<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	The 168 th line, 8 th paragraph, page 5	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		Not
Provide accession number in repository OR		used
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		Not
origin, genetic modification status.		used
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,	····· (·······························	Not
genetic modification status. Provide accession		used
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		Not
field: Provide species, sex and age where		used
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		Not
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		used
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		Not
number if available, and source (including location		used
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		Not
accession number if available, and source		used
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		none
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	The 158 th line, 7 th paragraph, page 5	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		none

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		Not used
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		Not used
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	The 115 th line , 4 th paragraph , page 4	
Randomisation		not carried out
Blinding Inclusion/exclusion criteria	The 129-135 th line , 5 th and 6 th paragraph , page 4	not carried out
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		Not used
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		Not used
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	res (malcate where provided. section/paragraph)	Not used
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		Not used
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	The 158 th line, 7 th paragraph, page 5	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		Not used

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		Not
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		used
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	The 199-204 th line, 10 th paragraph, page 6	
tests.		
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		Not
including protocols for access or restriction on		used
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		Not
number in repository or DOI or URL.		used
If publicly available data are reused, provide		Not
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		used
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		Not
		used
If code is publicly available, provide accession		Not
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		used

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article Information: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-20-378</u>