Does race/ethnicity influence the impact of new glucose-lowering agents on cardiovascular outcomes? a comparison between Asian versus White patients

André J. Scheen^{1,2}

¹Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, Department of Medicine, CHU Liège, Liège, Belgium; ²Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Center for Interdisciplinary Research on Medicines (CIRM), Liège University, Liège, Belgium

Correspondence to: André J. Scheen. Division of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Disorders, CHU Liège, B-4000 Liège, Belgium. Email: andre.scheen@chuliege.be.

Comment on: Lee MMY, Ghouri N, McGuire DK, *et al.* Meta-analyses of Results From Randomized Outcome Trials Comparing Cardiovascular Effects of SGLT2is and GLP-1RAs in Asian Versus White Patients With and Without Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2021;44:1236-41.

Received: 10 November 2021; Accepted: 20 November 2021. doi: 10.21037/prpm-21-22 View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/prpm-21-22

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) represents a major burden in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM), with at least a twofold increase in myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke, and a major increase in heart failure (HF) as well, leading to reduced quality of life, recurrent hospitalisations and premature death. Asian patients with T2DM are also at increased risk of CVD (1). Two classes of glucoselowering agents have proven their efficacy in reducing major cardiovascular adverse events [MACEs; nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, cardiovascular (CV) mortality]: sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitors (SGLT2is) and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs) (2). Several reports compared the efficacy of the two pharmacological approaches and emphasized the existence of some crucial differences between these two drug families (3-6). Both classes now occupy a preferred place in the algorithm for the management of T2DM, especially in patients at high or very high CV risk (7). In those people with atherosclerotic CVD, international guidelines propose to use either an SGLT2i or a GLP-1RA whereas in patients with HF and/or chronic kidney disease the preference is given to SGLT2is owing to the overwhelming evidence issued from several placebocontrolled prospective cardiorenal outcome trials (7,8). Because many cardiovascular outcome trials (CVOTs) had a representation of South Asian cohorts, a similar consensus

statement has been proposed by the South Asian Health Foundation (9) and also by a panel from the Asian Pacific Society of Cardiology (10).

In the last decade, emphasis has been put on a personalized approach for guiding pharmacotherapy in patients with T2DM. In absence of clear-cut evidence issued from genotyping studies so far (11), a phenotypic strategy is mostly recommended (12). Besides demographic characteristics (e.g., age, body mass index), biological parameters (e.g., glycated haemoglobin, glomerular filtration rate), drug safety profiles (e.g., risk of hypoglycaemia, gastrointestinal tolerance), the presence of comorbidities such as atherosclerotic CVD, HF or chronic kidney disease currently plays a major role in the selection of glucose-lowering agents in people with T2DM with the key objective to improve the overall prognosis of at risk patients (7,9,10).

The prevalence of T2DM in the Asian population is rapidly increasing and becomes a major burden in health care system (1). East Asian patients present specificities when analysing the characteristics of T2DM, for instance regarding the respective role of decreased insulin secretion and increased insulin resistance, which may influence the metabolic efficacy of the different glucose-lowering agents (13). Nevertheless, the race influence (Asian versus non-Asian) on the overall metabolic responses, especially the reduction in glycated haemoglobin, appeared rather limited for both SGLT2is (14,15) and GLP-1RAs (16). Asian

References	Trials	Patients	HR Asians	HR Whites	P for interaction
MACEs					
Singh <i>et al.</i> 2020 (19)	3 trials	4,987 Asians	0.88 (0.67–1.15)	NA	NA
Lee et al. 2021 (20)	4 trials (subgroup comparison)	3,298 Asians versus 20,258 Whites	0.81 (0.57–1.04)	0.90 (0.80–1.00)	0.46
CV death/hHF					
Singh <i>et al.</i> 2020 (19)	3 trials	4,987 Asians	0.86 (0.55–1.36)	NA	NA
Lee et al. 2021 (20)	2 trials (subgroup comparison)	1,788 Asians versus 5,962 Whites	0.60 (0.47–0.74)	0.82 (0.73–0.92)	0.01
Anker <i>et al.</i> 2021 (21)	1 trial (subgroup comparison)	824 Asians versus 4,542 Whites	0.65 (0.46–0.92)	0.81 (0.69–0.94)	0.33

Table 1 Effects of SGLT2is on M	IACEs and CV death/hHF	in Asian versus White patients
---------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------------

Results are expressed as HR with 95% CI. SGLT2is, sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitors; MACEs, major cardiovascular adverse events; CV, cardiovascular; hHF, hospitalisation for heart failure; HR, hazard ratio; NA, not available; CI, confidence interval.

patients with T2DM have also a different risk profile when considering the classical CV risk factors when compared to White people (17). Both SGLT2is and several GLP-1RAs were associated with reduced CV risk in Asian T2D patients (18). However, the impact of race/ethnicity on the efficacy of glucose-lowering agents such as SGLT2is and GLP-1RAs on CV complications has not been extensively studied so far. Some preliminary results of CVOTs suggested Asians may derive greater benefit than Whites from newer classes of antihyperglycaemic medications, especially GLP-1RAs (19).

In this invited editorial commentary, we will discuss a recent report of meta-analyses of CVOTs that compared CV effects of SGLT2is and GLP-1RAs in Asian versus White patients with and without T2DM (20). We will compare these results with what was already known regarding this topic. Ethnic heterogeneity of the Asian population with T2DM and the need for dedicated pharmacogenetic/pharmacogenomic studies will be emphasized. Such approaches together with careful race/ ethnic interpretation of CVOT results may impact future therapeutic recommendations regarding the use of new glucose-lowering agents in the management of patients with T2DM at risk for CVD and HF.

Highlights of meta-analyses by Lee et al. (20)

A systematic review was performed of randomized placebocontrolled CVOTs of SGLT2is and GLP-1RAs that reported hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) for MACEs in patients with T2DM and for CV death/ hospitalization for heart failure (hHF) in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) (patients with or without T2DM) stratified by race (Asian versus White) (20). In four CVOTs comparing SGLT2is with placebo in patients with T2DM, the MACE outcome HR values were almost similar among Asians and Whites (P interaction =0.46) (Table 1). In contrast, in six CVOTs that compared GLP-1RAs with a placebo, the HR for MACE was lower in Asians (HR =0.68; 95% CI: 0.53-0.84) than in Whites (HR =0.87; 95% CI: 0.81-0.94) (P interaction =0.03) (Table 2). This means that GLP-1RAs are associated with a \approx 2.5-fold larger relative risk reduction (32% versus 13%) for the MACE endpoint in Asians compared to Whites. In two SGLT2i trials in patients with HF and reduced LVEF, the CV death/hHF outcome HR was significantly lower in Asians (HR =0.60; 95% CI: 0.47-0.74) compared to Whites (HR =0.82; 95% CI: 0.73-0.92) (P interaction =0.01) (Table 1).

The conclusion was that compared with Whites, Asians may derive greater CV death/hHF benefit from SGLT2is in patients with HF and reduced LVEF. Regarding MACEs, Asian patients with T2DM may exhibit a greater benefit from GLP-1RAs in comparison to White patients, but no significant between-race difference could be detected for SGLT2is (20). The authors proposed different possible explanations for these race-based differences but all are speculative, in absence of more detailed information about baseline patient risk profile, adherence to therapy, use of comedications, ...

Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine, 2021

References	Trials	Patients	HR Asians	HR Whites	P for interaction
MACEs					
Singh <i>et al.</i> 2020 (19)	7 trials	4,298 Asians	0.71 (0.59–0.86)	NA	NA
Kang <i>et al.</i> 2019 (22)	3 trials (subgroup comparison)	2,625 Asians versus 21,149 Whites	0.35 (0.09–1.32)	0.92 (0.84–1.01)	<0.001
Lee et al. 2021 (20)	6 trials (subgroup comparison)	4,195 Asians versus 37,530 Whites	0.68 (0.53–0.84)	0.87 (0.81–0.94)	0.03

Table 2 Effects of GLP-1RAs on MACEs in Asian versus White patients

Results are expressed as HR with 95% CI. GLP-1RAs, glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist; MACEs, major cardiovascular adverse events; HR, hazard ratio; NA, not available; CI, confidence interval.

The authors recognized some limitations of their analyses inherent to the available reported data and CVOT designs, lack of individual patient-level data, post-hoc subgroup analyses and relatively short duration of trial observation (20). Furthermore, the numbers of Asian patients were much lower compared to Whites in all CVOTs with SGLT2is or GLP-1RAs and only two CVOTs could be taken into account for patients with HF treated with SGLT2is. Finally, the interaction between Asian and White patients regarding the positive impact of GLP-1RAs on MACEs was only borderline significant. Thus, these results should be viewed as mainly exploratory rather than evidence-based.

CV risk in Asian patients with T2DM

CVD becomes a huge burden among Asian patients with T2DM. In a large observational study in a total of more than 1 million Asian individuals from different countries, patients with T2DM had a 1.89-fold risk of all-cause death compared with patients without diabetes (HR =1.89; 95% CI: 1.74-2.04), with a higher risk of coronary heart disease (HR =2.57; 95% CI: 2.19-3.02), and ischaemic stroke (HR =2.15; 95% CI: 1.85-2.51) (1). Comparisons with White patients led to contrasting results. South Asian people are two to four times more likely to develop T2DM than White individuals, but conversely do not experience more CV complications (23). Nevertheless, South Asian people, who represent a large, growing population in many European countries, have been shown to be at higher risk of developing not only T2DM, but also other risk factors such as atherogenic dyslipidaemia, leading to higher prevalence of coronary heart disease and stroke than in other ethnic groups living in Europe (17).

CV outcomes with new glucose-lowering agents

Evidence assessing therapeutic efficacy of new glucose lowering drugs in minority groups is limited and many CVOTs recruited rather few Asian patients or did not report specific ethnic data (20).

Preliminary data from meta-analyses of phase 2-3 RCTs

A meta-analysis of the events in the pooled analysis of phase 3 trials with SGLT2is (23,334 patients with T2DM) revealed that the reduction in the incidence of MACEs is statistically not significant (HR =0.83; 95% CI: 0.66-1.03; P=0.10), contrasting with a 64% statistically significant reduction in hHF (HR =0.36; 95% CI: 0.18-0.69; P<0.01) (24). This report did not separate Asian versus non-Asian patients. In a meta-analysis of a total of 17 trials with Asian patients and 39 trials with non-Asian patients, no disparity was found in the risk of all-cause mortality associated with SGLT2is treatment between Asian and non-Asian patients (25). No specific data were available regarding the incidence of MACEs or hHF in this report. Furthermore, these trials did not recruited patients at high CV risk and were generally of short duration (<1-2 years), so that the numbers of CV events were rather low and thereby these early studies were most probably underpowered to draw any definite conclusion. No similar comparative data were available for GLP-1RAs.

Data from meta-analyses of CVOTs

In contrast to phase 2–3 RCTs, CVOTs recruited a high number of patients at high CV risk and most of them were CV event-driven. According to a recent network meta-

Page 4 of 7

analysis of placebo-controlled CVOTs, GLP-1RAs (and not SGLT2is) are the only drug class that reduces the risk of ischaemic stroke, a key component of 3-points MACEs (5). The meta-analyses of six CVOTs with GLP-1RAs reported by Lee et al. (20) suggested that Asian patients with T2DM may exhibit a greater reduction in MACEs with GLP-1RAs compared to White patients. No detailed data were presented regarding the individual components of 3-points MACEs. These findings confirm previous results. In a first meta-analysis of three CVOTs with GLP-1RAs (LEADER, SUSTAIN-6 and EXSCEL), overall, statistically significant risk reductions in MACEs and CV death were observed compared to the placebo groups. Of note, a significant racial difference with respect to CV benefit was noticed in a posthoc subgroup analysis (P for interaction <0.001): indeed, more pronounced risk reductions were observed in Asians (RR =0.35; 95% CI: 0.09–1.32), with a significantly greater CV benefit from GLP-1 RA therapy, compared with White subjects (P<0.0001) (Table 2) (22). In another meta-analysis, a significant reduction in MACEs was noticed with GLP-1RAs but not with SGLT-2Is in Asians (19). This metaanalysis that focused on Asians only did not compare the results with those observed in White patients (Table 2).

The effects of SGLT2is on MACEs remain controverted, essentially due to the absence of positive effect on stroke, in contrast to what has been reported with GLP-1RAs (5). Of note, their positive effect on hHF appears impressive and has been consistently demonstrated in patients with and without T2DM (24). In a previous meta-analysis of three CVOTs, no significant reduction in MACEs but also in hHF or CV death could be demonstrated with SGLT2is in Asian patients with T2DM (19). As pointed out by the authors, it remains to show whether these results are related to an inadequate statistical power, explained by an underrepresentation of Asians, or a true ethnic difference. The results reported in the more recent meta-analysis by Lee et al. (20) confirmed only a numerical reduction in MACEs with SGLT2is compared to placebo (-19% in Asians versus -10% in Whites), with no significant interaction (Table 1). In contrast, a highly statistically significant reduction in hHF/CV mortality was observed in patients with HF and reduced LVEF, in both racial groups, with a greater reduction observed in Asians than in Whites (-40% versus -18%, P interaction <0.01) (Table 1) (20).

In the analysis by Lee *et al.* (20), only two CVOTs were available for the analysis of the race impact of SGLT2is in patients with HF and reduced LVEF (DAPA-HF and EMPEROR-Reduced). These results appeared to be

Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine, 2021

confirmed in patients with HF and preserved LVEF as recently reported in EMPEROR-Preserved (21). The CV death/hHF primary outcome HR in 824 Asians versus 4,542 Whites was 0.65 (95% CI: 0.46–0.92) versus 0.81 (95% CI: 0.69–0.94), respectively.

Unanswered questions related to race/ethnic differences

Ethnic heterogeneity of Asian population

Asian people are recognized belonging to a heterogeneous population divided in several regions: East Asians, West Asians, South Asians, Central Asians, Southeast Asians. Such subgroups may have different genetic background and be exposed to various environmental conditions, which could impact the clinical characteristics of T2DM. Thereby, patients may respond differently to antidiabetic pharmacotherapies. As pointed out by Lee et al. (20), the reported meta-analyses are lacking of granular categorization of race within broadly defined Asian subgroups (South Asians and East/Southeast Asians were collectively categorized as Asians because of the way most CVOTs categorized race). Furthermore, definitions of race or ethnicity varied across CVOTs included in the metaanalyses (20). Available data suggested at least comparable and potentially greater outcome benefits in Asians in the vast majority of CVOTs with GLP-1RAs and SGLT2is. However, it was impossible to conclude whether the effects were similar across all Asian subgroups. Both trialists and clinical investigators should be encouraged to record ethnicity with better precision to allow data recorded in different ethnic subgroups to be better analysed (26). Among the Asian population, ethnicity may modify the association between T2DM and ischemic heart disease as shown when comparing Chinese, Malays and Asian Indians living in Singapore (27). Asian Indians are more susceptible to develop T2DM than Chinese and Malays and when diabetes mellitus occurs, the risk of ischaemic heart disease is higher than for their Chinese and Malays counterparts (28). Of note, a study reported that $\leq 15\%$ of a nationally representative population of patients with T2DM in China would have been eligible for enrolment in three CVOTs with GLP-1RAs considered in a previous metaanalysis (22), thus questioning about the generalizability of CVOTs results to Chinese patients with T2DM (29).

Thus, more stringent assessment of the effects of either SGLT2is or GLP-1RAs on CV outcomes according to

Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine, 2021

different ethnicities among the Asian population might be helpful in order to refine guidelines for the management of T2DM in Asian individuals (30).

Need for pharmacogenetic/pharmacogenomic studies

Recent (epi)genome-wide association studies and metabolomic investigations among patients with atherosclerotic CVD and HF have identified genes, metabolites and pathways associated with CVD traits. However, these results were mostly driven by samples of European ancestry, a population that may not accurately represent the CVD risk at the molecular level and the specific clinical and biologic risk profile of CVD in South Asian people, especially those individuals with T2DM (31).

Pharmacogenomics has already demonstrated its potential for individualized drug therapy based on genetic and genomic information of patients. Thereby, it has made some progress in precision medicine and personalized drug therapy possible (32,33). However, most studies were devoted to the risk of drug adverse events rather than to potential CV protection (34). Furthermore, available information about new glucose-lowering agents GLP-1RAs and SGLT2is are still scarce and not related to CV outcomes (33). More comprehensive pharmacogenetic/ pharmacogenomic research in various clinical settings, including in different ethnic groups among the Asian population, may clarify the potential gene-drug interactions and thereby refine therapeutic recommendations, a key step for optimisation of precision medicine (35).

Conclusions

In patients with T2DM, SGLT2is and GLP-1RAs reduced CV outcomes, yet with notable differences in benefits and harms. Meta-analyses of CVOTs suggest that Asian patients may profit to a greater extent from GLP-1RAs to reduce MACEs and from SGLT2is to reduce hHF-CV mortality composite outcome when compared to White patients. However, these conclusions should be taken with caution because they were derived from post-hoc analyses of placebo-controlled interventional studies that recruited a rather limited number of Asian patients from different ethnic origins. Overall, these findings are hypothesis generating. Further dedicated studies, including large observational studies well designed to compare CV outcomes in Asian (if possible, separated by ethnic subgroups) versus White patients treated with either a GLP-1RA or an SGLT2i would be of major interest to confirm these exploratory findings. Finally, pharmacogenetic/ pharmacogenomic studies devoted to these new glucoselowering agents and their effects on CV outcomes in Asian versus White patients with T2DM would be useful to make significant progress in precision medicine.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/prpm-21-22). The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Disclosure: The author declares no conflicts of interest in relation to the content of this article. AJS has received lecturer/advisor fees from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, NovoNordisk and Sanofi. He also worked as clinical investigator in three cardiovascular outcome trials with SGLT2is (EMPA-REG OUTCOME, CANVAS-R, DECLARE-TIMI 58) and three trials with GLP-1Ras (LEADER, HARMONY Outcome, PIONEER-6).

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license).

Page 6 of 7

Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine, 2021

See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Yang JJ, Yu D, Wen W, et al. Association of Diabetes With All-Cause and Cause-Specific Mortality in Asia: A Pooled Analysis of More Than 1 Million Participants. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:e192696.
- 2. Brown E, Heerspink HJL, Cuthbertson DJ, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists: established and emerging indications. Lancet 2021;398:262-76.
- Scheen AJ. Cardiovascular outcome studies in type 2 diabetes: Comparison between SGLT2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonists. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2018;143:88-100.
- McKee A, Al-Khazaali A, Albert SG. Glucagon-like Peptide-1 Receptor Agonists versus Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter Inhibitors for Treatment of T2DM. J Endocr Soc 2020;4:bvaa037.
- Lin DS, Lee JK, Hung CS, et al. The efficacy and safety of novel classes of glucose-lowering drugs for cardiovascular outcomes: a network meta-analysis of randomised clinical trials. Diabetologia 2021;64:2676-86.
- Palmer SC, Tendal B, Mustafa RA, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter protein-2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors and glucagonlike peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists for type 2 diabetes: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2021;372:m4573.
- American Diabetes Association. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. Diabetes Care 2021;44:S111-24.
- Scheen AJ. Sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 inhibitors for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2020;16:556-77.
- Hanif W, Ali SN, Bellary S, et al. Pharmacological management of South Asians with type 2 diabetes: Consensus recommendations from the South Asian Health Foundation. Diabet Med 2021;38:e14497.
- Tan JWC, Sim D, Ako J, et al. Consensus Recommendations by the Asian Pacific Society of Cardiology: Optimising Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. Eur Cardiol 2021;16:e14.
- Scheen AJ. Towards a genotype-based approach for a patient-centered pharmacologic therapy of type 2 diabetes. Ann Transl Med 2015;3:S36.
- 12. Scheen AJ. Precision medicine: The future in diabetes care? Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2016;117:12-21.
- 13. Lim LL, Tan AT, Moses K, et al. Place of sodium-glucose

cotransporter-2 inhibitors in East Asian subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus: Insights into the management of Asian phenotype. J Diabetes Complications 2017;31:494-503.

- Scheen AJ. SGLT2 Inhibitors as Add-On Therapy to Metformin for People with Type 2 Diabetes: A Review of Placebo-Controlled Trials in Asian versus Non-Asian Patients. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes 2020;13:2765-79.
- Scheen AJ. Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Properties and Clinical Use of SGLT2 Inhibitors in Non-Asian and Asian Patients with Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. Clin Pharmacokinet 2020;59:981-94.
- Scheen AJ. Oral semaglutide in Japanese versus non-Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2020;8:350-2.
- Cainzos-Achirica M, Fedeli U, Sattar N, et al. Epidemiology, risk factors, and opportunities for prevention of cardiovascular disease in individuals of South Asian ethnicity living in Europe. Atherosclerosis 2019;286:105-13.
- Kadowaki T, Yamamoto F, Taneda Y, et al. Effects of anti-diabetes medications on cardiovascular and kidney outcomes in Asian patients with type 2 diabetes: a rapid evidence assessment and narrative synthesis. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2021;20:707-20.
- 19. Singh AK, Singh R. Cardiovascular outcomes with SGLT-2 inhibitors and GLP-1 receptor agonist in Asians with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. Diabetes Metab Syndr 2020;14:715-22.
- 20. Lee MMY, Ghouri N, McGuire DK, et al. Meta-analyses of Results From Randomized Outcome Trials Comparing Cardiovascular Effects of SGLT2is and GLP-1Ras in Asian Versus White Patients With and Without Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2021;44:1236-41.
- Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Empagliflozin in Heart Failure with a Preserved Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1451-61.
- 22. Kang YM, Cho YK, Lee J, et al. Asian Subpopulations May Exhibit Greater Cardiovascular Benefit from Long-Acting Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists: A Meta-Analysis of Cardiovascular Outcome Trials. Diabetes Metab J 2019;43:410-21.
- Yeo JL, Brady EM, McCann GP, et al. Sex and ethnic differences in the cardiovascular complications of type 2 diabetes. Ther Adv Endocrinol Metab 2021;12:20420188211034297.
- 24. Sinha B, Ghosal S. Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter-2 Inhibitors (SGLT-2i) Reduce Hospitalization for Heart

Pharmacogenomics Research and Personalized Medicine, 2021

Failure Only and Have No Effect on Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Events: A Meta-Analysis. Diabetes Ther 2019;10:891-9.

- 25. Cai X, Gao X, Yang W, et al. No disparity of the efficacy and all-cause mortality between Asian and non-Asian type 2 diabetes patients with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors treatment: A meta-analysis. J Diabetes Investig 2018;9:850-61.
- 26. Ghouri N, Javed H, Sattar N. Pharmacological Management of Diabetes for Reducing Glucose Levels and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: What Evidence in South Asians? Curr Diabetes Rev 2021;17:e122820189511.
- Yeo KK, Tai BC, Heng D, et al. Ethnicity modifies the association between diabetes mellitus and ischaemic heart disease in Chinese, Malays and Asian Indians living in Singapore. Diabetologia 2006;49:2866-73.
- Bancks MP, Bertoni AG, Carnethon M, et al. Association of Diabetes Subgroups With Race/Ethnicity, Risk Factor Burden and Complications: The MASALA and MESA Studies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2021;106:e2106-15.
- Cai X, Ji L. Generalizability of the Results of Cardiovascular Outcome Trials of Glucagon-Like Peptide 1 Receptor Agonists in Chinese Patients with Type 2

doi: 10.21037/prpm-21-22

Cite this article as: Scheen AJ. Does race/ethnicity influence the impact of new glucose-lowering agents on cardiovascular outcomes? a comparison between Asian versus White patients. Pharmacogenomics Res Pers Med 2021.

Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Ther 2021;12:1861-70.

- 30. Deerochanawong C, Chan SP, Matawaran BJ, et al. Use of sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and multiple cardiovascular risk factors: An Asian perspective and expert recommendations. Diabetes Obes Metab 2019;21:2354-67.
- Sun YV, Liu C, Staimez L, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk and pathophysiology in South Asians: can longitudinal multi-omics shed light? Wellcome Open Res 2020;5:255.
- Mannino GC, Andreozzi F, Sesti G. Pharmacogenetics of type 2 diabetes mellitus, the route toward tailored medicine. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2019;35:e3109.
- Zeng Z, Huang SY, Sun T. Pharmacogenomic Studies of Current Antidiabetic Agents and Potential New Drug Targets for Precision Medicine of Diabetes. Diabetes Ther 2020;11:2521-38.
- Lo C, Nguyen S, Yang C, et al. Pharmacogenomics in Asian Subpopulations and Impacts on Commonly Prescribed Medications. Clin Transl Sci 2020;13:861-70.
- Heo CU, Choi CI. Current Progress in Pharmacogenetics of Second-Line Antidiabetic Medications: Towards Precision Medicine for Type 2 Diabetes. J Clin Med 2019;8:393.