-'- PyMT mice while there was no noticeable difference in mammary tumors compared to Malat1^{+/+} PyMT mice; cystic and highgrade tumor areas were similarly found in both groups. Instead, we could see consistent increase in circulating tumor cells from the peripheral blood of Malat1-'- PyMT mice, which implies that *Malat1* loss affects certain stage of metastatic process. This surprising result against the current dominant paradigm of Malat1's pro-metastatic function prompted us to restore Malat1 in Malat1^{-/-} PyMT mice using transgenic animals to determine if metastasispromoting function is attributed to Malat1 loss. When Malat1 was re-expressed, metastatic phenotype was dramatically reversed. Furthermore, considering higher Malat1 levels in Malat1-restored PyMT mice compared to Malat1^{+/+} PyMT mice, Malat1-re-expression resulted in less metastasis than Malat1^{+/+}, which implies dose-dependent effect of *Malat1* in lung metastasis suppression. Using more aggressive PyMT mice on FVB/N background, we overexpressed Malat1 by crossing them to Malat1 transgenic mice on FVB/N and observed that lung metastasis was significantly suppressed by transgenic overexpression of Malat1.

In addition, we inactivated *MALAT1* in MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells using CRISPR-Cas9 by deleting ~650 bp in 5' genomic locus of *MALAT1*. *MALAT1* expression was completely abrogated unlike siRNAs, shRNAs or ASOs which partially suppressed nuclear-



Figure 1 *Malat1* sequesters Tead proteins to prevent them from associating with Yap, a transcriptional coactivator, which inhibits their binding to target gene promoters/enhancers and inactivate transcription.

enriched RNAs. *MALAT1* knockout dramatically elevated metastatic potential, which were reversed by re-expression of *Malat1*. Furthermore, when *Malat1* was overexpressed in highly metastatic 4T1 mouse mammary tumor cells as well as lung metastatic subline of MDA-MB-231 (LM2), metastasis in both cell lines was significantly suppressed.

What possibly causes the substantial difference in studying the same lncRNA *Malat1*? There is one example that inactivating a lncRNA in mice by different strategies exhibited opposite phenotypes. LncRNA Haunt expression was inactivated by either inserting transcription stop signal in the downstream of transcription start site or deleting various lengths of genomic locus of Haunt (2.3-58 kb) (8). When transcription stop signal was inserted or short genomic region was deleted, expression of *HoxA* gene cluster located in the downstream of Haunt was significantly elevated. However, the effect was attenuated when longer genomic regions were deleted. This turned out that Haunt genomic locus contains enhancer sequence regulating HoxA expression, so minimal deletion/insertional inactivation and long genomic deletion demonstrated opposite gene expression patterns. Moreover, Haunt re-expression failed to rescue knockout phenotype driven by deletion of longer genomic locus. This study implicates that deleting genomic locus to inactivate the target lncRNA may disrupt cis regulatory elements, and it is essential to restore the lncRNA expression in order to examine if the phenotype driven by lncRNA inactivation is rescued. In fact, Malat1 inactivation by genomic deletion resulted in significant transcriptional upregulation of its neighbor genes in cis (6) while insertional inactivation of *Malat1* did not (1), which suggests that deleted genomic region may contain cisregulatory sequences for *Malat1*-neighboring genes.

To elucidate molecular mechanism by which Malat1 suppresses breast cancer metastasis, we searched for Malat1-interacting proteins in mouse mammary tumors and identified Tead family members, the oncogenic and pro-metastatic transcription factors (Tead1-4). In the subsequent analyses, we found that Tead binding sites are distributed throughout Malat1, and Malat1 could inactivate Tead proteins by sequestering them from interacting with Yap coactivator (Figure 1). However, any of previous Malat1 knockout mouse studies did not report abnormalities in $Malat1^{-/-}$ mice due to Tead-Yap hyperactivation (5,6). In human mammary tissue, for example, YAP is highly enriched in the nuclei of breast tumor cells while localized more in the cytoplasm of normal mammary cells (9). Notably, nuclear localization of Yap was prominent in the mammary tumor cells of PyMT mice in our unpublished data. This suggests that Malat1 inactivation needs to be combined with nuclear translocation of Yap to interact with Tead proteins, which fully enhances their transcriptional potential. For this reason, cooperation model of Malat1 deficiency and nuclear Yap warrants future studies.

In conclusion, most *Malat1* research including *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies has not been confirmed by rescue experiments which we rigorously conducted recently (3). Especially for finding therapeutic application of RNA interference or ASOs targeting lncRNA *MALAT1* in human cancers, it is critical to exclude any possibility of their off-target effects by performing rescue experiments with accurate controls (10).

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Page 3 of 3

Biotarget, 2019

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by Executive Editor-in-Chief Dr. Hualin Sun (Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Neuroregeneration, Nantong University, Nantong, China).

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/biotarget.2019.04.02). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

1. Bi S, Wang C, Li Y, et al. LncRNA-MALAT1-mediated Axl promotes cell invasion and migration in human

doi: 10.21037/biotarget.2019.04.02

Cite this article as: Kim J. Long noncoding RNA *MALAT1* and cancer metastasis. Biotarget 2019;3:5.

neuroblastoma. Tumour Biol 2017;39:1010428317699796.

- Kwok ZH, Roche V, Chew XH, et al. A non-canonical tumor suppressive role for the long non-coding RNA MALAT1 in colon and breast cancers. Int J Cancer 2018;143:668-78.
- Kim J, Piao HL, Kim BJ, et al. Long noncoding RNA MALAT1 suppresses breast cancer metastasis. Nat Genet 2018;50:1705-15.
- Tripathi V, Ellis JD, Shen Z, et al. The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. Mol Cell 2010;39:925-38.
- Nakagawa S, Ip JY, Shioi G, et al. Malat1 is not an essential component of nuclear speckles in mice. RNA 2012;18:1487-99.
- Zhang B, Arun G, Mao YS, et al. The lncRNA Malat1 is dispensable for mouse development but its transcription plays a cis-regulatory role in the adult. Cell Rep 2012;2:111-23.
- Arun G, Diermeier S, Akerman M, et al. Differentiation of mammary tumors and reduction in metastasis upon Malat1 lncRNA loss. Genes Dev 2016;30:34-51.
- Yin Y, Yan P, Lu J, et al. Opposing Roles for the lncRNA Haunt and Its Genomic Locus in Regulating HOXA Gene Activation during Embryonic Stem Cell Differentiation. Cell Stem Cell 2015;16:504-16.
- Steinhardt AA, Gayyed MF, Klein AP, et al. Expression of Yes-associated protein in common solid tumors. Hum Pathol 2008;39:1582-9.
- 10. Kaelin WG Jr. Common pitfalls in preclinical cancer target validation. Nat Rev Cancer 2017;17:425-40.