<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		Antibodies were not used in
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		this study.

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		Cell lines were not used in
Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		this study.
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		Primary cultures were not
origin, genetic modification status.		used in this study.

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		Laboratory animals were not used in this study.
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		Animal observed in or captured from the field were not used in this study.
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		Model organisms were not used in this study.

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		Plants were not used in this study.
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		Microbes were not used in this study.

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Line 96-98, page 4.	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Line 98, page 4.	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Line 146-148, page 6.	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		There were no clinical trials in this
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		study.
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		There were no laboratory
by-step protocols are available.		experiments in this study.
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done , or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Line 92-94, page 4.	
Randomisation		Randomisation was not included.
Blinding		Blinding was not included.
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Line 105-110, Page 5.	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		There were no laboratory
replicated in laboratory		experiments in this study.
Define whether data describe technical or biological		There were no laboratory
replicates		experiments in this study.
Ethics	Yes (indicate where	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Line 96-98, page 4.	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		No experimental animals were
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		included in this study.
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if	Line 96-98, page 4.	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of	Line 96-98, page 4.	
for approval. Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,	Line 96-98, page 4.	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required,	Line 96-98, page 4.	
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Line 96-98, page 4. Yes (indicate where	n/a
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why. Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		n/a This study is subject not to DURC.
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		<u> </u>

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		No samples were excluded in this
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		study.
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Line 130-131, page 6.	
tests.	Line 137-140, page 6.	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		The newly created datasets are not
including protocols for access or restriction on		available, because further study
access.		still need to include these data.
If data are publicly available, provide accession		The data was not publicly available.
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide	Line 105-106, page 5.	
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Line 114-118, 126-131,	
	135-137, page 5-6.	
If code is publicly available, provide accession	Line 114-118, 126-131,	
number in repository, or DOI or URL.	135-137, page 5-6.	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-21-28