

Section/topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
TITLE			•	
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Provided in Title Page	Provided in Title Page
ABSTRACT	•			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist (Table 2).	Provided in Structured Abstract	Provided in Structured Abstract
INTRODUCTION			•	
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Pages 1-2/ Lines 15-43	Introduction/ Paragraph 2-3
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Page 2/ Lines 41-43	Introduction/ Paragraph 3
METHODS				
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6

Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.		Discussion/ Paragraph 22
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	Discussion/Paragraphs 23- 25
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	Discussion/Paragraphs 23-
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Certainty Confidence not performed as content of studies were not studied but rather a bibliometric analysis was performed	Certainty Confidence not performed as content of studies were not studied but rather a bibliometric analysis was performed
RESULTS				
Study selection	16a	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	Page 4/ Lines 81-113	Results/ Paragraphs 7-10
	16b	Cite studies that met many but not all inclusion criteria ('near-misses') and explain why they were excluded.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed

Results of	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
individual studies		estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Certainty Confidence not	Certainty Confidence not
			performed as content of	performed as content of
			studies were not studied but	studies were not studied but
			rather a bibliometric	rather a bibliometric
			analysis was performed	analysis was performed

			Risk of Bias not performed	Risk of Bias not performed
Results of	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	as analysis was not	as analysis was not
syntheses			performed on content of	performed on content of
			studies rather a bibliometric	studies rather a bibliometric
			analysis was performed	analysis was performed
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary	Pages 3-4/ Lines 59-78	Methods/ Paragraphs 5-6
		estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing	Certainty Confidence not	Certainty Confidence not
		groups, describe the direction of the effect.	performed as content of	performed as content of
			studies were not studied but	studies were not studied but
			rather a bibliometric analysis was performed	rather a bibliometric analysis was performed
			Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	Discussion/Paragraphs 23-
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.		25
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	Discussion/Paragraphs 23- 25
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis	Risk of Bias not performed	Risk of Bias not performed
3		assessed.	as analysis was not performed on content of	as analysis was not performed on content of
		accessor.	studies rather a bibliometric	studies rather a bibliometric
			analysis was performed	analysis was performed
Certainty of	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Certainty Confidence not	Certainty Confidence not
•	22	Tresent assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each odicome assessed.	performed as content of	performed as content of
evidence			studies were not studied but rather a bibliometric	studies were not studied but rather a bibliometric
			analysis was performed	analysis was performed
DISCUSSION	II.		p	parameter in the parame
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Pages 6-11/ Lines 116-239	Discussion/ Paragraphs 11-
			Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	Discussion/Paragraphs 23-
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.		25
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Pages 11-12/ Lines 240-261	25
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Pages 11-12/ Lines 264-274	Conclusion/ Paragraph 26
OTHER INFORMAT	ION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	No amendments to declare	No amendments to declare
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Provided in COI forms/ no Conflicts or financial support to declare	Provided in COI forms/ no Conflicts or financial support to declare
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Provided in COI forms/ no Conflicts or financial support to declare	Provided in COI forms/ no Conflicts or financial support to declare
		5.4	1 **	1 **

Availability of data,	27	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms;	Page 3/ Lines 48-56	Methods/ Paragraph 4
code and other		data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the		
materials		review.		

Table 2 PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist

Section/topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
TITLE			•	
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Page 1/ Lines 3-4	Title Page
BACKGROUND	•			
Objectives	2	Provide an explicit statement of the main objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Page 2/ Lines 50-51	Background/ Paragraph 1
METHODS	1			,
Eligibility criteria	3	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review.	Page 2/ Lines 52-56	Methods/ Paragraph 2
Information sources	4	Specify the information sources (e.g. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched.	Page 2/ Line 53	Methods/ Paragraph 2
Risk of bias	5	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies.	as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric	Risk of Bias not performed as analysis was not performed on content of studies rather a bibliometric analysis was performed
Synthesis of results	6	Specify the methods used to present and synthesize results.		Methods/ Paragraph 2
RESULTS				
Included studies	7	Give the total number of included studies and participants and summarise relevant characteristics of studies.		Methods and Results/ Paragraphs 2-3
Synthesis of results	8	Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence/credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured).		Results/ Paragraph 3
DISCUSSION	•			
Limitations of evidence	9	Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (e.g. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision).	Page 2/ Lines 72-73	Discussion/ Paragraph 4
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications.	Page 2/ Lines 69-73	Discussion/ Paragraph 4
OTHER				•
Funding	11	Specify the primary source of funding for the review.	Page 2/ Line 76 (no funding for this study)	Funding/ Paragraph 5

Dogistration	12	Provide the register name and registration number.	No registration name or	No registration name or
Registration			number	number

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jss-21-132

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.