STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of ***cohort studies***

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(*a*) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Line3-4/Page1
		(*b*) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Line1-22/Page3
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Line1-22/Page5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Line6-13/Page6
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Line19-22/Page6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Line19-22/Page6
Participants	6	(*a*) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	Line2-10/Page7
		(*b*) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	Line6-7/Page8
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Line16-22/Page7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Line17-21/Page7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Not Applicable
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Not Applicable
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Not Applicable
Statistical methods	12	(*a*) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Line6-13/Page8
		(*b*) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Not Applicable
		(*c*) Explain how missing data were addressed	Not Applicable
		(*d*) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Not Applicable
		(*e*) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Not Applicable
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Line16-22/Page8
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Not Applicable
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Not Applicable
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Line3-14/Page9
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Not Applicable

		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Line22/Page8
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Line1-2/Page9

Main results	16	(*a*) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (*b*) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (*c*) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Line16/Page9-Line21/Page10 Not Applicable Not Applicable
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Line1/Page11-Line6/Page12
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Line8-16/Page13
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Line7/Page16-Line5/Page17
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Line9/Page12-Line7/Page13
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Line7-14/Page17
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Line 2/ Page18

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.

Article Information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jgo-22-166
*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.