

Development and verification of a hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis signature for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Lian Lian^{1#}, Shi-Bing Teng^{2#}, You-You Xia^{3#}, Xiao-Ming Shen¹, Yan Zheng¹, Shu-Guang Han⁴, Wen-Jie Wang⁵, Xue-Fei Xu⁴, Chong Zhou⁶

¹Department of Oncology, Suzhou Xiangcheng People's Hospital, Suzhou, China; ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Suzhou Xiangcheng People's Hospital, Suzhou, China; ³Department of Radiation Oncology, The Affiliated Lianyungang Hospital of Xuzhou Medical University (The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang), Lianyungang, China; ⁴Department of General Surgery, Suzhou Xiangcheng People's Hospital, Suzhou, China; ⁵Department of Radio-Oncology, The Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou, China ⁶Department of Radiation Oncology, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou, China

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: L Lian, YY Xia, XF Xu, WJ Wang, C Zhou; (II) Administrative support: XF Xu; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: L Lian, SB Teng, XM Shen, Y Zheng, SG Han, YY Xia, C Zhou; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: L Lian, SB Teng, XM Shen, YY Xia, SG Han; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: L Lian, WJ Wang, C Zhou; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

"These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Chong Zhou. Department of Radiation Oncology, Xuzhou Central Hospital, Xuzhou 221009, China.

Email: zhouchongsuda@gmail.com; Wen-Jie Wang. Department of Radio-Oncology, The Affiliated Suzhou Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Suzhou 215001, China. Email: suda_wangwenjie@163.com; Xue-Fei Xu. Department of General Surgery, Suzhou Xiangcheng People's Hospital, Suzhou 215131, China. Email: dr_xuxf@163.com.

Background: Esophageal cancer is one of the most common gastrointestinal malignancies worldwide, with high morbidity and mortality in China. The clinical importance of the interaction between hypoxia and immune status in the tumor microenvironment has been established in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). This study aims to develop a new hypoxia- and immune-based gene signature to predict the survival of ESCC patients.

Methods: The RNA-sequencing and clinical data of 173 cases of ESCC and 271 normal tissues were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database. Hypoxia-related genes (HRGs) and immune-related genes (IRGs) were retrieved from publicly shared data. Differentially expressed gene (DEG) analyses were carried out by the DESeq2 method using the edgeR package in R. Based on the intersection of the DEGs and HRGs/IRGs, differentially expressed HRGs (DEHRGs) and differentially expressed IRGs (DEIRGs) were obtained. DEHRGs and DEIRGs associated with prognosis were evaluated using univariate Cox proportional hazards analysis. A prognostic risk score model was constructed according to the genes acquired through Cox regression. Univariate analysis and Cox proportional hazards analysis were used to determine the independent prognostic factors related to prognosis. A nomogram was developed to predict the 1-, 2-, and 3-year overall survival (OS) probability.

Results: A total of 73 intersecting genes were obtained as DEHRGs and a total of 548 intersecting genes were obtained as DEIRGs. The risk score was established using 8 genes (*FABP7*, *TLR1*, *SYTL1*, *APLN*, *OSM*, *EGFR*, *IL17RD*, *MYH9*) acquired from univariate Cox analysis. Based on this 8-gene-based risk score, a risk prognosis classifier was constructed to classify the samples into high- and low-risk groups according to the median risk score. The nomogram model was constructed to predict the OS of ESCC patients.

Conclusions: The hypoxia- and immune-based gene signature might serve as a prognostic classifier for clinical decision-making regarding individualized management, follow-up plans, and treatment strategies for ESCC patients.

Keywords: Esophageal cancer; microenvironment; hypoxia; immune; prognosis

Submitted Dec 29, 2021. Accepted for publication Mar 08, 2022. doi: 10.21037/jgo-22-69 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-22-69

Introduction

Esophageal cancer is one of the most common gastrointestinal malignancies worldwide, with high morbidity and mortality in China (1). Despite the rapid development of multidisciplinary therapies in recent years, the prognosis of esophageal cancer patients is still unsatisfactory (2). Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) are the predominant histological subtypes of esophageal cancer (3). In contrast to western countries, ESCC accounts for approximately 90% of all esophageal cancers in China, and is characterized by rapid progression and poor prognosis.

Hypoxia promotes ESCC progression and metastasis by accelerating tumor angiogenesis and stimulating tumor glycolysis (4,5). Hypoxic environment is significantly related to the poor prognosis in patients with ESCC (6). In addition, hypoxia plays an important role in promoting tumor immunosuppression and immune escape (7). Immune cells including cytotoxic T cells, natural killer cells (NK cells), regulatory T cells (Tregs), activated macrophages, and dendritic cells form the tumor microenvironment (TME) and are involved in the processes of tumor invasion, metastasis, and anti-tumor immune responses (8,9). There is a direct and indirect interaction between hypoxia and immune status in the TME of ESCC (10,11). Nevertheless, the molecular characteristics and mechanisms have not yet been clarified.

Tan *et al.* (12). revealed that hypoxia is correlated with prognosis and the incidence of immune cell infiltration in patients with esophageal cancer. Our study aimed to develop a prognostic signature composed of hypoxiaand immune-associated genes. Furthermore, we analyzed the correlation between the model and many kinds of infiltrating immune cells. Based on these, we constructed a nomogram model to predict the overall survival (OS) of ESCC patients by integrating the risk score and well-known prognostic factors, with an aim to improve the prognosis of ESCC. We present the following article in accordance with the TRIPOD reporting checklist (available at https://jgo. amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jgo-22-69/rc).

Methods

Patients

The RNA-sequencing and clinical data of 173 cases of ESCC and 271 normal tissue samples were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data portal (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/?dataset=TCGA-ESCA.htseq_counts.tsv&host=https%3A%2F%2Fgdc.xenahubs.net&removeHub=https%3A%2F%2Fxena.treehouse.gi.ucsc.edu%3A443) and the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/?dataset=gtex_RSEM_Hugo_norm_count&host=https%3A%2F%2Ftoil.xenahubs.net&removeHub=http%3A%2F%2Ftoil.xenahubs.net&removeHub=http%3A%2F%2F127.0.0.1%3A7222). Then, we combined the 2 sets of samples and performed batch processing on the training set data for analysis. The study was conducted in accordance the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013).

Hypoxia-related genes (HRGs) and immune-related genes (IRGs)

The HRGs list containing 200 genes was downloaded from https://www.gsea-msigdb.org/gsea/msigdb/cards/ HALLMARK_HYPOXIA (Table S1). The IRGs list consisting of 1793 genes was retrieved from ImmPort Shared Data (https://immport.org/shared/home), which shares basic cancer immunological data. The IRGs list is shown in the additional file, website: https://cdn.amegroups. cn/static/public/jgo-22-69-1.pdf.

Identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs), differentially expressed HRGs (DEHRGs), and differentially expressed IRGs (DEIRGs)

DEG analyses were carried out by the DESeq2 method using the edgeR package in the R statistical environment (http://bioconductor.org/packages/edgeR/) (R Development Core Team, Vienna, Austria). A total of 6299 DEGs, including 2164 up-regulated genes and 4135 downregulated genes, were obtained (with the thresholds of llog2 fold change (FC)1 >2.0 and adjusted P<0.05), and the heat map and volcano plot were generated. Based on the intersection of the DEGs and HRGs, 73 genes were obtained as DEHRGs. Similarly, DEIRGs were obtained by intersecting DEGs with IRGs.

Functional enrichment analysis

According to the mRNA expression of the DEHRGs and DEIRGs, Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis were performed using the R package Cluster Profiler. GO annotation and KEGG pathways were plotted using the GOplot package in R.

Development of a risk score model and validation model

DEHRGs and DEIRGs associated with prognosis were evaluated using univariate Cox proportional hazards analysis. The genes with prognostic significance were selected with P<0.05 as the threshold and the results were illustrated in a forest plot. Then, the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) method (13) was performed for dimensionality reduction filtering using the glmnet package of R. Redundant factors were removed and corresponding genes were selected. In addition, a prognostic risk score model was constructed according to the genes acquired through Cox regression.

$$Risk\ Score(RS) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Coef_i \times X_i)$$
[1]

In this formula, *Coefi* is the risk coefficient of signature genes and *Xi* indicates the identified genes. *Coefi* was obtained from Cox analysis. Based on the individual risk scores, the samples were classified into high-risk and low-risk categories using the median risk score as the cut-off, and survival curves were plotted using Kaplan-Meier (KM) analysis. In order to verify the stability of the model, subgroup survival curves were generated based on the high- and low-risk groups combined with clinical variables [including smoking status, body mass index (BMI), radiotherapy status, TNM stage, and gender].

Analysis of the relationship between the risk score model and immune cell infiltration

The relative ratios of 22 infiltrating immune cell types in the specimens were calculated by using the CIBERSORT software (14). CIBERSORT is a deconvolution algorithm that uses a set of reference gene expression values (547 genes) to predict the proportions of 22 infiltrating immune cell types from sample expression data by using support vector regression. The association between the risk score model and immune cell infiltration was analyzed.

Development and evaluation of the nomogram

Univariate analysis and Cox proportional hazards analysis were used to determine the independent prognostic factors related to prognosis, including the risk score model and clinicopathological characteristics of the patients. The nomograms were developed to predict the 1-, 2-, and 3-year OS probability (15,16). A calibration curve was drawn to determine the divergence between the nomogram's predicted probability and the actual incidence. A calibration curve was drawn to define the discrepancy between the predicted probability of the nomogram and the actual incidence.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using R software v3.5.2. Independent prognostic factors were determined by using a multivariate Cox regression model. Patient survival time was analyzed using KM curves, and the log-rank test was used for statistical analysis. The area under the curve (AUC) of the survival receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was calculated via the survival ROC R software package to validate the performance of the prognostic signature (16). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Identification DEGs, DEIRGs, and DEHRGs

DEG analysis was carried out using the DESeq2 method. A total of 6,299 DEGs were obtained, including 2,164 up-regulated and 4,135 down-regulated genes (based on log2 FC). The heat map and volcano plot were drawn as shown in *Figure 1A,1B*. The DEGs and 200 HRGs were intersected, and 73 intersection genes were obtained as DEIRGs (*Figure 1C*). The DEGs and known IRGs were intersected, and 548 intersection genes were obtained as DEHRGs (*Figure 1D*).



Figure 1 Differentially expressed hypoxia-related genes (DEHRGs) and differentially expressed immune-related genes (DEIRGs) in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Heat map (A) and volcano plot (B) of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in ESCC and normal esophageal tissues. Heat map of DEHRGs (C) and DEIRGs (D) in ESCC and normal esophageal tissues.

Functional enrichment analysis of DEIRGs and DEHRGs

The biological functions of DEIRGs and DEHRGs were investigated using GO annotation and KEGG pathway analyses. Several hypoxia-related GO terms were identified, such as "small molecule metabolic process", "extracellular region", and "transferase activity" (*Figure 2A-2C*). The most significant hypoxia-related KEGG term was "HIF-1 signaling pathway" (*Figure 2D*). Several immune-related GO terms were identified, such as "response to chemical", "extracellular region", and "signaling receptor binding" (*Figure 2E-2G*). The most significant immune-related KEGG term was "cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction" (*Figure 2H*).

Development of the risk score signature and assessment of its predictive ability

To identified hypoxia-and immune-related prognostic DEGs, 73 DEHRGs and 548 DEIRGs were screened using univariate Cox regression analyses. We obtained 3 DEHRGs genes and 8 DEIRGs genes with significantly effects on patient prognosis, respectively. The results of the Cox analysis are illustrated by forest plots (*Figure 3A*). Using the LASSO method, a total of 10 genes were obtained by combining 2 sets of factors. Redundant factors were removed, 8 related factors were retained, and a prognostic risk score model was constructed according to the expression values of the 8 factors (*Figure 3B-3E*). By using



Figure 2 Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed hypoxia-related genes (DEHRGs) and differentially expressed immunerelated genes (DEIRGs). (A-C) Functional enrichment of Gene Ontology (GO) terms of DEHRGs; (D) functional enrichment of Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) terms of DEHRGs; (E-G) functional enrichment of GO terms of DEIRGs; (H) functional enrichment of KEGG terms of DEIRGs.



Figure 3 Hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis signature. (A) Forest plot of hazard ratios for 10 hypoxia- and immune-associated prognostic differentially expressed genes (DEGs). (B) Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) coefficient profiles of the hypoxia- and immune-associated prognostic DEGs. Each curve in the figure represents the changing trajectory of each independent variable coefficient. The Y axis indicates the value of the coefficient. The lower X axis shows log (λ) and the upper X axis shows the number of non-zero coefficients in the model. (C) Three-fold cross-validation of the tuning parameter selection in the LASSO model. The lower X axis indicates log (λ), and the upper X axis indicates the average number of genes associated with prognosis. Partial likelihood deviance values are shown, with error bars representing standard error (SE). The vertical black dotted lines are drawn at the optimal values by minimum criteria and 1 – SE criteria, which provides the best fit; (D) Forest plot of hazard ratios for 8 hypoxia- and immune-associated prognostic DEGs; (E) Distributions of risk score and survival status. The predicted value of event 1 (death) is significantly higher than event 0 (survival).

the Cox regression model, the risk score of each patient was calculated as follows: risk score = $(-0.079 \times \text{expression})$ of FABP7) + $(-0.143 \times \text{expression of } TLR1) + (-0.063 \times \text{C})$ expression of SYTL1 + (0.115 × expression of APLN) + $(0.146 \times \text{expression of } OSM) + (-0.196 \times \text{expression of})$ EGFR) + (-0.023 × expression of IL17RD) + (-0.183 × expression of MYH9). Based on this 8-gene-based risk score, a risk prognosis classifier was constructed to classify the samples into high- and low-risk groups according to the median risk score (Figure 4A). Survival curves of high- and low-risk samples were plotted (Figure 4B), which showed that the survival rate of high-risk samples was significantly lower than that of low-risk samples. The risk curve and signature DEG expression patterns are plotted in Figure 4C. In this model, the AUC was 0.715, suggesting that the model could be used for predicting survival (Figure 4D). Heat map of the expression distribution of 8 genes in the model was shown in Figure 4E.

Risk model stability analysis

To determine model stability, the survival curve was plotted based on known clinical variables (including smoking, BMI, radiotherapy, TMN staging, and sex). The clinicopathological characteristics of patients divided into the low- and high-risk groups are listed in *Table 1*. The risk score model of the 8 clinical variables, such as N0, N1, M0, and male, showed significant intergroup differences (high-and low-risk grouping) in these clinical characteristics, indicating that the predictive efficiency and stability of the model were favorable (*Figure 5*).

Relationship between the risk score model and immune cell infiltration

As the tumors of the high-risk group were proven to be infiltrated with a large number of immune cells, we further analyzed the correlation between risk score and subtypes of infiltrating immune cells. The results indicated that the levels of infiltrating resting dendritic cells and naïve CD4⁺ T cells in the high-risk group were lower than those of the low-risk group (P<0.05, *Figure 6A-6D*). In contrast, the levels of infiltrating activated mast cells, Tregs, and neutrophils in the high-risk group were higher than those of the low-risk group (P<0.05, *Figure 6E-6f*). Consequently, the high-risk group had abundant immunosuppressive cells, resulting in an immunosuppressive TME, which corresponds to poor prognosis.

Nomogram model can predict the OS probability of ESCC

The nomogram model was constructed to predict the OS of ESCC patients at 1, 2, and 3 years by integrating the risk score and well-known prognostic factors, including age, BMI, histological grade, and tumor TNM staging information (*Figure 7A*). The calibration curve approached the ideal curve (black straight line) in the calibration diagram, indicating that the predicted OS probability was compatible with the actual probability (*Figure 7B*).

Discussion

Considering the significant differences between the prognoses of ESCC patients, it is crucial to develop a reliable, convenient, and cost-effective prognostic signature to maximize the benefits of personalized treatment and for guiding prognosis. The present study has important guiding value for determining the prognosis of ESCC patients based on gene information obtained from ESCC samples in this study.

Previous studies have indicated that long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) signatures could be used as prognostic tools for patients with ESCC (17,18). Nevertheless, the application of lncRNAs is limited due to their technical specifications and expensive testing costs. A recent study identified an immune-related risk signature to predict the outcome of ESCC patients (19). However, the heterogeneity of the tumor immune microenvironment and tumor hypoxia were not considered in the research. In this study, the comprehensive mining of hypoxia- and immune-associated genes was used to construct a model to aid in prognosis prediction. We identified a series of HRGs and IRGs associated with prognosis in ESCC patients. Moreover, a hypoxia- and immune-associated signature composed of 8 genes was developed as a prognostic classification tool with promising effectiveness and stability. Finally, the nomogram model was constructed to predict the OS of ESCC patients, demonstrating favorable prediction ability.

Hypoxia is a strong aggravator of ESCC progression. It can accelerate tumor glycolysis, angiogenesis, cell proliferation, metastasis, and radio- and chemo-resistance (5,20). Hypoxia, or hypoxic tension, has become one of the hallmarks of the TME (21). Rapid tumor growth requires a large amount of nutrients and oxygen supply, which triggers tumor angiogenesis. However, tumor angiogenesis is highly abnormal and inefficient. Oxygenation of the perivascular tumor area depends on the diffusion gradient relative to the



Figure 4 Validation of the effectiveness of the hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis prediction model. (A) The high- and low-risk groups according to the median risk score; (B) Kaplan-Meier curves of overall survival (OS) in 172 patients of the training cohort based on risk score; (C) distributions of risk score and survival status; (D) survival-dependent receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve validation of the model for prognosis; (E) heat map of the expression distribution of 8 genes in the prognosis model. Red indicates high expression and blue indicates low expression.

intravascular oxygen partial pressure (PO2), which leads to hypoxia in remote areas (22,23). Studies have confirmed the existence of tumor hypoxia in ESCC, and hypoxia is considered to be a key risk factor of poor prognosis in ESCC patients (23,24).

Furthermore, hypoxia can promote and maintain

 Table 1 The clinicopathologic characteristics of patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Characteristic	Low risk (%)	High risk (%)	P value
Age			0.479
≤65 years	50 (79.4)	10 (66.7)	
>65 years	13 (20.6)	5 (33.3)	
Sex			0.878
Female	9 (14.3)	3 (20.0)	
Male	54 (85.7)	12 (80.0)	
Pathologic M			0.667
M0	57 (90.5)	14 (93.3)	
M1	3 (4.8)	0 (0)	
MX	3 (4.8)	1 (6.7)	
Pathologic N			0.189
NO	35 (55.6)	10 (66.7)	
N1	22 (34.9)	4 (26.7)	
N2	5 (7.9)	0 (0)	
N3	0 (0)	1 (6.7)	
NX	1 (1.6)	0 (0)	
Pathologic T			0.009
T1	7 (11.1)	1 (6.7)	
T2	18 (28.6)	9 (60.0)	
Т3	37 (58.7)	3 (20.0)	
T4	1 (1.6)	2 (13.3)	
Neoplasm histologic grade			0.029
G1	9 (14.3)	6 (40.0)	
G2	35 (55.6)	3 (20.0)	
G3	14 (22.2)	3 (20.0)	
Missing	5 (7.9)	3 (20.0)	
Radiation therapy			0.115
Not reported	13 (20.6)	7 (46.7)	
No	30 (47.6)	5 (33.3)	
Yes	20 (31.7)	3 (20.0)	
BMI exposures			0.403
≤24	45 (71.4)	9 (60.0)	
>24	15 (23.8)	6 (40.0)	
Missing	3 (4.8)	0 (0)	

 Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)			
Characteristic	Low risk (%)	High risk (%)	P value
Cigarettes per day exposures 1.000			
≤1	9 (14.3)	3 (20.0)	
>1	27 (42.9)	7 (46.7)	
Missing	27 (42.9)	5 (33.3)	
Alcohol history exposures			0.392
No	17 (27.0)	2 (13.3)	
Not reported	2 (3.2)	0 (0)	
Yes	44 (69.8)	13 (86.7)	

an immunosuppressive TME (25). Hypoxia mediates immunosuppression mainly by restraining T cell migration into tumor tissue or accelerating T cell apoptosis (26). Besides, hypoxia drives immune evasion through the upregulation of HIF-1 α secretion (27).

In the present study, we found that hypoxia and immune status were correlated with the prognosis of ESCC. The HRGs *MYH9* and *EGFR* were associated with ESCC prognosis, while the IRGs *EABP7*, *TLR1*, *SYTL1*, *APLN*, *OSM*, *EGFR*, and *IL17RD* were associated with ESCC prognosis. Furthermore, a correlation was also observed between hypoxia, immune status, and survival information. Therefore, these HRGs and IRGs deserve further investigation.

The important roles of the characteristic genes identified in this study have been reported in a variety of cancer types including ESCC. Myosin heavy chain 9 (MYH9) deletion inhibits glycolysis, migration, and invasion of gastric cancer cells in the hypoxic TME (28). MHY9 is a novel mutant gene in ESCC. The expression of MYH9 was significantly up-regulated in ESCC, and was associated with lymph node metastasis in ESCC patients. Moreover, down-regulation of MYH9 gene expression can inhibit cell migration, invasion, and gene expression changes related to angiogenesis and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) (29). In addition, as the substrate of protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B), MYH9 can be dephosphorylated by PTP1B, thereby up-regulating the expression of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and enhancing cell migration and invasion in ESCC (30). EGFR is a key molecule in the pathophysiology of ESCC and is highly expressed on the surface of ESCC cells (31). Genetic variants in EGFR are associated with the prognosis of ESCC patients after



Figure 5 Validation of the stability of the hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis prediction model. Kaplan-Meier plot of overall survival by risk groups according to smoking status (A); more than 1 cigarette per day (B); body mass index (BMI) \leq 24 kg/m² (C); patients who received radiotherapy (D); N0 staging (E); N1 staging (F); M0 staging (G); and male gender (H).

В Α Dendritic cells resting Group ⊨ High risk ⊨ Low risk 0.100 R=-0.23, P=0.0024 **** 0.100 Group High risk
Low risk 0.075 0.075 SU 0.050 : 0.050 0.025 0.025 : 0.000 i 0.000 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Dendritic cells resting Risk score С D T cells CD4 naive R=-0.19, P=0.015 Group : High risk Low risk 0.09 0.08 • 0.06 SH 0.04 0.03 0.00 0.00 0.0 0.2 0.4 T cells CD4 naive 0.6 Risk score Е F Mast cells activated R=0.32, P=1.7e-05 Group 0.3 High risk Low risk 0.3 0.2 0.2 NES 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 Mast cells activated Risk score



Figure 6 Relationship between the risk score and immune cell infiltration. (A,B) Resting dendritic cells; (C,D) naive CD4⁺ T cells; (E,F) activated mast cells; (G,H) regulatory T cells; (I,J) neutrophils. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, and ****P<0.0001. NES, normalized enrichment score.

radical resection (32). Oncostatin M (OSM) regulates tumor infiltration of immune cells and is associated with the outcome of cholangiocarcinoma (33). The expression of OSM is up-regulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (34). OSM induces tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) secretion and CD68⁺ macrophage aggregation. In addition, the expression of OSM is correlated with a low OS rate in HCC (34). Apelin (APLN) is abnormally upregulated in HCC and is correlated with poor outcome (35). Inhibition of APLN can potently remodel the TME, reduce angiogenesis, and effectively inhibit tumor growth. Moreover, up-regulation of *APLN* was associated with poor outcome (36). Interleukin-17 receptor D (*IL17RD*), an immune-related gene, is correlated with the outcome of cervical squamous cell carcinoma (37), muscle-invasive bladder cancer (38), and medullary thyroid cancer (39). Synaptotagmin-like 1 (*SYTL1*), also known as *Slp1*, promotes leukemogenesis and facilitates the interaction between leukemic cells and bone marrow stroma (40). The up-regulation of toll-like receptor 1 (*TLR1*) is associated with better outcomes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients (41). *TLR1* is highly expressed in breast



Figure 7 Development and evaluation of the nomogram for predicting overall survival. (A) Nomogram based on the risk score and clinicopathological characteristics to predict the 1-, 2-, and 3-year overall survival probability. Calibration of the nomogram according to the consistency between the predicted and the actual results. (B) The nomogram depicts curves relative to the black line, suggesting perfect prediction.

cancer and may serve as a biomarker of its pathogenesis and progression (42). A high level of fatty acid binding protein 7 (*FABP7*) is correlated with poor prognosis in several types of malignant tumors (43). A recent study demonstrated that *FABP7* was a favorable biomarker for predicting better response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) in breast

cancer patients (44).

Immune cell infiltration is a key factor affecting tumor progression and prognosis. In recent years, a series of researchers have reported that immune cell infiltration could provide promising signatures for the prognostic prediction of colon cancer (45) and lung adenocarcinoma (46). In this study, we found higher infiltration of Tregs, activated mast cells, and neutrophils in the high-risk group based on our model. Zhang *et al.* have also reported higher infiltration of Tregs in high-risk patients, which was consistent with our results (19). These findings suggest that high-risk patients are more likely to present with negative immunomodulatory infiltrating cells. Meanwhile, our data contributes to the understanding of immune status of different risk groups, which will be helpful for clinical practice.

However, there were several limitations in our research. First, we included approximately 200 HRGs and 1,793 IRGs in the present study, which might not be sufficient for a comprehensive analysis. Second, tumor hypoxia and immunosuppressive TME were considered to be highly heterogeneous. Considering the characteristics of the tumor, the composition varies according to the location and time of tumor progression, and the predictive ability of our 8-gene hypoxia- and immune-associated signature might vary according to different regions of tumor tissue. Lastly, this research lacked a large cohort and longer follow-up for further validation. Thus, the hypoxia- and immuneassociated signature constructed in this study requires further validation by more prospective, multicenter studies.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the present study identified a series of hypoxia- and immune-related genes associated with the prognosis of ESCC patients. Furthermore, we established a practicable and reproducible hypoxia- and immuneassociated risk signature for ESCC and revealed new information related to the hypoxia and immune status of ESCC. Ultimately, a nomogram model was constructed to predict the OS of ESCC which demonstrated favorable prediction ability. We believe that our model may contribute to individualized management, follow-up plans, and treatment strategies for ESCC patients.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by The Science

and Technology Project Foundation of Suzhou (Nos. SS201852, SS202093, and SYSD2020061); the Science and Education for Health Foundation of Suzhou for Youth (No. KJXW2019074); and the Youth Medical Science and Technology Innovation Project of Xuzhou Health Committee (No. XWKYHT20200024).

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the TRIPOD reporting checklist. Available at https://jgo.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jgo-22-69/rc

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://jgo.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jgo-22-69/coif). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). All data in this article is obtained from the public database.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:394-424.
- Baba Y, Yoshida N, Kinoshita K, et al. Clinical and Prognostic Features of Patients With Esophageal Cancer and Multiple Primary Cancers: A Retrospective Singleinstitution Study. Ann Surg 2018;267:478-83.
- Rustgi AK, El-Serag HB. Esophageal carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2014;371:2499-509.

Lian et al. Hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis signature for ESCC

- Mao Y, Wang Y, Dong L, et al. Hypoxic exosomes facilitate angiogenesis and metastasis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma through altering the phenotype and transcriptome of endothelial cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2019;38:389.
- Zhang Q, Zhang J, Fu Z, et al. Hypoxia-induced microRNA-10b-3p promotes esophageal squamous cell carcinoma growth and metastasis by targeting TSGA10. Aging (Albany NY) 2019;11:10374-84.
- Shao N, Han Y, Song L, et al. Clinical significance of hypoxia-inducible factor 1α, and its correlation with p53 and vascular endothelial growth factor expression in resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Cancer Res Ther 2020;16:269-75.
- Lin W, Wu S, Chen X, et al. Characterization of Hypoxia Signature to Evaluate the Tumor Immune Microenvironment and Predict Prognosis in Glioma Groups. Front Oncol 2020;10:796.
- Baba Y, Nomoto D, Okadome K, et al. Tumor immune microenvironment and immune checkpoint inhibitors in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci 2020;111:3132-41.
- Zheng Y, Chen Z, Han Y, et al. Immune suppressive landscape in the human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma microenvironment. Nat Commun 2020;11:6268.
- Maghsudlu M, Farashahi Yazd E. Heat-induced inflammation and its role in esophageal cancer. J Dig Dis 2017;18:431-44.
- Chang WH, Lai AG. The pan-cancer mutational landscape of the PPAR pathway reveals universal patterns of dysregulated metabolism and interactions with tumor immunity and hypoxia. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2019;1448:65-82.
- Tan L, Cheng D, Wen J, et al. Identification of prognostic hypoxia-related genes signature on the tumor microenvironment in esophageal cancer. Math Biosci Eng 2021;18:7743-58.
- 13. Tibshirani R. The lasso method for variable selection in the Cox model. Stat Med 1997;16:385-95.
- Newman AM, Liu CL, Green MR, et al. Robust enumeration of cell subsets from tissue expression profiles. Nat Methods 2015;12:453-7.
- Iasonos A, Schrag D, Raj GV, et al. How to build and interpret a nomogram for cancer prognosis. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:1364-70.
- Heagerty PJ, Lumley T, Pepe MS. Time-dependent ROC curves for censored survival data and a diagnostic marker. Biometrics 2000;56:337-44.

- Li W, Liu J, Zhao H. Identification of a nomogram based on long non-coding RNA to improve prognosis prediction of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Aging (Albany NY) 2020;12:1512-26.
- Zhang L, Li P, Liu E, et al. Prognostic value of a fivelncRNA signature in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Cell Int 2020;20:386.
- Zhang C, Luo Y, Zhang Z, et al. Identification of a Prognostic Immune Signature for Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma to Predict Survival and Inflammatory Landscapes. Front Cell Dev Biol 2020;8:580005.
- 20. Zhang H, Si J, Yue J, et al. The mechanisms and reversal strategies of tumor radioresistance in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2021;147:1275-86.
- Buckley AM, Lynam-Lennon N, O'Neill H, et al. Targeting hallmarks of cancer to enhance radiosensitivity in gastrointestinal cancers. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;17:298-313.
- 22. Horsman MR, Mortensen LS, Petersen JB, et al. Imaging hypoxia to improve radiotherapy outcome. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2012;9:674-87.
- Peerlings J, Van De Voorde L, Mitea C, et al. Hypoxia and hypoxia response-associated molecular markers in esophageal cancer: A systematic review. Methods 2017;130:51-62.
- 24. Jing X, Yang F, Shao C, et al. Role of hypoxia in cancer therapy by regulating the tumor microenvironment. Mol Cancer 2019;18:157.
- Chang CH, Qiu J, O'Sullivan D, et al. Metabolic Competition in the Tumor Microenvironment Is a Driver of Cancer Progression. Cell 2015;162:1229-41.
- 26. Joyce JA, Fearon DT. T cell exclusion, immune privilege, and the tumor microenvironment. Science 2015;348:74-80.
- 27. Vito A, El-Sayes N, Mossman K. Hypoxia-Driven Immune Escape in the Tumor Microenvironment. Cells 2020;9:992.
- 28. Fang X, Bai Y, Zhang L, et al. Silencing circSLAMF6 represses cell glycolysis, migration, and invasion by regulating the miR-204-5p/MYH9 axis in gastric cancer under hypoxia. Biosci Rep 2020;40:BSR20201275.
- Yang B, Liu H, Bi Y, et al. MYH9 promotes cell metastasis via inducing Angiogenesis and Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Int J Med Sci 2020;17:2013-23.
- Pan BQ, Xie ZH, Hao JJ, et al. PTP1B up-regulates EGFR expression by dephosphorylating MYH9 at Y1408 to promote cell migration and invasion in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2020;522:53-60.

476

- Kikuchi O, Ohashi S, Horibe T, et al. Novel EGFRtargeted strategy with hybrid peptide against oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Sci Rep 2016;6:22452.
- 32. Wang YL, Yuan Y, Luo XX, et al. Genetic Variants in EGFR/PLCE1 Pathway Are Associated with Prognosis of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma after Radical Resection. Curr Med Sci 2019;39:385-90.
- Liu Q, Lan T, Song Y, et al. Oncostatin M expression and TP53 mutation status regulate tumor-infiltration of immune cells and survival outcomes in cholangiocarcinoma. Aging (Albany NY) 2020;12:21518-43.
- Yang X, Shao C, Duan L, et al. Oncostatin M promotes hepatic progenitor cell activation and hepatocarcinogenesis via macrophage-derived tumor necrosis factor-α. Cancer Lett 2021;517:46-54.
- Chen H, Wong CC, Liu D, et al. APLN promotes hepatocellular carcinoma through activating PI3K/ Akt pathway and is a druggable target. Theranostics 2019;9:5246-60.
- Uribesalgo I, Hoffmann D, Zhang Y, et al. Apelin inhibition prevents resistance and metastasis associated with anti-angiogenic therapy. EMBO Mol Med 2019;11:e9266.
- Wang Q, Vattai A, Vilsmaier T, et al. Immunogenomic Identification for Predicting the Prognosis of Cervical Cancer Patients. Int J Mol Sci 2021;22:2442.
- Jin K, Qiu S, Jin D, et al. Development of prognostic signature based on immune-related genes in muscleinvasive bladder cancer: bioinformatics analysis of TCGA database. Aging (Albany NY) 2021;13:1859-71.

Cite this article as: Lian L, Teng SB, Xia YY, Shen XM, Zheng Y, Han SG, Wang WJ, Xu XF, Zhou C. Development and verification of a hypoxia- and immune-associated prognosis signature for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Gastrointest Oncol 2022;13(2):462-477. doi: 10.21037/jgo-22-69

- Chang YS, Chang CC, Huang HY, et al. Detection of Molecular Alterations in Taiwanese Patients with Medullary Thyroid Cancer Using Whole-Exome Sequencing. Endocr Pathol 2018;29:324-31.
- Yokoyama T, Nakatake M, Kuwata T, et al. MEIS1mediated transactivation of synaptotagmin-like 1 promotes CXCL12/CXCR4 signaling and leukemogenesis. J Clin Invest 2016;126:1664-78.
- 41. Lanki M, Seppänen H, Mustonen H, et al. Toll-like receptor 1 predicts favorable prognosis in pancreatic cancer. PLoS One 2019;14:e0219245.
- Shi S, Xu C, Fang X, et al. Expression profile of Toll-like receptors in human breast cancer. Mol Med Rep 2020;21:786-94.
- 43. Kagawa Y, Umaru BA, Ariful I, et al. Role of FABP7 in tumor cell signaling. Adv Biol Regul 2019;71:206-18.
- 44. Xie Q, Xiao YS, Jia SC, et al. FABP7 is a potential biomarker to predict response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy for breast cancer. Cancer Cell Int 2020;20:562.
- 45. Zhou R, Zhang J, Zeng D, et al. Immune cell infiltration as a biomarker for the diagnosis and prognosis of stage I-III colon cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother 2019;68:433-42.
- 46. Zuo S, Wei M, Wang S, et al. Pan-Cancer Analysis of Immune Cell Infiltration Identifies a Prognostic Immune-Cell Characteristic Score (ICCS) in Lung Adenocarcinoma. Front Immunol 2020;11:1218.

(English Language Editor: C. Betlazar-Maseh)

Supplementary

PGK1 PPFIA4 PDK1 MAFF GBE1 DDT74 GBE1 DDT74 GBE1 DDT74 GBE1 SLC2A3 ALDOA IGFBP3 ENQ2 NFIL3 PGM1 FOS DDRG1 MK1 ALDOC OTED2 GP MK1 ALDOC OTED2 GP1 MSG0 MX11 GALK1 SLC2A1 GALK1 ADM STC1 PMA2 ZMF292 EN01 BTG1 PKP JMDE AK4 CSRP2 FMB2A JMDE SIP3L FS BNP3L FS GRIA JMDE CMG2 GALA GMA3A GG2 GMA4 MSE GMSA GRP2 FMB2A JMDE GMEA GRP2 GMSA GRP2	Table S1 Hypoxia-related genes list	Table S1 (continued)
PDK1 MAFF GBET DDT4 GBET DDT4 ALDOA SC2A3 ALDOA SGPB9 PMI SGRD PGM1 POS NDRGT BRJ ALDOC OTED2 GPI SGRD ALDOC OTED2 GPI SGRD ALDOC OTED2 GPI SGRD ALMT SGRD ALMT SGRD ALMA SGRD SGRD SGRD SGRD SGRD	PGK1	PPFIA4
GBE1 DDT4 PFKL SLC2A3 ALDOA IGFBP3 RN2 NFL3 POM1 FOS POM1 RSP NDRG1 RBPJ HK2 KK1 ALDOC CIFED2 GPI GALK1 SLC2A1 VSB1 ALMA PYGM ALMA SVE2A1 ALMA SVE3A2 PM42 VSB1 ALMA SVE3A2 PMA2 SVE3A2	PDK1	MAFF
FrkL SLC2A3 ALDOA IGFBP3 ENO2 NFL3 FM1 FOS NDRG1 RBJ NDRG1 RBJ ALDOC REP ALDOC GTED2 GPI JSG20 MXI1 GALKT SLC2A1 WSB1 PHA1 PYGM ADM STC1 ADM STC1 PHA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PKP ULN2 FMT62A VLSP2 FMT62A STC1 FMT62A GRP2 FMT62A MJDE	GBE1	DDIT4
ALDOA IGFBP3 ENO2 NFL3 PGM1 FOS PGM1 FOS NDRG1 BKJ ALDOC CTD2 GPI SG20 MX1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 ALMA PYMA ADM STC1 PHA1 PYGM ADM STC1 PHA2 ZNF292 ENO1 SG70 AK4 SUR204 FMI52A JUN2 FM152A JUN2 FM162A JUN2 FM162A JUN2 FM162A JUN2 FM162A JUN2 FM162A JUN2 FM162A JUN2	PFKL	SLC2A3
ENO2 NFL3 PGM1 FOS NDRG1 BPJ HK2 HK1 ALDOC CITED2 GP1 GSQ0 MM1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 P4HA2 UNPSQ0 FNP PILN2 FNP PLIN2 FNM162A VLDLR FKFP PLIN2 FMM62A VLDLR FKFB3 JMJDG FM162A VLDLR FKFB3 JMJDG FM162A VLDLR FKFB3 JMJDG FM162A VLDLR FKFB3 JMJDG GNG1 STC2 FMM3A UGP2 CNG2 ALDO8 LDHA STC2 GYS1 MCIA GAPDH HMCIA JUN GAPUHS JUN GAPUHS GAPDINS GAPUHS <td>ALDOA</td> <td>IGFBP3</td>	ALDOA	IGFBP3
PGM1 POS NDRG1 RBPJ NDRG1 RBPJ ALDOC OTED2 GPI SG20 MXI1 GGU SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNP292 EN01 BTG1 PKP PLN2 AK4 CSP2 FM162A VLDR PKFB3 JMJD6 SNP31 STC1 PKFB3 JMJD6 SNP2 STT SNP32 STT SNP31 JMJD6 STT STT SNP32 JMJD6 STT STT SNP31 JMJD6 STT STT SNP32 JMJD6 STT STT SNP31 JUP STT STT STT STT STT STT STT STT STT </td <td>ENO2</td> <td>NFIL3</td>	ENO2	NFIL3
NDRG1 RBPJ HK2 HK1 ALDCC CITED2 GPI SG20 MM1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 PHA1 YGM ADM STC1 PHA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PKFP PLN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A JMJ06 VEGFA ST1 BNP3L ST3 PKFB3 JMJ06 VEGFA ST1 BNP3L F3 PKI2 JMJ06 VEGFA ST1 BNP3L F3 CN3A UGP2 CCN62 ALDOB CN3A UGP2 CVG2A ST2 GAPDH SN31 JUN F3 GAPDH F3 GAPDH F3 JUN F3 GAPDH F3 JUN F3 GAPDHS </td <td>PGM1</td> <td>FOS</td>	PGM1	FOS
HK1 ALDOC OTED2 GPI ISG20 MX11 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM PYGM2 P4HA2 STC1 P4HA2 BTG1 PKP PLN2 FAM162A VLDLR PKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP31 F3 PTI1 PDK3 KKM3A UGD8 LDHA STC2 GYS1 EXT1 BNIP31 F3 PTI1 DDG8 KDM3A UGP2 CVNG2 LDDB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ENG1 JUN PGF SHPIH4 HMOX1 JUN PGF GAPDH FARP1 GAPDH3 GAPDH3 JUN PGF SHPIN21 GAPDHS SHIH400 PARC1 S	NDRG1	RBPJ
ALDOC CITED2 GPI ISG20 MX1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP BIG1 PFKP SRP2 AM162A VLDLR PFKPBS JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNP31 F3 TP11 PDK3 RO1A UGP2 CONG2 JLDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 JUN GAPDH JUN GAPDHS SERPINE1 SERPINE1 SERPINE1 SERPINE1	HK2	НК1
GPI ISS20 MX1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 AK4 CSRP2 PKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA BX14 BNP3L F3 FP11 PK783 CN02 ALD0B LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRF11 GAPDH ENG3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 JUN GAPDH SERPINE1 GAPDHS LDK GAPDHS LDK GAPDHS LDK FSF LDK FSF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LDK GAPLHS LDK GAPLHS LDK GAPLHS LDK GAPLHS	ALDOC	CITED2
MXI1 GALK1 SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR VEGFA JMJD6 BNIP3L F3 TPI1 PKK8 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRF11 GAPDH FNG3 BHLH20 PNRC1 JUN PGF STC2 GYS1 GAPDH ENG3 BHLH20 PNRC1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS LDX PGF SERPINE1 GAPSHS LDX GAPSHS SERPINE1 FNEMSA LDX GXS SERPINE2	GPI	ISG20
SLC2A1 WSB1 P4HA1 PYGM ADM STC1 ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ENRF11 BHLH440 PNC1 ANGPTL4 PMC1 JUN GAPDH SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX GAPDHS	MXI1	GALK1
P4HA1 PVGM ADM STC1 ADM STC1 P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 ERO1A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GAPDH EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 PNRC1 JUN GAPDHS SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TABLE S1 (continued)	SLC2A1	WSB1
ADM STG1 P4HA2 ZNF292 EN01 BTG1 PFKP BTG1 PFKP PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CNS2 LST FANG2 SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TABES1 (continued)	P4HA1	PYGM
P4HA2 ZNF292 ENO1 BTG1 PFKP PLN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 ER01A AKZE1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRF11 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CKST2 GCK TMEM5A	ADM	STC1
ENO1 BTG1 PFKP BTG1 AK4 PLN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP1 PDK3 ERO1A JMJD6 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TABL \$1 (continued)	P4HA2	ZNE292
PFKP During AK4 PLIN2 AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VEDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TABLE S1 (continued)	ENO1	BTG1
AK4 CSRP2 FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TP11 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERN01 GAPDH EN03 BHLHE40 NNC1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A	PFKP	PLIN2
FAM162A VLDLR PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TPI1 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERNG1 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A	AK4	CISBP2
PFKFB3 JMJD6 VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TPI1 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRF11 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM5A	FAM162A	VIDIB
VEGFA EXT1 BNIP3L F3 TPI1 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERNF11 GAPDH EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A	PFKFB3	JMJD6
BNIP3L F3 TPI1 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRFI1 GAPDH EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A	VEGFA	EXT1
TPI1 PDK3 ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERNF11 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 NRC1 ANGPTL4 PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A	BNIP3L	E3
ER01A ANKZF1 KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRFI1 GAPDH EN03 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	TPI1	PDK3
KDM3A UGP2 CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRFI1 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	ER01A	ANKZE1
CCNG2 ALDOB LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRFI1 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	KDM3A	ligP2
LDHA STC2 GYS1 ERRFI1 GAPDH ENO3 BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	CCNG2	AL DOB
GYS1ERRF11GAPDHENO3BHLHE40PNRC1ANGPTL4HMOX1JUNPGFSERPINE1GAPDHSLOXCHST2GCKTMEM45ATable S1 (continued)Table S1 (continued)	LDHA	SIC2
GAPDHEN03BHLHE40PNRC1ANGPTL4HMOX1JUNPGFSERPINE1GAPDHSLOXCHST2GCKTMEM45ATable S1 (continued)	GYS1	ERRE/1
BHLHE40 PNRC1 ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	GAPDH	ENQ3
ANGPTL4 HMOX1 JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TAble S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	BHLHE40	PNRC1
JUN PGF SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	ANGPTL4	
SERPINE1 GAPDHS LOX CHST2 GCK TMEM45A Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	JUN	PGF
LOX CHST2 GCK The S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	SERPINE1	GAPDHS
GCK Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	LOX	CHST2
Table S1 (continued) Table S1 (continued)	GCK	TMEM45A
A COAR DA COMPENSION (Table S1 (continued)	Table S1 (continued)

Table S1 (continued)	Table S1 (continued)
BCAN	NR3C1
ATF3	KLF6
CAV1	GPC4
AMPD3	CCN1
GPC3	TNFAIP3
NDST1	CA12
IRS2	HEXA
SAP30	BGN
GAA	PPP1R15A
SDC4	PGM2
STBD1	PIM1
IER3	PRDX5
PKLR	NAGK
IGFBP1	CDKN1B
PLAUR	BRS3
CAVIN3	TKTL1
CCN5	MT1E
LARGE1	ΑΤΡ7Α
NOCT	MT2A
S100A4	SDC3
RRAGD	TIPARP
ZFP36	PKP1
EGFR	ANXA2
EDN2	PGAM2
IDS	DDIT3
CDKN1A	PRKCA
RORA	SLC37A4
DUSP1	CXCR4
MIF	EFNA3
PPP1R3C	CP
DPYSL4	KLF7
KDELR3	CCN2
DTNA	CHST3
ADORA2B	TPD52
HS3ST1	LXN
CAVIN1	B4GALNT2

 Table S1 (continued)

 Table S1 (continued)

Table S1 (continued)	Table S1 (continued)
PPARGC1A	XPNPEP1
BCL2	IL6
GCNT2	SLC6A6
HAS1	MAP3K1
KLHL24	LDHC
SCARB1	AKAP12
SLC25A1	TES
SDC2	KIF5A
CASP6	LALBA
VHL	COL5A1
FOXO3	GPC1
PDGFB	HDLBP
B3GALT6	ILVBL
SLC2A5	NCAN
SRPX	TGM2
EFNA1	ETS1
GLRX	HOXB9
ACKR3	SELENBP1
PAM	FOSL2
TGFBI	SULT2B1
DCN	TGFB3
SIAH2	
PLAC8	
FBP1	
TPST2	
PHKG1	
МҮН9	
CDKN1C	
GRHPR	
PCK1	
INHA	
HSPA5	
NDST2	
NEDD4L	
TPBG	

Table S1 (continued)