Advanced airway management techniques in anaesthesia for oral cancer surgery: a review

Sneh Vinu Shah, Rajinder Singh Chaggar

Department of Anaesthesia, Northwick Park Hospital, London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust, Harrow, UK *Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: Both authors; (II) Administrative support: None; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: None; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: Both authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: Both authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: Both authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: Both authors.

Correspondence to: Sneh Vinu Shah. Department of Anaesthesia, Northwick Park Hospital, Watford Road, Harrow, HA1 3UK, UK. Email: sneh.shah1@nhs.net.

Abstract: Patients undergoing oral cancer surgery often have multiple predictors of anticipated difficult airway management. Detailed preoperative evaluation of the patient, their medical records and imaging is essential in planning an airway management strategy. Conventional airway management techniques may not reliably achieve tracheal intubation nor rescue oxygenation in situations where tracheal intubation has failed. Postoperatively, the patient's airway may be further compromised due to the presence of airway oedema, blood and bulky reconstructive flaps. Consequently, airway management for major oral cancer surgery presents unique challenges which often necessitate utilisation of advanced airway techniques for both tracheal intubation and extubation. There are several advanced airway management options available to the head and neck anaesthetist and an understanding of the benefits and potential pitfalls of each is crucial. Choice of technique is influenced by the patient, the underlying pathology and its impact upon airway anatomy, the availability of appropriate equipment and local practice, as well as the experience and expertise of the anaesthetist and the multidisciplinary airway team present. Tracheal intubation may be undertaken in the awake patient or the anaesthetised patient and may be performed using a videolaryngoscope, a flexible bronchoscope, a video stylet, a hybrid technique involving multiple devices, or via a tracheostomy. Strategies for postoperative airway management include awake tracheal extubation, exchange of the tracheal tube for a supraglottic airway, use of an airway exchange catheter (AEC), temporary tracheostomy formation or delayed tracheal extubation. Regardless of which technique is being considered, both tracheal intubation and extubation require a multidisciplinary team approach, shared decision-making involving the patient, with discussion of anticipated difficulties, an agreed primary plan and backup plan(s) should the initial plan be unsuccessful.

Keywords: Advanced airway management; intubation; extubation; oral cancer surgery

Received: 31 October 2022; Accepted: 10 March 2023; Published online: 16 March 2023. doi: 10.21037/joma-22-33 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/joma-22-33

Introduction

Background

Squamous cell carcinoma represents the most common form of head and neck cancer (1) and, most frequently arises within the oral cavity (2,3). Surgical management is the primary treatment strategy for oral squamous cell carcinoma and may be combined with adjuvant radiation therapy and/ or systemic chemotherapy (1). It is widely established that difficulties with airway management are more likely to be encountered in head and neck patients (4-7). In patients with oral cancer, a multitude of factors can adversely impact upon conventional techniques for airway management such as laryngoscopy, as well as the ability to deliver rescue

Page 2 of 17

oxygenation using facemask ventilation and/or insertion of supraglottic airway devices (8,9). Preoperative access to the glottis via the oral route may be compromised due to the site and size of the lesion itself obstructing the oral cavity and preventing insertion of airway devices into the patient's mouth. The sequelae of previous treatment, particularly radiotherapy, may include trismus and reduced mobility of structures such as the tongue and neck due to local fibrosis (8,9). Furthermore, airway anatomy may be unrecognisable due to the presence of the lesion and/or bleeding of necrotic and friable tissues during airway manipulation and instrumentation. Postoperatively, the patient's airway may have further deteriorated due to bulky flap reconstruction occupying a significant volume of the oral cavity, as well as widespread airway oedema and bleeding from extensive surgical handling of tissues (8). Thus, airway management for oral cancer surgery presents unique challenges which may necessitate utilisation of advanced techniques for both tracheal intubation and extubation.

Rationale and knowledge gap

Poor judgement and airway management planning continue to contribute to morbidity and mortality in patients with anticipated difficult airways (4,5,10) and patients undergoing major oral cancer surgery often have predictably difficult airways. Given the heterogeneous impact of the underlying pathology and treatment upon the patient's airway anatomy, a personalised airway management strategy is often necessary. The specific components of such a bespoke airway management strategy will be influenced by the availability of appropriate equipment and the expertise of the anaesthetist and the multidisciplinary team present. Success of such a strategy will depend on having a clear understanding of the clinical scenario as well as the advantages and disadvantages of each technique that is possible. Critically, the anaesthetist must be able to modify their technique as the situation demands.

There has been a proliferation of airway devices and techniques over the last two decades (11) and as a result, the spectrum of options available for airway management has evolved substantially. For example, with the widespread use of supraglottic airway devices, rates of tracheal intubation have decreased (4). Another example is that of awake tracheal intubation (ATI) using flexible bronchoscopy—this has become an uncommon procedure for many anaesthetists (12-15)—perhaps because of increasing experience and familiarity of anaesthetists with videolaryngoscopy in patients who have

predicted difficulties with tracheal intubation.

At present, there is no formalised classification to differentiate between conventional and advanced airway management. In the absence of this, the competencies described in the UK Royal College of Anaesthetists' curriculum for anaesthesia for patients with complex airways and the Difficult Airway Society (DAS) guidelines provide a useful framework to consider which techniques may be considered conventional and which may be considered advanced (16,17). Using these documents as a guide, we consider conventional airway management to refer to facemask ventilation, ventilation using a supraglottic airway device or tracheal intubation using direct or videolaryngoscopy in an anaesthetised patient. Advanced airway management techniques include using a videolaryngoscope, a flexible bronchoscope, as well as other innovative strategies to achieve tracheal intubation in either an awake patient or anaesthetised patient. The DAS extubation guideline suggests the following may be considered advanced techniques for postoperative airway management—exchange of the tracheal tube for a supraglottic airway, awake extubation with titrated remifentanil infusion and the use of an airway exchange catheter (AEC) (18).

Objective

For all patients with oral cancer, a robust airway management strategy centred upon maintaining oxygenation throughout the process of performing any airway procedure requires careful consideration and scrutiny of potential failure at each step. There are a number of different advanced airway management options available for tracheal intubation and extubation in patients undergoing oral cancer surgery, and where possible, an evidence-based approach to these techniques is outlined in this review.

Perioperative airway management

Planning tracheal intubation

Detailed preoperative evaluation of the patient and a thorough airway assessment are fundamental in planning airway management—a process which may begin well before the planned surgical procedure. Previous medical records should be scrutinised to determine the relative success and failure of previous airway management strategies. Review of investigations including magnetic resonance or computed tomography imaging of the airway (with 3-dimensional reconstruction and/or virtual 3D endoscopy if available) (19,20) and recent flexible nasendoscopy is strongly advised (4,21-23). By doing this, the anaesthetist should develop a mental image of the anatomical space through which access to the glottis and trachea may be achieved. Furthermore, this understanding of the patient's unique anatomy will allow decisions to be made about the feasibility of certain airway management techniques, ranging from simple facemask ventilation through to advanced techniques (8). Preoperative discussion between the patient, anaesthetist and surgeon is essential. This discussion should include consideration of anticipated difficulties, an agreed primary plan and backup plan(s) and the route of tracheal intubation that will be utilised. There should be clear communication within the team about predetermined endpoints for transitioning from the primary plan to backup options.

Oral cancers can progress rapidly (24,25) thus it is important to consider the date of any prior airway examination, imaging or anaesthetic record that may be used to plan airway management, as the patient's airway may have changed significantly since this date. It is strongly recommended that all elements of the airway assessment are correlated with contemporaneous clinical findings. A repeat flexible nasendoscopic examination on the day of surgery should be considered in patients with rapidly progressive pathology or symptoms affecting airway patency and anatomical relations, to ensure that the chosen airway management strategy is still achievable and safe.

The route of tracheal intubation is influenced by the patient, the nature of the lesion and the proposed surgical procedure. Options for tracheal intubation include nasal, oral, or a tracheostomy. The nasal route is often preferred for patients undergoing oral cancer surgery since this provides unrestricted surgical access to the oral cavity and permits unobstructed continuous review of the aesthetic outcome (26,27). When the nasal route is used, it is important to consider using a nasal vasoconstrictor to minimise the risk of traumatic epistaxis which may make subsequent airway management more challenging (26-29). Submental tracheal intubation is most commonly described in patients undergoing maxillofacial trauma surgery (30,31) and is unusual in patients undergoing treatment for oral cancer, due to the potential risk of fistulae formation.

The type of tracheal tube selected is dependent upon surgical requirements, local practice and the anaesthetist's preference. Common choices include Ring, Adair and Elwyn (RAE) tracheal tubes for nasal intubations and reinforced tracheal tubes which may be used for oral or nasal intubations. RAE tubes maintain a fixed contour similar to the average facial profile thus allowing for oral cavity surgery whilst minimising surgical field interference from bulky connections between the tube and ventilator circuit. However, RAE tubes are associated with an increased risk of bronchial intubation because of their preformed shape (32), so appropriate vigilance should be exercised to ensure optimum placement. With nasal tubes, specific attention should be taken to secure the tube in a position that minimises the risk of pressure injury on the naris. Reinforced tracheal tubes are designed to be flexible and to resist kinking and compression-these properties make them particularly suitable for oral cancer surgery since the tube and circuit can be easily secured and draped away from the operating field. However, an important caution with reinforced tubes is the risk of excessive pressure to the wirereinforced component causing permanent partial or total occlusion of the internal lumen of the tube (33,34).

For tracheal intubation techniques that rely on railroading of the tracheal tube into the trachea, specific tube characteristics (e.g., material, internal and external diameters, shape, tip design) may minimise the risk of tube impingement at the laryngeal inlet and thus contribute to the success of the technique. For example, the Parker Flex-Tip (Bridgewater, CN, USA) has a curved, centred, tapered and flexible distal tip with a posterior facing bevel. These features are intended to decrease the risk of the tube tip impinging on laryngeal structures during railroading by reducing the size of the gap between the introducer device and the inner wall of the tube compared to a conventional polyvinylchloride tracheal tube (35-38). For similar reasons, using the smallest appropriate internal diameter tracheal tube is also recommended (39,40).

The tracheal tube may be placed in an awake, spontaneously breathing patient prior to induction of general anaesthesia, or in an anaesthetised patient post-induction of general anaesthesia. In recent years, the use of video assisted devices has transformed airway management in patients with anticipated difficult airways (41). Understanding the advantages and potential disadvantages of each device (and associated technique) is essential. The insertion of the tracheal tube may be achieved using a videolaryngoscope, a flexible bronchoscope, a video stylet, a hybrid technique utilising multiple devices, or via a tracheostomy. When difficult airway management is predicted, it is widely accepted that placement of the tracheal tube prior to induction of general anaesthesia (an 'awake tracheal intubation') is the safer option (42).

Page 4 of 17

ATI

The DAS guidelines for ATI in adults provide a useful breakdown of the four key practical aspects of ATIsedation, topicalisation, oxygenation and performance (42). Whilst a number of potential approaches for each of these practical aspects of ATI exist, the approach described in the guidelines provides a simple, safe and effective method for conducting ATI (42). If minimal sedation is required to improve the patient's tolerance of the ATI procedure, a titrated remifentanil infusion with a target effect site concentration (Minto model) between 1-3 ng/mL is described in the DAS ATI technique. The recommended technique for topicalisation of the airway includes applying co-phenylcaine if using the nasal route and 20-30 sprays of 10% lignocaine to the oropharynx (including targeting sprays specifically at the tonsillar pillars and tongue base). The adequacy of topicalisation should be checked atraumatically and further lignocaine up to a total maximum of 9 mg/kg lean body weight administered if required. ATI may be performed using videolaryngoscopy or flexible bronchoscopy. The guidelines emphasise the importance of ergonomics-the primary operator should have a direct line of sight to the patient monitor, the infusion pumps and the video screen. The patient should be seated in an upright position and secretions should be cleared. The DAS ATI technique recommends the operator is positioned facing the patient for ATI using flexible bronchoscopy whereas for ATI using videolaryngoscopy, the operator should be positioned behind the patient.

High-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO)

Supplemental oxygen should be administered throughout airway management. Heated humidified HFNO is commonly used during the process of tracheal intubation and extubation (43) and may prolong the duration of apnoea without oxygen desaturation during difficult airway management (44). It is well tolerated by awake patients and, in the presence of a patent upper airway, allows a margin of safety should hypoventilation occur. In addition to providing supplemental oxygen, HFNO offers several other physiological benefits including increased alveolar ventilation and reduced work of breathing (43).

The use of HFNO during ATI techniques has additional benefits whilst providing supplemental oxygen. These include improved spread of local anaesthetic during airway topicalisation (45), expansion of the calibre of the airway passages that a flexible bronchoscope may pass through (45), reduced risk of contact bleeding of friable tissues (45), as well as decreased misting of the scope tip.

Preventing unrecognised oesophageal intubation

Abnormal anatomy relating to oral cancer and/or its treatment and the use of advanced airway techniques which involve the railroading of a tracheal tube are risk factors for inadvertent oesophageal intubation. Consensus guidelines to prevent unrecognised oesophageal intubation, produced by the Project for Universal Management of Airways and endorsed by many international airway societies, should be followed (46). At each tracheal intubation or tracheal tube change, sustained exhaled carbon dioxide detection should be used to confirm alveolar ventilation. The absence of this should trigger a series of actions to exclude oesophageal intubation and, in the majority of cases, the tracheal tube should be removed. In situations where tracheal intubation was challenging, there may be hesitation to remove the tube and it should be acknowledged that these same difficulties may also have led to inadvertent oesophageal intubation. Repeat videolaryngoscopy to confirm tube location may not be a feasible option in oral cancer patients. Instead, passing a flexible bronchoscope through the lumen of the tube is likely to be the most appropriate option to establish the site of the tracheal tube. Visualisation of the triad of tracheal rings, trachealis muscle and tip of the tube above the carina should enable confirmation of tracheal intubation. This should be followed by actions to explain and resolve the absence of sustained exhaled carbon dioxide as described in the consensus guideline (46). Oxygenation remains paramount; any actions to investigate and resolve the lack of sustained exhaled carbon dioxide must be prompt, to avoid hypoxaemia.

Videolaryngoscopy

Videolaryngoscopy is increasingly a first-choice technique for tracheal intubation in many clinical situations and offers a better safety profile than direct laryngoscopy (47,48). There is a growing body of evidence supporting the use of videolaryngoscopy in patients with anticipated difficult airways (49,50) and specifically in those with head and neck cancer (51). In patients with oral cancer and in whom mouth opening permits blade insertion, there may be specific advantages to videolaryngoscopy use. Firstly, videolaryngoscopes allow an indirect laryngoscopy

technique which does not rely upon alignment of the oral, laryngeal, and pharyngeal axes. This alignment may be impossible with large airway tumours or in the presence of radiotherapy-related fibrosis. Most videolaryngoscopes utilise a camera situated near the blade tip providing a viewing point closer to the glottic opening alongside a more comprehensive visual field than that obtained using direct laryngoscopy. Furthermore, friable tumours susceptible to contact bleeding may cause the airway to deteriorate significantly after airway instrumentation, so techniques such as videolaryngoscopy (47) that are associated with a higher first pass success rate are preferable.

Nasal or oral tracheal intubation is possible using videolaryngoscopy and the procedure is feasible both as an asleep or an awake technique. The choice of videolaryngoscopes is vast and devices can generally be classified as channelled or unchannelled devices, the latter subdivided into those with a Macintosh-shaped blade or a hyperangulated blade. Different techniques are required for the two types of blade shape (48,52). There is some limited evidence that nasal tracheal intubation using a hyperangulated blade may be superior to using a Macintosh blade in patients with oropharyngeal cancer (53,54) but operator experience and expertise with a particular device is key for optimal success rates.

ATI using videolaryngoscopy [awake videolaryngoscopy (AVL)] is associated with a similar success rate to ATI using flexible bronchoscopy (55), including in patients with oropharyngeal cancer (56,57). The technique is clearly dependent on being able to insert the laryngoscope blade into the patient's oral cavity. There is insufficient evidence to recommend one device over another for AVL, and the operator should use the device with which they are most familiar (42). Although limited, there is some evidence that videolaryngoscopy with a hyperangulated blade requires less traction and force applied to airway structures in comparison to a Macintosh blade technique (58), and hence this blade shape may be a better option for AVL.

Whether performed in the awake or anaesthetised patient, documenting how tracheal intubation using videolaryngoscopy was achieved is important. Whilst not widely agreed, a number of different tools are available, including the recently developed Video Classification of Intubation score (59).

Flexible bronchoscopy

Tracheal intubation using flexible bronchoscopy is

particularly indicated in patients with large obstructing tumours of the oral cavity and in patients with limited mouth opening. In view of these predictors of airway management difficulty, tracheal intubation using flexible bronchoscopy is more commonly performed as an awake technique (42). In the anaesthetised patient, tracheal intubation using flexible bronchoscopy may be challenging due to relaxation of the pharyngeal tissues causing further restrictions to view and access (60).

ATI using flexible bronchoscopy is commonly performed via the nasal route, and this is often the preferred route in oral cancer patients, to maximise surgical access and to avoid the need for tracheal tube exchange (from oral to nasal) in an anaesthetised patient. Furthermore, it can be difficult to navigate the anatomical landmarks when using the oral route because of the small field of view provided by the tip of a flexible bronchoscope. An oral airway designed to maintain the flexible bronchoscope in a midline position and facilitate navigation over the tongue may be helpful (40). Alternatively, a hybrid technique using an additional device, such as videolaryngoscope, may also assist with passing the flexible bronchoscope over the base of tongue.

Video stylet

Video stylets are wholly or partially rigid tubular devices that allow an indirect view of the glottis on a video screen. Several devices are available, e.g., Bonfils Retromolar Intubation Fiberscope (Karl Storz, Germany), C-MAC Video Stylet (Karl Storz, Germany), and Levitan FPS (Clarus Medical, USA), which all employ a similar principle. Video stylets commonly have an external diameter of 5 mm and may be utilised in situations of reduced mouth opening where a videolaryngoscope would not be able to be passed. The video stylet may be inserted using a retromolar approach or using a midline approach (61). The video stylet, with a preloaded tracheal tube, is inserted into the oral cavity and then manoeuvred to the glottic aperture. At this point, the device may be further advanced through the glottic opening or held at the glottic entrance to enable tracheal tube delivery.

The use of video stylets in ATI has been comprehensively reviewed (61,62), and their use is specifically described in patients with oral cancer and difficult airway management (63,64). Video stylets are associated with a high first pass success rate only when used by operators familiar with the technique and have a comparable risk of complications to other airway devices (62). One small study comparing two experienced operators performing ATI using either a video stylet or a flexible bronchoscope, in patients with an anticipated difficult airway undergoing head and neck surgery, found a high success rate of awake nasal intubation in both groups, but with reduced time to intubation in the video stylet group (65). Thus tracheal intubation using a video stylet in patients with oral cancer may be an option for anaesthetists whose regular clinical practice includes this device.

Hybrid technique

A hybrid technique using more than one device may be considered in complex cases, particularly when the airway anatomy is distorted and the route to the glottis is tortuous. Studies investigating hybrid techniques in difficult airways are limited to case reports (66) and case series (67,68). Most commonly, a hybrid approach involves using a videolaryngoscope with a flexible bronchoscope or a video stylet. The videolaryngoscope provides a wide-angle view of the laryngeal anatomy and facilitates navigation of the flexible bronchoscope or video stylet (with their narrow fields of view) acting as a steerable introducer to the glottic opening. This may allow placement of the tracheal tube from both above and below the vocal cords to be observed.

Retrograde tracheal intubation

Retrograde tracheal intubation is usually a technique that is reserved for patients whose upper airway anatomy is extremely distorted and standard anatomical landmarks are unrecognisable. There are multiple published descriptions of how to perform a retrograde tracheal intubation and these are summarised elsewhere (69). The basic steps of the technique include: initial identification of the cricothyroid membrane, which can be aided by ultrasound scanning, particularly when anatomical landmarks are not easily palpable (70); needle puncture of the cricothyroid membrane, to allow passage of a retrograde guidewire; advancement of the guidewire in a cephalad direction until it emerges from the upper airway; delivery of the tracheal tube into the trachea, which can be facilitated by using a variety of techniques, including using an AEC or passing the guidewire through the distal end of the working channel of a flexible bronchoscope, or utilisation of the guidewire as a visual guide to the laryngeal inlet. Complications associated with the technique are usually minor (69).

Tracheostomy

An awake tracheostomy may be indicated as the primary or secondary airway management plan in patients with significant airway pathology, in whom the chances of successful ATI are deemed to be low. Reports in the literature of awake tracheostomy as an airway strategy in patients undergoing oral cancer surgery when other techniques are not feasible or have failed are scarce. In general, the favoured technique in this situation is a surgical tracheostomy however a percutaneous tracheostomy may be used depending on the personal preference of the surgeon. This is likely to be a high-risk procedure and the patient should be counselled appropriately.

Ultrasound scanning may aid landmark identification of the proposed tracheostomy site as well as any overlying blood vessels (71). Awake tracheostomy should be performed following local anaesthetic infiltration. If local anaesthetic has been used for a recent failed ATI attempt, this should be factored into the local anaesthetic toxic dose calculation. HFNO can be used during the tracheostomy procedure to try to maintain oxygenation. It is important for the theatre team to remain vigilant to the risk of fire when using surgical diathermy in the presence of HFNO and minimise this risk where possible (72). Whilst sedation is not essential (and may not be recommended), judicious administration can often help improve the patient's tolerance of the procedure. The use of a variety of sedative agents has been described in case reports and case series (73-77). In keeping with the DAS guidance for minimal sedation in ATI, a target controlled infusion of remifentanil can be very effective in this context, especially when combined with a team member specifically allocated to maintaining verbal contact and providing reassurance to the patient throughout the procedure.

Management of loss of airway during an awake tracheostomy procedure depends upon the specific circumstances. Utilising the principles outlined in recommendations from multidisciplinary guidelines for the management of tracheostomy emergencies (78) and the DAS Plan D guidelines (17), attempts to maintain oxygenation may require simultaneous and separate efforts directed at administering oxygen via the upper airway whilst establishing an emergency front of neck airway.

Choice of tracheal intubation technique

There are a number of options available to achieve tracheal

Table 1 Comparison of advanced airway techniques for tracheal intubation

Variables	Video- laryngoscopy	Flexible bronchoscopy	Video stylet	Retrograde	Surgical tracheostomy	Emergency front of neck airway
Complexity of procedure	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate-high	Moderate-high	Low-moderate
Number of procedures to achieve basic competence to perform procedure when no airway difficulty is predicted [†]	1–6	>25	~20	No data	10	>5
Equipment setup ^{††}	Fast	Fast	Fast	Moderate	Slow	Fast
Field of view ^{††}	Broad	Narrow	Narrow	Narrow	Specific to incision	Specific to incision
View of tracheal intubation ††	Glottic	Tracheal	Tracheal	Tracheal	Anterior neck/	Anterior neck/
Hybrid technique—usually glottic and tracheal					tracheal	tracheal
Affected by secretions and/or blood ^{$\dagger\dagger$}	Moderate	Severe	Severe	Variable	Moderate	Minimal
Intubation time ^{†††}	<<1 minute	>1 minute	<1 minute	Minutes	Minutes	<1 minute
References	(52,79-81)	(79,80)	(61,62)	(69)	(82)	(83,84)

[†], for many anaesthetic procedures, there is a steep learning curve for the first 30 cases and the learning curve does not flatten off beyond at least 100 cases (85); ^{††}, data provided based on first principles; ^{†††}, excludes time taken for any local anaesthetic topicalisation of the airway.

intubation and each clinical situation requires a bespoke multidisciplinary airway management strategy. Table 1 (52,61,62,69,79-85) provides a summary of the main options for tracheal intubation in patients undergoing oral cancer surgery and summarises some of the factors that may influence choosing one technique over another. Published literature in this area is of generally low-quality since it is not straightforward to compare one technique to another. The decision to proceed with a specific technique will be primarily guided by the patient, their unique airway anatomy, the availability of appropriate equipment as well as the skillset of the multidisciplinary airway team present (4). Local culture and practice heavily influence choice of technique and consequently there may be institutional variation in management of similar cases (86). Other considerations relating to equipment include the ease of use, speed of setup and the type of view that will be obtained. The learning curve and skill acquisition associated with the use of any technique or device is affected by many factors (87). Some techniques, whilst novel, are easy to learn and perform because they are based on using existing and established cognitive and manual dexterity skills (e.g., AVL) (88). This is in contrast to techniques which rely upon unique device handling or viewing anatomy from a different perspective (e.g., flexible bronchoscopy or video

stylet). Such techniques may not be used frequently, and thus are likely to be associated with slower achievement of proficiency combined with rapid skill attrition (15,89). Regular simulation and workshop training to practice, maintain and improve airway management skills for these less regularly used techniques are likely to be crucial to successful performance when needed (15,89,90).

Postoperative airway management

Postoperative airway assessment and planning

Patients who have undergone oral cancer surgery may have significant airway compromise in the immediate postoperative period due to the presence of blood, oedema and bulky reconstructive flaps. The DAS extubation guideline provides a useful structure that can be followed for this stage of airway management (18). A multidisciplinary approach to the formulation of a postoperative airway strategy is essential. A risk assessment should be undertaken which includes discussion of anticipated difficulties, an agreed primary plan and backup plan(s). The surgical team should remain in the operating theatre until the patient's airway is deemed safe. Postoperative planning should take into consideration the potential for delayed airway compromise, with a clear airway management

Page 8 of 17

strategy established along with ensuring the availability of a skilled team to manage airway rescue. There is likely to be considerable variation between institutions and between daytime and out-of-hours provision of airway rescue services—this must be factored into the risk assessment. A number of head and neck surgical centres have established multidisciplinary difficult airway rescue teams that operate day and night to meet this clinical need (91).

Strategies for tracheal extubation include awake tracheal extubation (with or without HFNO and with or without pharmacological assistance), exchange of the tracheal tube for a supraglottic airway, and use of an AEC. For high-risk situations, a temporary tracheostomy may also be performed. Alternatively, it may be appropriate to delay tracheal extubation for 24–48 hours to allow airway oedema to subside.

Awake tracheal extubation

The patient's physiological ability to undergo a trial of tracheal extubation should be optimised. This includes ensuring that the patient is preoxygenated and the presence of any residual neuromuscular blockade has been quantitatively assessed and appropriately reversed where necessary. HFNO should be considered as part of the tracheal extubation strategy for high-risk patients.

Prior to tracheal extubation, and whilst the patient is still deeply anaesthetised, the oropharynx should be meticulously inspected and suctioned under vision. During this assessment, the anaesthetist should ensure that any packs, swabs, or blood clots are removed from the airway. This should involve manipulation of the head and neck to dislodge any concealed clots in the nasopharynx as well as suctioning behind the soft palate and in the supraglottic region.

An awake extubation strategy is often the safest technique in high-risk patients, and it is desirable to minimise the risk of coughing, agitation and haemodynamic perturbations at the time of tracheal extubation. Several pharmacological options are available to facilitate smooth emergence and tracheal extubation (92). Continuous infusions of remifentanil or dexmedetomidine may be used to facilitate patient tolerance of the tracheal tube whilst consciousness returns and adequate spontaneous ventilation resumes.

The DAS extubation guideline supports the use of titrated remifentanil infusions during the process of awake tracheal extubation in 'at risk' patients and suggests a sequence of steps that can be followed (18). The optimal dose of remifentanil that reliably allows smooth tracheal extubation without delayed emergence and apnoea remains unknown, with a wide range of doses suggested. One small study found a remifentanil target-controlled infusion effect site concentration of 1.5 ng/mL facilitated smooth awake tracheal extubation in patients undergoing endoscopic sinus surgery (93). There is emerging evidence that a dexmedetomidine infusion may be a useful alternative in achieving smooth emergence and awake tracheal extubation (94,95). Further research is needed to elucidate the optimal pharmacological agent and the ideal dose range needed to safely assist a smooth awake tracheal extubation in patients who have undergone complex head and neck surgery.

Tracheal tube exchange to a supraglottic airway device

It may be appropriate to consider exchange of the tracheal tube to a supraglottic airway device. This technique offers the advantage of a smoother emergence with a reduced risk of coughing compared to awake removal of a tracheal tube, and is potentially safer than undertaking a deep extubation technique (18). Well-positioned supraglottic airway devices maintain the airway, reduce the volume of blood and secretions entering the larynx and allow assessment of the adequacy of spontaneous ventilation. However, the presence of bulky reconstructive flaps within the oral cavity and airway oedema may preclude the use of this technique.

The original description of the technique is known as the Bailey manoeuvre (96) and involves the placement of a Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway (LMA-Classic[™], Intavent Orthofix, Maidenhead, UK) behind the tracheal tube, followed by inflation of the laryngeal mask airway cuff and deflation of the tracheal tube cuff, with subsequent removal of the tracheal tube. The technique for airway substitution with a LMA is described in the DAS extubation guideline (18). It is important that the technique is performed after inspection and suctioning of the airway whilst the patient is deeply anaesthetised.

Whilst there is limited evidence to support the use of one specific supraglottic airway device over another for airway substitution, some small studies have shown relatively positive results for the use of the I-gelTM (Intersurgical Ltd., Wokingham, Berkshire, UK) (97), the ProsealTM (PLMA, LMA North America, San Diego, CA, USA) (97) and the Ambu[®] LMA (Ambu A/S, Ballerup, Denmark) (98) in this situation. However, there are no studies examining the technique or comparing devices specifically in patients who have undergone oral cancer surgery.

AECs

An AEC is a long, thin, hollow flexible tube with centimetre depth markings. AECs may be used as a conduit to the trachea after tracheal extubation to facilitate airway rescue and to aid reintubation in the postoperative period (when this is anticipated to be challenging). An AEC can be placed orally or nasally, and emergency tracheal intubation can be achieved via either route by railroading over the *in situ* AEC (99).

Whilst AECs are available in a number of different outer diameter sizes and lengths, the most suitable to facilitate AEC-assisted tracheal extubation are the 11 and 14 Fr devices (18,100). The 11 and 14 Fr AECs have an external diameter of 3.7 and 4.7 mm respectively, and thus are of sufficiently small calibre to be tolerated by most awake patients (100). The ideal length of an AEC should be no greater than twice the length of the tracheal tube that is being used—this generally equates to approximately 56 cm (101), however, the typical length of commonly available AECs is 83 cm (Cook Medical, USA).

Immediately prior to undertaking tracheal extubation in an 'at risk' patient, the AEC should be inserted through the lumen of the *in situ* tracheal tube. The depth markings on the tracheal tube and the AEC should correspond indicating that the distal tips of both devices are aligned. The maximum recommended depth of insertion of an oral AEC is 25 cm (18) and the specific depth for each individual patient should be noted. There are no agreed equivalent recommendations for the depth of a nasally inserted AEC. A study examining 124 patients with nasal AECs in situ found an average insertion depth of 29 cm (99). Meticulous care should be taken to ensure that the distal tip of the AEC lies in the mid-trachea and is not positioned at or beyond the carina, since this carries the risks of airway stimulation and trauma. The DAS extubation guideline outlines the sequence of steps that should be undertaken when performing tracheal extubation with an AEC in situ (18).

A correctly positioned AEC is usually well tolerated by patients without any local anaesthesia or sedation. There is some evidence that a nasally inserted AEC is associated with reduced rates of coughing and retching compared to an oral AEC (99). The patient should be able to talk and cough without difficulty or discomfort. Supplemental oxygen can be administered via a simple facemask or HFNO. Many AECs can be connected to an oxygen supply through a connector that enables oxygen insufflation. However, even low oxygen flow rates (1–2 L/min) can result in significant barotrauma, and thus supplemental oxygen should not be administered via an AEC except in situations of lifethreatening hypoxaemia (102).

The patient should be nursed in a monitored postoperative environment with staff familiar with airway observation and AECs. The AEC should be removed when the patient and their airway is deemed safe and stable. The patient should remain nil by mouth until this point. A stable airway is usually anticipated to be achieved within several hours of tracheal extubation (99,100), but an AEC may be tolerated for up to 72 hours (100).

Postoperative emergency reintubation over an *in situ* AEC is an uncommon scenario and studies examining success rates of this technique include only relatively small numbers of patients (99,100,103). The rate of failure to reintubate using the AEC in this situation may be as high as 22% (103). Inadvertent displacement of AECs (or equivalent devices) in the postoperative period ranges from 4% to 11% of patients (99,104,105). Waveform capnography is an unreliable method to confirm tracheal placement of a AEC and, if necessary, AEC location should be confirmed using flexible nasendoscopy (99).

In situations where there is an AEC in place and the patient requires tracheal intubation, standard precautions and preparation for anticipated difficulty should be made. An awake or asleep tracheal intubation technique may be utilised and depending on technique, the AEC may be used as a conduit for the tracheal tube insertion or simply as a visual guide to the laryngeal inlet. If the AEC is used, the previously noted depth of insertion can provide a guide to tracheal tube depth. Tracheal tube placement should be confirmed by the presence of sustained exhaled carbon dioxide on capnography.

Difficulty railroading the tracheal tube over the AEC may be encountered at the laryngeal inlet where the difference in size between the small calibre AEC and the larger internal diameter of the tracheal tube may cause the tracheal tube to catch on glottic structures. An Aintree Intubation Catheter (AIC) (Cook Medical, USA) is a semi-rigid tube 56 cm in length, with an internal and an external diameter of 4.7 and 6.5 mm respectively. These dimensions make the AIC a useful device to close the gap between the AEC and the tracheal tube. Railroading a 7.0 mm internal diameter (or larger) tracheal tube over the AEC-AIC combination may reduce the risk of the tracheal tube catching on glottic structures and thus increases the chance of successful reintubation using this technique (106,107).

The Cook Staged Extubation Set (Cook Medical, USA) comprises equipment for undertaking tracheal extubation

Page 10 of 17

via a modified AEC-extubation technique, and its use has been described specifically in patients following head and neck surgery (108). A flexible-tipped wire, as opposed to a catheter, is left in the trachea following tracheal extubation. In the event that the patient requires reintubation, a soft tapered catheter is passed over the wire first, and then the steps described above can be undertaken.

Temporary tracheostomy

Elective temporary tracheostomy is a common and wellestablished postoperative airway strategy in major head and neck oncological surgery. The decision to perform a tracheostomy in this group of patients is not made lightly due to the attendant risks of significant morbidity, with reported complication rates ranging between 2% and 45% (109-115). Pneumonia is a common postoperative complication in patients who have undergone major head and neck surgery, with tracheostomy recognised as a risk factor (111,115-118).

In view of this, there is considerable variation in practice between head and neck surgical centres (119). A number of scoring systems have been proposed to identify patients in whom tracheostomy would be the safest postoperative airway strategy (120-125), and at present, no particular tool has demonstrated superiority. Purported limitations of these existing scoring systems include the potential for overprediction of tracheostomy requirement (126-128) and inconsistency in predicted outcome achieved with different scoring systems applied to the same patient (127,128). A recent evaluation of factors contributing to delays in decannulation following temporary tracheostomy in patients who had undergone free tissue reconstructive surgery for head and neck cancer found that a fifth of patients underwent decannulation after one to two days, which raises the question of whether a temporary tracheostomy had been indicated at all (129).

Thus, it remains that the decision to perform a temporary tracheostomy to facilitate postoperative airway management should be made on a case-by-case basis by the multidisciplinary team. The decision should be influenced by anticipated postoperative airway compromise from oedema, bleeding and potential subsequent difficulties with airway rescue, amongst other factors.

There are no widely accepted recommendations for sizing of tracheostomy tubes (130). However, appropriate sizing is important to minimise the risk of inadequate ventilation, tube dislodgement, cuff leaks, and bleeding. Tracheostomy tube choice is influenced by a number of factors including gender, body habitus, existing tracheal tube size, and a need for the distal tip of the tube to be positioned 2–4 cm proximal to the carina. If available, existing computed tomography imaging of the thorax may be used to help select the appropriate size of tracheostomy tube (131).

Patients with a temporary tracheostomy should have bed-head signs displayed to allow essential information about their airway to be immediately available in the event of an airway emergency. Details should include which team(s) should be rapidly mobilised and whether there are any special considerations for managing the patient's airway (4,78).

Delayed tracheal extubation

Delayed tracheal extubation may be an alternative to temporary tracheostomy formation in selected patients who have undergone major oral cancer surgery. Similarly, the decision for delayed tracheal extubation should be made on a case-by-case basis by the multidisciplinary team. In the absence of a widely accepted and validated scoring systems to guide whether a patient should have a delayed tracheal extubation or not, the decision will be based on clinical judgment and institutional norms. A delayed extubation approach may potentially impact upon intensive care unit (ICU) bed capacity in certain institutions, where postoperative patients with a tracheostomy may routinely be managed in other monitored clinical areas but would mandate ICU admission if they remained intubated overnight. Case series which describe local experience of delayed tracheal extubation in patients who have undergone major oral surgery suggest that delayed tracheal extubation may be a safe option for postoperative airway management and that temporary tracheostomies may be unnecessary in some patients (112,126,127). At present, there is insufficient evidence to predict the specific characteristics of patients who will fail a trial of delayed tracheal extubation and whose primary postoperative airway management plan should be a temporary tracheostomy.

Patients who are admitted to ICU for delayed tracheal extubation should have a clearly documented airway management strategy in case of accidental tracheal extubation (4). It is vital to clearly identify these patients as those in whom airway management is known or anticipated to be difficult—the use of high visibility bedhead signs is recommended to serve as a trigger to rapidly mobilise

the relevant team(s) and to indicate patient specific recommendations for airway management in the event of accidental tracheal tube displacement (4,132).

Delayed extubation on ICU should take place following the same level of planning and preparation, with all the appropriate personnel and equipment, as recommended for any other high-risk tracheal extubation procedure. Indeed, tracheal extubation of these patients should follow the same principles outlined for the safe tracheal extubation of all ICU patients with predicted airway management difficulty (133).

Conclusions

Patients with oral cancer have predictably difficult airways and often require the utilisation of advanced airway management techniques for both tracheal intubation and extubation. This review highlights the wide range of potential advanced airway techniques in the armamentarium of the head and neck anaesthetist. At present, there is often insufficient high-quality evidence to recommend one particular technique over another. Much of the published literature in this area consists of case reports and case series-this is unsurprising since patients undergoing oral cancer surgery are a heterogenous group and airway management can be successfully performed using a variety of techniques. Choice of technique is influenced by the patient and their unique airway, the availability of appropriate equipment, the experience and expertise of the multidisciplinary team present as well as institutional norms. Whichever strategy is adopted, successful airway management requires careful planning and a collaborative approach. Anticipated difficulties, an agreed primary plan and triggers to initiate backup plan(s) should be discussed in advance of undertaking any advanced airway procedure. Maintaining oxygenation throughout performance of these procedures is crucial. From the moment a tracheal tube is inserted until tracheal extubation, continuous capnography is mandatory. The learning curve and skill acquisition associated with the use of any advanced airway procedure or device is varied, and is affected by several factors including how frequently the technique is performed. Thus, regular simulation and workshop training to practice, maintain and improve both technical and non-technical airway management skills for these less regularly used techniques is vital to increase the chances of success when they are needed.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr. David Vaughan for his guidance and support provided in preparation of this article. *Funding:* None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editors (Patrick Ward and Michael Irwin) for the series "Anaesthesia for Oral Cancer" published in Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Anesthesia. The article has undergone external peer review.

Peer Review File: Available at https://joma.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/joma-22-33/prf

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://joma.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/joma-22-33/coif). The series "Anaesthesia for Oral Cancer" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Chi AC, Day TA, Neville BW. Oral cavity and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma--an update. CA Cancer J Clin 2015;65:401-21.
- 2. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, et al. Cancer statistics,

Page 12 of 17

2022. CA Cancer J Clin 2022;72:7-33.

- Cancer Research UK, Available online: https://www. cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancerstatistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/head-and-neck-cancers/ incidence#heading-Three. Accessed January 2023.
- Cook T, Woodall N, Frerk C. 4th National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Major complications of airway management in the United Kingdom. London: The Royal College of Anaesthetists; 2011.
- Rosenstock CV, Nørskov AK, Wetterslev J, et al. Emergency surgical airway management in Denmark: a cohort study of 452 461 patients registered in the Danish Anaesthesia Database. Br J Anaesth 2016;117 Suppl 1:i75-82.
- Bryan YF, Morgan AG, Johnson KN, et al. Procedural Challenges During Intubation in Patients With Oropharyngeal Masses: A Prospective Observational Study. Anesth Analg 2019;128:1256-63.
- Endlich Y, Beckmann LA, Choi SW, et al. A prospective six-month audit of airway incidents during anaesthesia in twelve tertiary level hospitals across Australia and New Zealand. Anaesth Intensive Care 2020;48:389-98.
- 8. Mishra S, Bhatnagar S, Jha RR, et al. Airway management of patients undergoing oral cancer surgery: a retrospective study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2005;22:510-4.
- Stelea C, Pătrășcanu E, Cureniuc L, et al. Airway management in head and neck cancer surgery. Rom J Oral Rehabil 2020;12:139-43.
- Sajayan A, Nair A, McNarry AF, et al. Analysis of a national difficult airway database. Anaesthesia 2022;77:1081-8.
- 11. Liu Y, Zhang Y, Zhu B, et al. Development of endotracheal intubation devices for patients with tumors. Am J Cancer Res 2022;12:2433-46.
- 12. Joseph TT, Gal JS, DeMaria S Jr, et al. A Retrospective Study of Success, Failure, and Time Needed to Perform Awake Intubation. Anesthesiology 2016;125:105-14.
- Law JA, Morris IR, Brousseau PA, et al. The incidence, success rate, and complications of awake tracheal intubation in 1,554 patients over 12 years: an historical cohort study. Can J Anaesth 2015;62:736-44.
- El-Boghdadly K, Onwochei DN, Cuddihy J, et al. A prospective cohort study of awake fibreoptic intubation practice at a tertiary centre. Anaesthesia 2017;72:694-703.
- 15. Law JA, Thana A, Milne AD. The incidence of awake tracheal intubation in anesthetic practice is decreasing: a historical cohort study of the years 2014-2020 at a single

tertiary care institution. Can J Anaesth 2023;70:69-78.

- Royal College of Anaesthetists 2021 Curriculum for a CCT in Anaesthetics. Version 1.0 August 2021. Available online: https://rcoa.ac.uk/documents/2021-curriculumlearning-syllabus-stage-3-special-interest-areas/ anaesthesia-patients. Accessed January 2023.
- Frerk C, Mitchell VS, McNarry AF, et al. Difficult Airway Society 2015 guidelines for management of unanticipated difficult intubation in adults. Br J Anaesth 2015;115:827-48.
- Difficult Airway Society Extubation Guidelines Group; Popat M, Mitchell V, et al. Difficult Airway Society Guidelines for the management of tracheal extubation. Anaesthesia 2012;67:318-40.
- Ahmad I, Keane O, Muldoon S. Enhancing airway assessment of patients with head and neck pathology using virtual endoscopy. Indian J Anaesth 2017;61:782-6.
- 20. El-Boghdadly K, Onwochei DN, Millhoff B, et al. The effect of virtual endoscopy on diagnostic accuracy and airway management strategies in patients with head and neck pathology: a prospective cohort study. Can J Anaesth 2017;64:1101-10.
- 21. Rosenblatt W, Ianus AI, Sukhupragarn W, et al. Preoperative endoscopic airway examination (PEAE) provides superior airway information and may reduce the use of unnecessary awake intubation. Anesth Analg 2011;112:602-7.
- 22. Gemma M, Buratti L, Di Santo D, et al. Pre-operative transnasal endoscopy as a predictor of difficult airway: A prospective cohort study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2020;37:98-104.
- 23. Law JA, Duggan LV, Asselin M, et al. Canadian Airway Focus Group updated consensus-based recommendations for management of the difficult airway: part 2. Planning and implementing safe management of the patient with an anticipated difficult airway. Can J Anaesth 2021;68:1405-36.
- 24. Murphy CT, Galloway TJ, Handorf EA, et al. Survival Impact of Increasing Time to Treatment Initiation for Patients With Head and Neck Cancer in the United States. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:169-78.
- 25. Dejaco D, Steinbichler T, Schartinger VH, et al. Specific growth rates calculated from CTs in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective study performed in Austria. BMJ Open 2019;9:e025359.
- 26. Hall CE, Shutt LE. Nasotracheal intubation for head and neck surgery. Anaesthesia 2003;58:249-56.
- 27. Prasanna D, Bhat S. Nasotracheal Intubation: An Overview. J Maxillofac Oral Surg 2014;13:366-72.

- O'Hanlon J, Harper KW. Epistaxis and nasotracheal intubation-prevention with vasoconstrictor spray. Ir J Med Sci 1994;163:58-60.
- 29. Song J. A comparison of the effects of epinephrine and xylometazoline in decreasing nasal bleeding during nasotracheal intubation. J Dent Anesth Pain Med 2017;17:281-7.
- Jundt JS, Cattano D, Hagberg CA, et al. Submental intubation: a literature review. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;41:46-54.
- Williams KD, Tariq M, Acharekar MV, et al. Submental Intubation in Maxillofacial Procedures: A More Desired Approach Than Nasotracheal Intubation and Tracheostomy. Cureus 2022;14:e27475.
- McCoy EP, Russell WJ, Webb RK. Accidental bronchial intubation: an analysis of AIMS incident reports from 1988 to 1994 inclusive. Anaesthesia 1997;52:24-3.
- Brusco L Jr, Weissman C. Pharyngeal obstruction of a reinforced orotracheal tube. Anesth Analg 1993;76:653-4.
- Balakrishna P, Shetty A, Bhat G, et al. Ventilatory obstruction from kinked armoured tube. Indian J Anaesth 2010;54:355-6.
- Kristensen MS. The Parker Flex-Tip tube versus a standard tube for fiberoptic orotracheal intubation: a randomized double-blind study. Anesthesiology 2003;98:354-8.
- Suzuki A, Tampo A, Abe N, et al. The Parker Flex-Tip tracheal tube makes endotracheal intubation with the Bullard laryngoscope easier and faster. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2008;25:43-7.
- 37. Lomax SL, Johnston KD, Marfin AG, et al. Nasotracheal fibreoptic intubation: a randomised controlled trial comparing the GlideRite® (Parker-Flex® Tip) nasal tracheal tube with a standard pre-rotated nasal RAE[™] tracheal tube. Anaesthesia 2011;66:180-4.
- Jafari A, Gharaei B, Kamranmanesh MR, et al. Wire reinforced endotracheal tube compared with Parker Flex-Tip tube for oral fiberoptic intubation: a randomized clinical trial. Minerva Anestesiol 2014;80:324-9.
- Hakala P, Randell T. Comparison between two fibrescopes with different diameter insertion cords for fibreoptic intubation. Anaesthesia 1995;50:735-7.
- 40. Collins SR, Blank RS. Fiberoptic intubation: an overview and update. Respir Care 2014;59:865-78; discussion 878-80.
- Matek J, Kolek F, Klementova O, et al. Optical Devices in Tracheal Intubation-State of the Art in 2020. Diagnostics (Basel) 2021;11:575.

- 42. Ahmad I, El-Boghdadly K, Bhagrath R, et al. Difficult Airway Society guidelines for awake tracheal intubation (ATI) in adults. Anaesthesia 2020;75:509-28.
- Renda T, Corrado A, Iskandar G, et al. High-flow nasal oxygen therapy in intensive care and anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth 2018;120:18-27.
- 44. Patel A, Nouraei SA. Transnasal Humidified Rapid-Insufflation Ventilatory Exchange (THRIVE): a physiological method of increasing apnoea time in patients with difficult airways. Anaesthesia 2015;70:323-9.
- 45. Badiger S, John M, Fearnley RA, et al. Optimizing oxygenation and intubation conditions during awake fibreoptic intubation using a high-flow nasal oxygen-delivery system. Br J Anaesth 2015;115:629-32.
- 46. Chrimes N, Higgs A, Hagberg CA, et al. Preventing unrecognised oesophageal intubation: a consensus guideline from the Project for Universal Management of Airways and international airway societies. Anaesthesia 2022;77:1395-415.
- Hansel J, Rogers AM, Lewis SR, et al. Videolaryngoscopy versus direct laryngoscopy for adults undergoing tracheal intubation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2022;4:CD011136.
- 48. Cook TM, Aziz MF. Has the time really come for universal videolaryngoscopy? Br J Anaesth 2022;129:474-7.
- 49. Aziz MF, Healy D, Kheterpal S, et al. Routine clinical practice effectiveness of the Glidescope in difficult airway management: an analysis of 2,004 Glidescope intubations, complications, and failures from two institutions. Anesthesiology 2011;114:34-41.
- Moore AR, Schricker T, Court O. Awake videolaryngoscopy-assisted tracheal intubation of the morbidly obese. Anaesthesia 2012;67:232-5.
- 51. Shamim F, Khan AA, Khan FA. First-Pass Success of Tracheal Intubation With Videolaryngoscopy in Head and Neck Cancer Patients: A Registry-Based Retrospective Cohort Study. Cureus 2021;13:e20857.
- 52. Niforopoulou P, Pantazopoulos I, Demestiha T, et al. Video-laryngoscopes in the adult airway management: a topical review of the literature. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica 2010;54:1050-61.
- 53. Hazarika H, Saxena A, Meshram P, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing C Mac D Blade and Macintosh laryngoscope for nasotracheal intubation in patients undergoing surgeries for head and neck cancer. Saudi J Anaesth 2018;12:35-41.
- 54. Kumar A, Gupta N, Kumar V, et al. Comparative evaluation of glidescope videolaryngosocope and

Page 14 of 17

conventional macintosh laryngoscope for nasotracheal intubation in patients undergoing oropharyngeal cancer surgeries: A prospective randomized study. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2021;37:542-7.

- 55. Alhomary M, Ramadan E, Curran E, et al. Videolaryngoscopy vs. fibreoptic bronchoscopy for awake tracheal intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaesthesia 2018;73:1151-61.
- 56. Mahran EA, Hassan ME. Comparative randomised study of GlideScope(®) video laryngoscope versus flexible fibre-optic bronchoscope for awake nasal intubation of oropharyngeal cancer patients with anticipated difficult intubation. Indian J Anaesth 2016;60:936-8.
- 57. Markova L, Stopar-Pintaric T, Luzar T, et al. A feasibility study of awake videolaryngoscope-assisted intubation in patients with periglottic tumour using the channelled King Vision® videolaryngoscope. Anaesthesia 2017;72:512-8.
- Russell T, Khan S, Elman J, et al. Measurement of forces applied during Macintosh direct laryngoscopy compared with GlideScope® videolaryngoscopy. Anaesthesia 2012;67:626-31.
- 59. Chaggar RS, Shah SV, Berry M, et al. The Video Classification of Intubation (VCI) score: a new description tool for tracheal intubation using videolaryngoscopy: A pilot study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2021;38:324-6.
- Wong J, Lee JSE, Wong TGL, et al. Fibreoptic intubation in airway management: a review article. Singapore Med J 2019;60:110-8.
- Thong SY, Wong TG. Clinical uses of the Bonfils Retromolar Intubation Fiberscope: a review. Anesth Analg 2012;115:855-66.
- 62. Desai N, Ratnayake G, Onwochei DN, et al. Airway devices for awake tracheal intubation in adults: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. Br J Anaesth 2021;127:636-47.
- 63. Nabecker S, Ottenhausen T, Theiler L, et al. Prospective observational study evaluating the C-MAC Video Stylet for awake tracheal intubation: a single-center study. Minerva Anestesiol 2021;87:873-9.
- 64. Tsay PJ, Yang CP, Luk HN, et al. Video-Assisted Intubating Stylet Technique for Difficult Intubation: A Case Series Report. Healthcare (Basel) 2022;10:741.
- 65. Cheng T, Wang LK, Wu HY, et al. Shikani Optical Stylet for Awake Nasal Intubation in Patients Undergoing Head and Neck Surgery. Laryngoscope 2021;131:319-25.
- 66. Lupien AE, Taylor C. Hybrid intubation technique for the management of a difficult airway: a case report. AANA J

1995;63:50-2.

- 67. Baasch D, Nedrud S, Salman S, et al. A hybrid nasal intubation technique for the head and neck surgical patient: a case series and review of the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2021;131:e38-9.
- 68. Nedrud SM, Baasch DG, Cabral JD, et al. Combined Video Laryngoscope and Fiberoptic Nasal Intubation. Cureus 2021;13:e19482.
- 69. Dhara SS. Retrograde tracheal intubation. Anaesthesia 2009;64:1094-104.
- Kristensen MS, Teoh WH, Rudolph SS. Ultrasonographic identification of the cricothyroid membrane: best evidence, techniques, and clinical impact. Br J Anaesth 2016;117 Suppl 1:i39-48.
- 71. You-Ten KE, Siddiqui N, Teoh WH, et al. Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) of the upper airway. Can J Anaesth 2018;65:473-84.
- 72. Adams TRP, Ricciardelli A. Airway fire during awake tracheostomy using high-flow nasal oxygen. Anaesth Rep 2020;8:25-7.
- David MD, De Marchi L. Dexmedetomidine sedation for awake tracheotomy: case report and literature review. J Clin Anesth 2010;22:360-2.
- 74. Jun I, Kim K, Lee S, et al. Sedation with dexmedetomidine during tracheostomy in severe tracheal stenotic patients. Korean J Crit Care Med 2013;28:314-7.
- Seibert J, Roofe SB. Pilot study of dexmedetomidine in promoting patient comfort without airway compromise during awake tracheotomy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;148:1051-3.
- 76. Fang CH, Friedman R, White PE, et al. Emergent Awake tracheostomy—The five-year experience at an urban tertiary care center. Laryngoscope 2015;125:2476-9.
- 77. Ffrench-O'Carroll R, Fitzpatrick K, Jonker WR, et al. Maintaining oxygenation with high-flow nasal cannula during emergent awake surgical tracheostomy. Br J Anaesth 2017;118:954-5.
- McGrath BA, Bates L, Atkinson D, et al. Multidisciplinary guidelines for the management of tracheostomy and laryngectomy airway emergencies. Anaesthesia 2012;67:1025-41.
- 79. Fitzgerald E, Hodzovic I, Smith AF. 'From darkness into light': time to make awake intubation with videolaryngoscopy the primary technique for an anticipated difficult airway? Anaesthesia 2015;70:387-92.
- 80. Kramer A, Müller D, Pförtner R, et al. Fibreoptic vs videolaryngoscopic (C-MAC® D-BLADE) nasal awake intubation under local anaesthesia. Anaesthesia

2015;70:400-6.

- 81. Savoldelli GL, Schiffer E, Abegg C, et al. Comparison of the Glidescope[®], the McGrath[®], the Airtraq[®] and the Macintosh laryngoscopes in simulated difficult airways. Anaesthesia 2008;63:1358-64.
- Joint Committee on Surgical Training (UK). Otolaryngology curriculum – the intercollegiate surgical curriculum programme. August 2021.
- Wong DT, Prabhu AJ, Coloma M, et al. What is the minimum training required for successful cricothyroidotomy? A study in mannequins. Anesthesiology 2003;98:349-53.
- Hubert V, Duwat A, Deransy R, et al. Effect of simulation training on compliance with difficult airway management algorithms, technical ability, and skills retention for emergency cricothyrotomy. Anesthesiology 2014;120:999-1008.
- 85. Greaves JD. Training time and consultant practice. Br J Anaesth 2005;95:581-3.
- Cook TM, Morgan PJ, Hersch PE. Equal and opposite expert opinion. Airway obstruction caused by a retrosternal thyroid mass: management and prospective international expert opinion. Anaesthesia 2011;66:828-36.
- 87. Myatra SN, Kalkundre RS, Divatia JV. Optimizing education in difficult airway management: meeting the challenge. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2017;30:748-54.
- Hodzovic I, Bedreag O. Awake videolaryngoscope guided intubation - well worth adding to your skill-mix. Rom J Anaesth Intensive Care 2019;26:5-7.
- 89. Boulton AJ, Balla SR, Nowicka A, et al. Advanced airway training in the UK: A national survey of senior anesthetic trainees. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2019;35:326-34.
- 90. Baker PA, Weller JM, Greenland KB, et al. Education in airway management. Anaesthesia 2011;66 Suppl 2:101-11.
- Smith C, McNarry AF. Airway Leads and Airway Response Teams: Improving Delivery of Safer Airway Management? Curr Anesthesiol Rep 2020;10:370-7.
- Wong TH, Weber G, Abramowicz AE. Smooth Extubation and Smooth Emergence Techniques: A Narrative Review. Anesthesiol Res Pract 2021;2021:8883257.
- 93. Nho JS, Lee SY, Kang JM, et al. Effects of maintaining a remifentanil infusion on the recovery profiles during emergence from anaesthesia and tracheal extubation. Br J Anaesth 2009;103:817-21.
- 94. Bindu B, Pasupuleti S, Gowd UP, et al. A double blind, randomized, controlled trial to study the effect of dexmedetomidine on hemodynamic and recovery responses during tracheal extubation. J Anaesthesiol Clin

Pharmacol 2013;29:162-7.

- 95. Kim SY, Kim JM, Lee JH, et al. Efficacy of intraoperative dexmedetomidine infusion on emergence agitation and quality of recovery after nasal surgery. Br J Anaesth 2013;111:222-8.
- Nair I, Bailey PM. Use of the laryngeal mask for airway maintenance following tracheal extubation. Anaesthesia 1995;50:174-5.
- 97. Kalra N, Gupta A, Sood R, et al. Comparison of Proseal Laryngeal Mask Airway with the I-Gel Supraglottic Airway During the Bailey Manoeuvre in Adult Patients Undergoing Elective Surgery. Turk J Anaesthesiol Reanim 2021;49:107-13.
- Jain S, Khan RM, Ahmed SM, et al. Comparison of classic laryngeal mask airway with Ambu laryngeal mask for tracheal tube exchange: A prospective randomized controlled study. Indian J Anaesth 2013;57:259-64.
- Roten FM, Steffen R, Kleine-Brueggeney M, et al. Dislocation rates of postoperative airway exchange catheters - a prospective case series of 200 patients. BMC Anesthesiol 2019;19:52.
- 100.Mort TC. Continuous airway access for the difficult extubation: the efficacy of the airway exchange catheter. Anesth Analg 2007;105:1357-62, table of contents.
- 101. Argalious M, Doyle DJ. Questioning the length of airway exchange catheters. Anesthesiology 2007;106:404.
- 102. Duggan LV, Law JA, Murphy MF. Brief review: Supplementing oxygen through an airway exchange catheter: efficacy, complications, and recommendations. Can J Anaesth 2011;58:560-8.
- 103.McLean S, Lanam CR, Benedict W, et al. Airway exchange failure and complications with the use of the Cook Airway Exchange Catheter®: a single center cohort study of 1177 patients. Anesth Analg 2013;117:1325-7.
- 104.Smith T, Vaughan D. Extubation over a bougie in difficult airways: are we missing a trick? Anaesthesia 2013;68:974-5.
- 105.McManus S, Jones L, Anstey C, et al. An assessment of the tolerability of the Cook staged extubation wire in patients with known or suspected difficult airways extubated in intensive care. Anaesthesia 2018;73:587-93.
- 106. Higgs A, Swampillai C, Dravid R, et al. Re-intubation over airway exchange catheters - mind the gap. Anaesthesia 2010;65:859-60.
- 107.Law J, Duggan L. Extubation guidelines: use of airway exchange catheters. Anaesthesia 2012;67:918-9; author reply 921-2.
- 108. Twohig EM, Leader R, Shaw RJ, et al. Staged extubation

Page 16 of 17

to manage the airway after operations on the head and neck. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2016;54:1030-2.

- 109. Castling B, Telfer M, Avery BS. Complications of tracheostomy in major head and neck cancer surgery; a retrospective study of 60 consecutive cases. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1994;32:3-5.
- 110. Halfpenny W, McGurk M. Analysis of tracheostomyassociated morbidity after operations for head and neck cancer. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2000;38:509-12.
- 111.Brickman DS, Reh DD, Schneider DS, et al. Airway management after maxillectomy with free flap reconstruction. Head Neck 2013;35:1061-5.
- 112.Coyle MJ, Tyrrell R, Godden A, et al. Replacing tracheostomy with overnight intubation to manage the airway in head and neck oncology patients: towards an improved recovery. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2013;51:493-6.
- 113.Lee ST, Kim MG, Jeon JH, et al. Analysis of morbidity, mortality, and risk factors of tracheostomy-related complications in patients with oral and maxillofacial cancer. Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg 2016;38:32.
- 114. Anehosur VS, Karadiguddi P, Joshi VK, et al. Elective Tracheostomy in Head and Neck Surgery: Our Experience. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11:ZC36-9.
- 115. Goetz C, Burian NM, Weitz J, et al. Temporary tracheotomy in microvascular reconstruction in maxillofacial surgery: Benefit or threat? J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2019;47:642-6.
- 116. Li L, Yuan W, Zhang S, et al. Analysis of Risk Factors for Pneumonia in 482 Patients Undergoing Oral Cancer Surgery With Tracheotomy. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2016;74:415-9.
- 117.Ong SK, Morton RP, Kolbe J, et al. Pulmonary complications following major head and neck surgery with tracheostomy: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial of prophylactic antibiotics. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2004;130:1084-7.
- 118. Xu J, Hu J, Yu P, et al. Perioperative risk factors for postoperative pneumonia after major oral cancer surgery: A retrospective analysis of 331 cases. PLoS One 2017;12:e0188167.
- 119. Marsh M, Elliott S, Anand R, et al. Early postoperative care for free flap head & neck reconstructive surgery--a national survey of practice. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;47:182-5.
- 120.Kim YH, Kim MY, Kim CH. Elective tracheostomy scoring system for severe oral disease patients. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2014;40:211-9.

- 121. Gupta K, Mandlik D, Patel D, et al. Clinical assessment scoring system for tracheostomy (CASST) criterion: Objective criteria to predict pre-operatively the need for a tracheostomy in head and neck malignancies. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 2016;44:1310-3.
- 122. Cameron M, Corner A, Diba A, et al. Development of a tracheostomy scoring system to guide airway management after major head and neck surgery. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;38:846-9.
- 123.Kruse-Lösler B, Langer E, Reich A, et al. Score system for elective tracheotomy in major head and neck tumour surgery. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2005;49:654-9.
- 124.Leiser Y, Barak M, Ghantous Y, et al. Indications for Elective Tracheostomy in Reconstructive Surgery in Patients With Oral Cancer. J Craniofac Surg 2017;28:e18-22.
- 125.Mohamedbhai H, Ali S, Dimasi I, et al. TRACHY score: a simple and effective guide to management of the airway in head and neck cancer. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2018;56:709-14.
- 126. Coyle MJ, Shrimpton A, Perkins C, et al. First do no harm: should routine tracheostomy after oral and maxillofacial oncological operations be abandoned? Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2012;50:732-5.
- 127. Schmutz A, Dieterich R, Kalbhenn J, et al. Protocol based evaluation for feasibility of extubation compared to clinical scoring systems after major oral cancer surgery safely reduces the need for tracheostomy: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Anesthesiol 2018;18:43.
- 128.Janik S, Brkic FF, Grasl S, et al. Tracheostomy in bilateral neck dissection: Comparison of three tracheostomy scoring systems. Laryngoscope 2020;130:E580-6.
- 129.Littlewood CG, Jebril A, Lowe D, et al. Factors contributing to delayed decannulation of temporary tracheostomies following free tissue reconstructive surgery for head and neck cancer. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2021;59:472-7.
- 130.Intensive Care Society Guidance of Tracheosomy Care 2020. Available online: https://ics.ac.uk/resource/ tracheostomy-care-guidance.html. Accessed January 2023.
- 131. Green AO, Chellappah AD, Fan K, et al. Novel way to determine the size of tracheostomy tubes using computed tomographic Thorax or Pulmonary Angiogram scans during the COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020;58:e335-7.
- 132.Husain T, Gatward JJ, Hambidge OR, et al. Strategies to prevent airway complications: a survey of adult intensive

care units in Australia and New Zealand. Br J Anaesth 2012;108:800-6.

133. Sturgess DJ, Greenland KB, Senthuran S, et al. Tracheal

doi: 10.21037/joma-22-33

Cite this article as: Shah SV, Chaggar RS. Advanced airway management techniques in anaesthesia for oral cancer surgery: a review. J Oral Maxillofac Anesth 2023;2:8.

extubation of the adult intensive care patient with a predicted difficult airway - a narrative review. Anaesthesia 2017;72:248-61.