

# Bile acids: a risk for esophageal carcinogenesis after gastrectomy?

## Takashi Ueda, Hidekazu Suzuki

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Tokai University School of Medicine, Isehara, Kanagawa, Japan *Correspondence to:* Hidekazu Suzuki, MD, PhD, FACG, AGAF, RFF. Professor and Chairman, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Tokai University School of Medicine, 143 Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan. Email: hsuzuki@tokai.ac.jp.

*Comment on:* Hashimoto N. Bile acids (taurocholic acid, taurodeoxycholic acid, taurochenodeoxycholic acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid) develop esophageal cancer in a rat model of duodenoesophageal anastomosis after total gastrectomy. Ann Esophagus 2020. doi: 10.21037/aoe-20-47

Received: 10 July 2020. Accepted: 30 July 2020; Published: 25 December 2020. doi: 10.21037/aoe-2020-01 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoe-2020-01

It has been reported that bile acid reflux promotes the growth of esophageal epithelium via COX-2 and leads to esophageal carcinogenesis, and that Barrett's esophagus is the basis of its development (1-3). Hashimoto reported the experimental study, entitled as "Bile acids (taurocholic acid, taurodeoxycholic acid, taurochenodeoxycholic acid, tauroursodeoxycholic acid) develop esophageal cancer in a rat model of duodenoesophageal anastomosis after total gastrectomy" in the XX issue of AOE (Ann Esophagus 2020 http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoe-20-47). In this article, he examined whether reflux of duodenal juice to the esophagus was involved in esophageal carcinogenesis by using rat model of the esophagoduodenal anastomosis (EDA) with total gastrectomy. Then, they conclude that reflux of duodenal contents, especially bile acids, caused oxidative stress, subsequently induce COX-2, and induced esophageal carcinogenesis in EDA rat model. His findings are very interesting in the point that bile acid reflux would induce esophageal carcinogenesis as was shown by our molecular experimental study (4), but there could be several concerns to directly interpret this result to human esophageal carcinogenesis.

As well known, standing position is totally different between rat and human. Carcinogenesis of the thoracic esophagus, not of the abdominal esophagus, may be due to the use of rats, which are not upright animals like humans. Furthermore, histological and anatomical structure of esophagus and forestomach is different between rats and humans. Should the rat esophagus and stomach be considered physiologically synonymous? In this concern, regarding the method of collecting bile acid in rats, it was collected directly from the common bile duct using a thin tube in his study. The concentration of bile acid should be changed depending on the collection site of the common bile duct. The same concern can be said for the collection of bile acids in the esophagus. Bile acid concentration in the esophagus should have been higher than that of the duodenum depending on the part of the esophagus. About the cause of Barrett's esophagus, there could be two answers such as the direct effect by gastrectomy or the bile acid related development. How many years does the 40-week period set by the author correspond to in humans? The exposure time of bile acids in each rat is all 40 weeks. Why is it 40 weeks? If these are clarified, it will be applied to the medical treatment of esophageal carcinogenesis in humans.

In addition, although this is a model for developing both squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus, the mechanism of occurrence of these two different types of cancer is not considered to be the same, and molecular biological studies in this area are for future work. Even considering the above concerns, it is of great significance in the pathophysiological examination of how bile acid reflux affects esophageal carcinogenesis after gastrectomy. In the future, studying how drugs (5,6) that modify bile acid metabolism and enterohepatic circulation affect this mechanism may lead to the establishment of new preventive or therapeutic strategies for esophageal cancer.

#### Page 2 of 2

### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Esophagus*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/aoe-2020-01). HS reports grants and personal fees from Takeda, grants and personal fees from Astrellas, personal fees from Astrazeneca, grants and personal fees from EA pharma, grants and personal fees from Mylan EPD, grants and personal fees from Tsumura, outside the submitted work. TU has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-

doi: 10.21037/aoe-2020-01

**Cite this article as:** Ueda T, Suzuki H. Bile acids: a risk for esophageal carcinogenesis after gastrectomy? Ann Esophagus 2020;3:31.

commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### References

- Shirvani VN, Ouatu-Lascar R, Kaur BS, et al. Cyclooxygenase 2 expression in Barrett's esophagus and adenocarcinoma: Ex vivo induction by bile salts and acid exposure. Gastroenterology 2000;118:487-96.
- Nishioka K, Doki Y, Miyata H, et al. Bile acid promotes the proliferation of squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus, independent of its inducing COX-2 expression. J Surg Res 2006;132:130-5.
- Zhang F, Altorki NK, Wu YC, et al. Duodenal reflux induces cyclooxygenase-2 in the esophageal mucosa of rats: evidence for involvement of bile acids. Gastroenterology 2001;121:1391-9.
- Matsuzaki J, Suzuki H, Tsugawa H, et al. Bile acids increase levels of microRNAs 221 and 222, leading to degradation of CDX2 during esophageal carcinogenesis. Gastroenterology 2013;145:1300-11.
- Odunsi-Shiyanbade ST, Camilleri M, McKinzie S, et al. Effects of chenodeoxycholate and a bile acid sequestrant, colesevelam, on intestinal transit and bowel function. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2010;8:159-65.
- 6. Wong BS, Camilleri M. Elobixibat for the treatment of constipation. Expert Opin Investig Drugs 2013;22:277-84.