



# Dedicated services for Barrett's esophagus

Rafael C. Katayama<sup>^</sup>, Fernando A. M. Herbella<sup>^</sup>

Department of Surgery, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Federal University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil

*Correspondence to:* Fernando A. M. Herbella, MD. Department of Surgery, Escola Paulista de Medicina, Rua Diogo de Faria 1087 cj 301 Sao Paulo, SP 04037-003, Brazil. Email: herbella.dcir@epm.br.

*Comment on:* Ratcliffe E, Liew Y, Kuan J, et al. Dedicated services for Barrett's esophagus—a survey and service assessment of provision in United Kingdom hospitals. *Ann Esophagus* 2022. doi: 10.21037/aoe-22-12.

Received: 12 August 2022; Accepted: 26 August 2022; Published online: 21 September 2022.

doi: 10.21037/aoe-22-25

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aoe-22-25>

In a recent study published on *Annals of Esophagus*, Dr. Ratcliffe and colleagues from different institutions in the United Kingdom (1), present a national query to evaluate quality of care for Barrett's esophagus patients in endoscopic units in the UK. The study brings interesting data.

The authors contacted around 95% of the endoscopic units with a 62% response rate including 164 centers for analysis. A little over half of the institutions reported having a dedicated Barrett's esophagus center and having a dedicated center is associated to endoscopic surveillance; technology availability and utilization for diagnosis and treatment.

This study brings 2 points for discussion: (I) how important is having dedicated Barrett's esophagus centers; and (II) if the data can be extrapolated outside the United Kingdom.

## How important is having dedicated Barrett's esophagus centers

The authors showed that dedicated centers are more prone to enroll patients in endoscopic surveillance that is associated to better outcomes if Barrett's esophagus progress to adenocarcinoma according to several studies as shown by a metaanalysis of results (2). This is; however, just a matter of teaching non-dedicated centers to adopt this practice that is recommended by most societies (3), although endoscopists all around the globe are generally reluctant to adhere to guidelines regarding Barrett's esophagus (4-6), even to simple things such as the inclusion of the Prague classification in the report (7). On the other side, Dr. Ratcliffe

and colleagues discussed that experts at dedicated endoscopic unities can better diagnose dysplasia, leading to the question if surveillance should be indeed performed by experts only.

Endoscopic treatment is also affected by expertise and referral to dedicated centers, as shown by lower recurrence rates of dysplasia after endoscopic ablation in high volume centers (8).

## Can the presented data be extrapolated outside the United Kingdom?

The UK has historically adopted different guidelines definition for Barrett and surveillance (3). This may affect direct comparison between results from UK and other places but does not compromise the data present in the study by Dr. Ratcliffe and colleagues since outcomes were not evaluated. Interestingly, there is a significant variation of results between the countries that comprise the United Kingdom. This shows that there is no consensus when the topic is Barrett's esophagus from the definition and diagnosis to treatment even within the same country.

In conclusion, Barrett's esophagus should ideally be managed in dedicated centers although this is not a reality in the UK and other countries. Low volume centers can be well taught to adhere to guidelines but the unavailability of technology may be a problem for diagnosis and therapy.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

<sup>^</sup> ORCID: Rafael C. Katayama, 0000-0001-9403-8971; Fernando A. M. Herbella, 0000-0003-3594-5744.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Esophagus*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://aoe.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aoe-22-25/coif>). FAMH serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Annals of Esophagus* from September 2020 to August 2022. The other author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Ratcliffe E, Liew Y, Kuan J, et al. Dedicated services for Barrett's esophagus—a survey and service assessment of provision in United Kingdom hospitals. *Ann Esophagus* 2022. doi: 10.21037/aoe-22-12.
2. Ding YE, Li Y, He XK, et al. Impact of Barrett's esophagus surveillance on the prognosis of esophageal adenocarcinoma: A meta-analysis. *J Dig Dis* 2018;19:737-44.
3. Marques de Sá I, Pereira AD, Sharma P, et al. Systematic review of the published guidelines on Barrett's esophagus: should we stress the consensus or the differences? *Dis Esophagus* 2020. [Epub ahead of print]. pii: doaa115. doi: 10.1093/dote/doaa115.
4. Isseh M, Mueller L, Abunafeesa H, et al. An Urban Center Experience Exploring Barriers to Adherence to Endoscopic Surveillance for Non-Dysplastic Barrett's Esophagus. *Cureus* 2021;13:e13030.
5. Zagari RM, Frazzoni L, Fuccio L, et al. Adherence to European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Quality Performance Measures for Upper and Lower Gastrointestinal Endoscopy: A Nationwide Survey From the Italian Society of Digestive Endoscopy. *Front Med (Lausanne)* 2022;9:868449.
6. Omidvari AH, Roumans CAM, Naber SK, et al. The Impact of the Policy-Practice Gap on Costs and Benefits of Barrett's Esophagus Management. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2020;115:1026-35.
7. Vogt JS, Larsen AC, Sommer T, et al. Quality of endoscopic surveillance of Barrett's esophagus. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2018;53:256-9.
8. Lipman G, Markar S, Gupta A, et al. Learning curves and the influence of procedural volume for the treatment of dysplastic Barrett's esophagus. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2020;92:543-550.e1.

doi: 10.21037/aoe-22-25

**Cite this article as:** Katayama RC, Herbella FAM. Dedicated services for Barrett's esophagus. *Ann Esophagus* 2023;6:27.