



How should clinicians manage metastatic non-small cell lung cancer patients with *BRAF* non-V600E mutations?

Edyta Maria Urbanska[^]

Department of Oncology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

Correspondence to: Edyta Maria Urbanska. Department of Oncology, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 9, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. Email: Edyta.Maria.Urbanska@regionh.dk.

Comment on: Abuali I, Lee CS, Seetharamu N. A narrative review of the management of *BRAF* non-V600E mutated metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Precis Cancer Med* 2022;5:13.

Received: 22 May 2022; Accepted: 05 August 2022; Published: 30 September 2022.

doi: 10.21037/pcm-22-24

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/pcm-22-24>

In the current era of broad molecular testing clinical management of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with *BRAF* non-V600E mutations may be a challenge as no effective targeted therapies are available. Abuali and colleagues have successfully tried to create a handy oversight of treatment approaches together with highlighting several distinctions between NSCLC with *BRAF* V600E and *BRAF* non-V600E (1).

All *BRAF* mutations in NSCLC are estimated to 2–4% and the incidence of *BRAF* non-V600E mutations is higher than *BRAF* V600E (2,3). Some distinctions between *BRAF* V600E and *BRAF* non-V600E have been determined leading to develop three classes of *BRAF* mutations defined by kinase activity, potency to dimerization and depending on RAS-signaling. Basically, class I, II and III are corresponding to different *BRAF* non-V600E mutations, precluding only *BRAF* V600E mutation in class I. However, *BRAF* non-V600E seems to be a heterogenous disease with a lot of undetermined mutational variants, including also variants of unknown significance (VUS) (4). *BRAF* non-V600E mutations are rare coexisting with other mutations like *PIK3A*, *KRAS* or *TP53* (5). *BRAF* V600E mutations, however, may emerge as an acquired tyrosine kinase receptor (TKI)-resistance mechanism in patients with oncogene-addicted NSCLC, while acquired *BRAF* non-V600E are reported sporadically (6–8).

As the value for clinical prognostication of *BRAF*

mutations is not established, we can practically use the distinction between *BRAF* V600E and *BRAF* non-V600E taking possibility of targeted treatment for *BRAF* V600E as a proxy for better outcome. However, median time-to-treatment-failure with *BRAF*-targeting agents seems to be shorter as compared to approved targeted therapy of other oncogenic drivers. NSCLC patients with *BRAF* non-V600E are prone to get poorer outcome than the patients with *BRAF* V600E, but some *BRAF* non-V600E variants may also respond to Vemurafenib or Dabrafenib/Trametinib or Sorafenib. Abuali *et al.* also discuss the role of check point inhibitors (CPIs) and show that patients with *BRAF* non-V600E are prone to respond slightly better to CPIs than the patients with *BRAF* V600E. Furthermore, class I appear to be less susceptible to immunotherapy than *BRAF* class II/III mutations. Regarding effect of chemotherapy for the whole *BRAF* NSCLC population, the better outcome is observed in *BRAF*-wild type NSCLC, and *BRAF* non-V600E NSCLC patients seem to respond slightly better than for *BRAF* V600E NSCLC patients.

Reassuring, the keynote of this article is to enable *BRAF* non-V600E NSCLC patients for early enrollment into currently ongoing trials, followed by standard immunotherapy with or without chemotherapy according to guidelines for non-oncogene-addicted NSCLC. Every *BRAF* non-V600E variant should be carefully assessed to find a feasible option for targeted therapy in second line or

[^] ORCID: 0000-0002-4578-9346.

further. Despite of the fact that many aspects of *BRAF* non-V600E NSCLC remain unclear, we can use this compact review to get an idea how to manage this group of patients before clinical trials reveal effective treatment options.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Precision Cancer Medicine*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://pcm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/pcm-22-24/coif>). The author reports receiving honoraria from Takeda, Amgen, Roche, Astra Zeneca, and Novartis in the last 36 months for lectures and presentations, and from Pfizer for advisory board; support from MSD for attending IASLC WCLC 2022, Vienna, Austria, September 6-9. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the

formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Abuali I, Lee CS, Seetharamu N. A narrative review of the management of BRAF non-V600E mutated metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. *Precis Cancer Med* 2022;5:13.
2. Batra U, Nathany S, Sharma M, et al. Clinicopathological aspects of V-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B1 (BRAF) mutated non-small cell lung carcinoma in an Indian cohort: is there a difference? *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet* 2021;12:112-9.
3. Tabbò F, Pisano C, Mazieres J, et al. How far we have come targeting BRAF-mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *Cancer Treat Rev* 2022;103:102335.
4. Roviello G, D'Angelo A, Sirico M, et al. Advances in anti-BRAF therapies for lung cancer. *Invest New Drugs* 2021;39:879-90.
5. Wiesweg M, Preuß C, Roeper J, et al. BRAF mutations and BRAF mutation functional class have no negative impact on the clinical outcome of advanced NSCLC and associate with susceptibility to immunotherapy. *Eur J Cancer* 2021;149:211-21.
6. Urbanska EM, Sørensen JB, Melchior LC, et al. Changing ALK-TKI-Resistance Mechanisms in Rebiopsies of ALK-Rearranged NSCLC: ALK- and BRAF-Mutations Followed by Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21:2847.
7. Mauclet C, Collard P, Ghaye B, et al. Tumor response to EGFR/BRAF/MEK co-inhibition in a patient with EGFR mutated lung adenocarcinoma developing a BRAFV600 mutation as an acquired resistance mechanism. *Lung Cancer* 2021;159:42-4.
8. Liu Y, Zeng H, Wang K, et al. Acquired BRAF N581S mutation mediated resistance to gefitinib and responded to dabrafenib plus trametinib. *Lung Cancer* 2020;146:355-7.

doi: 10.21037/pcm-22-24

Cite this article as: Urbanska EM. How should clinicians manage metastatic non-small cell lung cancer patients with *BRAF* non-V600E mutations? *Precis Cancer Med* 2022;5:21.