

## Peer Review File

Article Information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/asj-23-39>

### Review comments

Interesting case, given as stated in the manuscript that this is the first reported case of an unruptured AVM of the internal acoustic meatus treated by endovascular and surgical means. I think the case presentation and literature review are sufficient for publication. My comments are primarily to address some grammatical issues in the current manuscript.

**Reply:** Thank you very much for your kind comments regarding the quality of our manuscript. We greatly appreciate your time and expertise. We have made the following amendments as suggested.

-line 184 – grammar comment - wording of “neurovascular” sounds off. Maybe “neurovascular structures” would work better grammatically.

**Reply:** We completely agree. We have changed this to ‘neurovascular structures’. Thank you for detecting this.

**Changes in Text:** Line 184 now ends in “neurovascular structures”.

-line 254 – grammatical comment, add “by” Khaw et al

**Reply:** Thank you we concur.

**Changes in Text:** Line 254 now amended by adding ‘by’ prior to ‘Khaw et al’.

-lines 254 – 256, the stats given for likelihood of rupture at 5 years make it appear that smaller AVMs are less likely to rupture compared to larger AVMs (written as 10% vs 52%), yet the text says the opposite. Can this discrepancy be corrected?

**Reply:** Thank you for noting this. We have reversed this in the text to ensure there is consistency between the written and numerical meaning.

**Changes in Text:** Line 254-256 now ends in “(52% vs 10%)”.

-line 279 – grammatical comment, “risk of stroke or death”

**Reply:** We agree and have altered this accordingly.

**Changes in Text:** Line 279 now reads “risk of stroke or death”.

-line 316 should be edited as there are typos, “that up that”

**Reply:** We agree. The superfluous ‘up that’ has been deleted.

**Changes in Text:** Line 316 deleted the extra “up that” to make sense.

-line 319 – grammatical – less likely “to” result...

**Reply:** Thank you this has been amended.

**Changes in Text:** Line 319 now reads “less likely to”.