



# Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care: introduction to the special series

Over one million children are born with congenital heart disease (CHD) each year around the world (1). Approximately one in two children with CHD will require surgical or interventional care at least once in their lifetime (2), whereas one in five will need an intervention to survive to their first birthday (3). In addition, rheumatic heart disease (RHD) represents the most common acquired cardiovascular disease among children and adolescents (4). RHD is a disease of poverty largely eradicated in high-income countries, yet it continues to affect dozens of millions of people across low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (4,5). Despite this considerable burden of cardiac surgical disease, over 90% of children in LMICs no access to cardiovascular care (6,7) resulting in more than 90% of deaths and disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) in children to be “excess” (8).

The current special series on “*Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care*” provides a detailed overview of cardiovascular care for children living with cardiovascular diseases worldwide, with a particular emphasis on variable-resource contexts, where the disparities are greatest. Articles are briefly introduced in this editorial and include:

- (I) Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care: introduction to the special series.
- (II) Narrative review in pediatric and congenital heart surgery in sub-Saharan Africa: challenges and opportunities in a new era.
- (III) “Regale una Vida” a successful social program for underprivileged children with congenital heart disease in a middle-income country.
- (IV) Pediatric cardiac NGOs: collaboration and coordination.
- (V) Fostering a sustainable pediatric cardiac workforce in the developing world during the current coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic.
- (VI) Pediatric Cardiac Development Assistance in Conflict Zones.
- (VII) The road to regionalization in congenital heart surgery: a narrative review.
- (VIII) Generating political support for cardiac surgical care in resource-limited contexts: experience from Nepal.
- (IX) Ethics of resource allocation to congenital heart surgery in variable-resource contexts.

This special series may expand upon and accelerate the contemporary global health discourse, which largely lacks the integration of pediatric and congenital cardiovascular care. Without an urgent recognition of the importance of pediatric and congenital cardiovascular care, the 2030 United Nations Sustainable Development Goal Agenda cannot and will not be attained (9).

## Global disparities

Recent data confirm great disparities in the number of pediatric cardiac surgeons per million population. In high-income countries, there are approximately 9.51 pediatric cardiac surgeons per million under-15 population compared to only 0.07 per million in low-income countries (10). However, the number of pediatric cardiac surgeons managing neonates and infants with CHD is assumed to be far lower, although not exactly quantified (11). As such, Murala *et al.* (12) discuss opportunities to scale pediatric and congenital cardiac care capacity through the lens of the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, which exacerbated disparities in access to cardiac care (13,14). These opportunities include but are not limited to (I) frugal innovation, which has enabled programs to do more with less as a result of resource constraints and lacking supply chains (15,16); (II) online learning, which reduced barriers to educational participation by bringing workshops and classrooms into one’s own home, regardless of one’s location (17,18); and (III) simulation training, which facilitates technical skills training in a low-, medium-, or even high-fidelity manner when real-world opportunities are not available or the risks of real-world exposure are too high (19-21).

Disparities further vary by and within regions (22). For example, Manuel *et al.* (23) illustrate how, in sub-Saharan Africa, late diagnosis after the first year of life is common and associated with considerably higher mortality, reduced access to

surgical care to less than 3% of children with CHD, high rates of catastrophic expenditure, and few local training programs. Indeed, data suggest that there are only 135 surgeons for 1.2 billion people across sub-Saharan Africa, of which only a fraction are able to perform congenital heart surgery (22). Similarly, Novick *et al.* (13) highlight additional nuances surrounding pediatric and congenital heart surgery in conflict zones. Various conflict settings have seen their cardiac surgical programs pause or even disappear, whereas others have seen much-needed progress towards the first local program regress; in Yemen, for example, the necessary resources cannot enter the country, resulting in an inability to maintain services (22). The Novick Cardiac Alliance has committed to continue to support conflict zones in the delivery of and capacity-building for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, saving the lives of thousands of children over the years.

### **Beyond infrastructure: socioeconomic disparities**

Access to care must be approached through geographical accessibility, infrastructural capacity, healthcare quality, financial affordability, and social acceptability (24). From a surgical perspective, especially for high-cost interventions such as congenital heart surgery, financial affordability constitutes the most pressing barrier to access to care (25,26). Socioeconomic disparities exist in access to pediatric and congenital heart surgery in high-income countries and LMICs alike (27), and may not be forgotten in the development of global surgical interventions.

Sandoval *et al.* (28) share their experience in Colombia with scaling access to cardiac surgery for children from families from lower socioeconomic status. Through the social responsibility program “Regale una vida,” the authors established efforts outside the private healthcare sector and on top of governmental healthcare delivery to complement rather than replace existing cardiac surgical care delivery within the country. This has resulted in over 50,000 children being screened, more than 1,000 echocardiograms performed each year, and nearly 100 fully-subsidized congenital heart surgery procedures per year. Similarly, Koirala (29) shares their experience with developing a microinsurance program for cardiac surgery for the poor, the young, the elderly in Nepal, which results in more than 100 free cardiac surgical procedures every year. Models such as those developed in Colombia and Nepal are examples to be adopted and adapted in other countries to ensure that patients and families may more freely seek the life-saving and life-changing cardiovascular care they need.

### **Optimizing cardiovascular healthcare delivery**

Moving forward, cardiovascular care must not merely be scaled but rather scaled in the most efficient, effective, and ethical manner. Ghandour *et al.* (30) eloquently discuss the potential benefits and harms of regionalization for pediatric and congenital heart surgery, drawing from experiences across the globe. In Europe and Canada, a gradual movement towards regionalization in the past few decades resulted in the consolidation of pediatric cardiac programs to increase institutional volumes, improve outcomes, and reduce costs (31). In contrast, in the United States, there remains considerable decentralization: modelling suggest that only one-third of the 153 existing pediatric cardiac surgery programs may be necessary to provide the necessary care for patients with CHD across the country, as 101 (66%) of programs are located within 25 miles of each other and no geographical access barriers would arise with consolidation/regionalization thereof (32). In LMICs, where cardiac surgical programs are few and far between, a process of “natural” regionalization of services may be observed: as a result of limited resources, programs may inherently seek to centralize and pool resources to most efficiently allocate these to the populations they serve (33). However, the large population across LMICs, the vast geographical barriers, and the financial costs associated with transportation and time away from work for patients and families introduce considerable barriers that must be considered. Thus, although centralized hubs with higher volumes, fewer complications, and lower costs appear ideal, their effects on geographical access and hidden costs require further study.

Fenton (34) eloquently describes the ethical considerations of congenital heart surgery in LMICs through the lenses of principlist ethics (respect for autonomy, nonmaleficence, beneficence, and justice), public health ethics (moral justification to promote public and population health), and consequentialist ethics (right-or-wrong based on the consequences of interventions). Regardless of the lens applied, resource allocations must be efficient and balance the competing needs on the ground. For example, many LMICs have fragmented primary care structures affecting entire populations’ daily lives, which cannot be forgotten (35).

Lastly, Zheleva highlights the important role of NGOs in helping expand global cardiovascular care (36). Previous data suggested that 86 NGOs are active in global cardiac surgery delivery, of which most (94.2%, N=81) are involved with pediatric and congenital heart surgery (37). Although fly-in-fly-out missions were traditionally a major focus of NGOs, the lack of local continuity of care (e.g., patient follow-up) and capacity-building (e.g., empowering local teams) resulted in more sustainable efforts, including bilateral and longitudinal partnerships between programs and capacity-building initiatives. Nevertheless, many NGOs continue to practice in the same countries and hospitals, resulting in overlap, inefficiencies, and fragmented communication channels that may harm rather than benefit local programs; efforts to improve the efficiency of and collaboration between NGOs are critical in order to best support partner programs (27).

### Looking ahead

Cardiovascular (surgical) care remains neglected within current national and international policy prioritization (38-41). This neglect exists despite the proven cost-effectiveness of pediatric cardiac surgery in LMICs (42), which is even more favorably cost-effective when compared to many current global and public health priorities, such as oral rehydration therapy for diarrhea and antiretroviral therapy for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) (43). This phenomenon illustrates the importance of cardiac surgeons' engagement in health policy and advocacy (44). Societies have started to become increasingly involved at the national and international level (45). Opportunities through the World Society for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery, the African Society for Pediatric and Congenital Heart Surgery, and other societies highlight the growing global cardiac surgery momentum that must be applauded and expanded into the future. Ultimately, multidisciplinary, multisectoral, and international collaboration will be the key to ensuring that no child is left behind.

### Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *AME Surgical Journal* for the series "Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care". The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://asj.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/asj-22-30/coif>). The series "Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. DV served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *AME Surgical Journal* from January 2021 to December 2024. DV is supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship. DV is President of the Global Cardiac Surgery Initiative and serves as a Member of the Board of Directors of the Global Alliance for Rheumatic and Congenital Hearts (Global ARCH). MC served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and he is co-founder of the Novick Cardiac Alliance. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/>

[licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

## References

1. Hoffman JI, Kaplan S, Liberthson RR. Prevalence of congenital heart disease. *Am Heart J* 2004;147:425-39.
2. Higashi H, Barendregt JJ, Kassebaum NJ, et al. The burden of selected congenital anomalies amenable to surgery in low and middle-income regions: cleft lip and palate, congenital heart anomalies and neural tube defects. *Arch Dis Child* 2015;100:233-8.
3. Bakker MK, Bergman JEH, Krikov S, et al. Prenatal diagnosis and prevalence of critical congenital heart defects: an international retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9:e028139.
4. Watkins DA, Beaton AZ, Carapetis JR, et al. Rheumatic Heart Disease Worldwide: JACC Scientific Expert Panel. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018;72:1397-416.
5. Vervoort D, Antunes MJ, Pezzella AT. Rheumatic heart disease: The role of global cardiac surgery. *J Card Surg* 2021;36:2857-64.
6. Zheleva B, Atwood JB. The invisible child: childhood heart disease in global health. *Lancet* 2017;389:16-8.
7. Vervoort D, Swain JD, Pezzella AT, et al. Cardiac Surgery in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A State-of-the-Art Review. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2021;111:1394-400.
8. Vervoort D, Cardarelli M. The global unmet need of congenital cardiac care: a quantitative analysis of the global burden of disease. *Cardiol Young* 2020;30:1688-93.
9. Ghandour H, Vervoort D, Ravishankar R, et al. Cardiac surgery and the sustainable development goals: a review. *Cardiothorac Surg* 2022;30:14.
10. Vervoort D, Meuris B, Meyns B, et al. Global cardiac surgery: Access to cardiac surgical care around the world. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2020;159:987-996.e6.
11. Cardarelli M. The Global Cardiothoracic Surgery Workforce in 2020. In: Kpodonu J. editor. *Global Cardiac Surgery Capacity Development in Low and Middle Income Countries. Sustainable Development Goals Series.* Springer; 2022:133-41.
12. Murala JSK, Kim S, Karl TR, et al. Fostering a sustainable pediatric cardiac workforce in the developing world during the current COVID-19 pandemic. *AME Surg J* 2022;2:5.
13. Novick W, Cardarelli M. COVID-19 Disruption of Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Services in Low and Middle Income Countries. CTSNet. Published online June 24, 2020. doi:10.25373/ctsnet.12541493.
14. Vervoort D. Closing the borders: The unmet need of congenital heart surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Card Surg* 2020;35:3665.
15. Ravishankar R, Adreak NA, Vervoort D. Shared learning in and beyond the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2021;60:206-7.
16. Sabatino ME, Alkire BC, Corley J. Financial Investment in Global Surgery-Codevelopment as an Accretive Evolution of the Field. *JAMA Surg* 2019;154:475-6.
17. Vervoort D, Dearani JA, Starnes VA, et al. Brave New World: Virtual conferencing and surgical education in the Coronavirus Disease 2019 era. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021;161:748-52.
18. Ma X, Vervoort D. Leveraging e-learning for medical education in low- and middle-income countries. *Cardiol Young* 2020;30:903-4.
19. Yanagawa B, Ribeiro R, Naqib F, et al. See one, simulate many, do one, teach one: cardiac surgical simulation. *Curr Opin Cardiol* 2019;34:571-7.
20. Nataraja RM, Barsness K. Pediatric surgical training and simulation-based surgical education - A preface. *Semin Pediatr Surg* 2020;29:150900.
21. Lin Y, Han JJ, Kelly JJ, et al. Development of a Modular and Equitable Surgical Simulator. *Glob Health Sci Pract* 2022;10:e2100744.
22. Vervoort D, Lee G, Lin Y, et al. 6 Billion People Have No Access to Safe, Timely, and Affordable Cardiac Surgical Care. *JACC Adv* 2022;1:1-5.
23. Manuel V, Miana LA, Edwin F. Narrative review in pediatric and congenital heart surgery in sub-Saharan Africa: challenges and opportunities in a new era. *AME Surg J* 2021;1:26.
24. Vervoort D. *Moving the Needle: A Guide to Solving the Global Cardiac Surgery Puzzle for Surgeons, Societies, Students, and*

- Researchers. Published online February 20, 2020. doi:10.25373/CTSNET.11872425.
25. Meara JG, Leather AJ, Hagander L, et al. Global Surgery 2030: evidence and solutions for achieving health, welfare, and economic development. *Lancet* 2015;386:569-624.
  26. Vervoort D, Edwin F. Treating Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease Abroad? Imperatives for Local Health System Development. *Int J Cardiol Congenit Heart Dis* 2021;2:100082.
  27. Vervoort D, Zheleva B, Jenkins KJ, et al. Children at the Heart of Global Cardiac Surgery: An Advocacy Stakeholder Analysis. *World J Pediatr Congenit Heart Surg* 2021;12:48-54.
  28. Sandoval N, Chalela T, Pineda I, et al. “Regale una Vida” a successful social program for underprivileged children with congenital heart disease in a middle-income country. *AME Surg J* 2023;3:4.
  29. Koirala B. Generating political support for cardiac surgical care in resource-limited contexts: experience from Nepal. *AME Surg J* 2022;2:24.
  30. Ghandour HZ, Welke K, Karamlou T. The road to regionalization in congenital heart surgery: a narrative review. *AME Surg J* 2022;2:27.
  31. Lundström NR, Berggren H, Björkhem G, et al. Centralization of pediatric heart surgery in Sweden. *Pediatr Cardiol* 2000;21:353-7.
  32. Karamlou T, Johnston DR, Backer CL, et al. Access or excess? Examining the argument for regionalized cardiac care. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2020;160:813-9.
  33. Vervoort D. Centralization and regionalization of congenital heart surgery in a globalized world. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021;161:e481.
  34. Fenton KN. Ethics of resource allocation to congenital heart surgery in variable-resource contexts. *AME Surg J* 2022;2:22.
  35. Singer P. Famine, Affluence, and Morality. In: *Princeton Readings in Political Thought*. Princeton University Press; 2018:677-84.
  36. Zheleva B. Pediatric cardiac NGOs: collaboration and coordination. *AME Surg J* 2022. doi: 10.21037/asj-22-14. [Epub ahead of print].
  37. Vervoort D, Guetter CR, Munyaneza F, et al. Non-Governmental Organizations Delivering Global Cardiac Surgical Care: A Quantitative Impact Assessment. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2022;34:1160-5.
  38. Vervoort D, Parikh UM, Raj A, et al. Global cardiovascular care: an overview of high-level political commitment. *Asian Cardiovasc Thorac Ann* 2020;28:258-65.
  39. Vervoort D. National Surgical, Obstetric, and Anesthesia Plans: Bridging the Cardiac Surgery Gap. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2021;69:10-2.
  40. Shawar YR, Shiffman J. Generating Global Priority for Addressing Rheumatic Heart Disease: A Qualitative Policy Analysis. *J Am Heart Assoc* 2020;9:e014800.
  41. Vervoort D, Genetu A, Kpodonu J. Policy prioritisation to address the global burden of rheumatic heart disease. *Lancet Glob Health* 2021;9:e1212.
  42. Cardarelli M, Vaikunth S, Mills K, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Humanitarian Pediatric Cardiac Surgery Programs in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *JAMA Netw Open* 2018;1:e184707.
  43. Grimes CE, Henry JA, Maraka J, et al. Cost-effectiveness of surgery in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *World J Surg* 2014;38:252-63.
  44. Vervoort D, Swain JD, Fiedler AG. A Seat at the Table: The Cardiothoracic Surgeon as Surgeon-Advocate. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2021;111:741-4.
  45. Vervoort D, Mesfin S, Hill S. Global Cardiac Surgery: The Tipping Point for Universal Cardiovascular Health Equity? 2022. doi: 10.25373/ctsnet.20499120.v2.



Dominique Vervoort



Marcelo Cardarelli

**Dominique Vervoort<sup>1,2</sup>, MD, MPH, MBA**  
(Email: [vervoortdominique@hotmail.com](mailto:vervoortdominique@hotmail.com))

**Marcelo Cardarelli<sup>3</sup>, MD, MPH**  
(Email: [marcelo.cardarelli@cardiac-alliance.org](mailto:marcelo.cardarelli@cardiac-alliance.org))

<sup>1</sup>*Division of Cardiac Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada;*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada;*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Surgery, Inova Children's Hospital, Falls Church, VA, USA.*

Received: 21 October 2022; Accepted: 16 November 2022; Published online: 16 January 2023.

doi: 10.21037/asj-22-30

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/asj-22-30>

doi: 10.21037/asj-22-30

**Cite this article as:** Vervoort D, Cardarelli M. Addressing Global Disparities in Pediatric and Congenital Cardiac Care: introduction to the special series. AME Surg J 2023;3:1.