With more than 1.4 million cases in 2020 worldwide, prostate cancer (PCa) is the second most common cancer in men and the fourth most common cancer overall (1). Despite relatively low mortality rates and favorable overall survival, the high incidence of PCa accounts for a significant number of deaths each year. A main risk factor in PCa is increased age. This poses a major health concern due to the increasing proportion of elderly man in the general population and the increased risk of over-treatment following the early diagnosis of large proportion of indolent tumors (2,3).

PCa is usually suspected based on digital rectal examination (DRE) and/or elevated blood levels of Prostate Specific Antigen (PSA, kallikrein-related peptidase 3, KLK). Definitive diagnose is made based on histopathological verification on prostate tissue, obtained by (repeated) transperineal or transrectal biopsies and in some cases during transurethral rejection of the prostate (TURP) to relieve symptoms from benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH), a common pathology in older men (2,3). Subsequent patient stratification into low, intermediate, or high risk cancer is done based on the combination of parameters including PSA levels, and histopathological tumor grade and stage. Unfortunately, PSA has low specificity as a diagnostic biomarker, while transperineal or transrectal biopsy is highly invasive and associated with risk of complications. Furthermore, these procedures do not always give a definitive diagnosis for a considerable proportion of the patients, which undergo subsequent cycles of repetitive biopsies and postponed treatment. On the other hand, many patients that carry indolent low-grade prostate tumors, receive the diagnose cancer, which is often associated with high psychological health burden for them and their families. The successful development of a non-invasive biomarker test that spares invasive biopsy procedures and can detect PCa in body fluids like blood or urine is a currently unmet clinical need. Ideally, such “liquid biopsy” biomarker will detect significant, life-threatening PCa with high specificity and sensitivity, adding value to existing risk calculators in the prevention of overdiagnosis and overtreatment.

In the July issue of Molecular Cancer, He and colleagues (4) address this outstanding challenge by targeting patients at intermediate or high risk of biochemical recurrence of localized or locally-advanced PCa. This patient group is characterized with relatively low PSA levels (2–10 ng/mL) but biopsy results indicate aggressive tumors with high tumor grade (ISUP prognostic grade group 2 or greater, ≥ GG2) (5). He et al. investigate whether a noninvasive liquid biopsy of urine samples could detect high grade PCa before traditional tissue biopsy is taken. The authors take an innovative approach looking to identify prognostic circular RNAs (circRNAs) in the cargo of membrane bound vesicles released in urine from cells of the kidneys, bladder and prostate and referred to as urinary extracellular vesicles (uEVs). The rationale behind this idea is that the molecular cargo of uEV, and in particular proteins and RNA, remain protected from enzymatic and chemical degradation in urine, therefore if uEVs produced by PCa cells are present in the urine they may preserve and protect PCa specific
molecular biomarkers (6).

The choice for circRNAs as molecular targets of interest is rational, as different types of noncoding RNAs have been shown to play an important role in PCa (7,8). Among these, the recent discovery of over 70,000 circRNAs in PCa tissue specimens provides a plethora of many potential biomarkers (9,10). Additional advantage is the closed structure of circRNAs—with covalently bound 5’- and 3’-ends, which protects them from the exonucleolytic activity of many ribonucleases. The inclusion of circRNAs in EVs further enhances their stability in body fluids (10,11).

In their study, He et al. follow a robust experimental design starting with a discovery stage, in which they use Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) to identify a set of 18 uEV-associated circRNAs, significantly upregulated in PCa patients with high-grade PCa compared to a control group of patients with BPH. To select circRNAs with best discriminative power, the authors measure the expression of these 18 candidates in a large training cohort (n=263) by digital droplet PCR (ddPCR). In a subsequent machine learning approach tree different statistical models are applied to build the best performing classifier Ccirc, containing 5 most informative circRNAs. The comparison of Ccirc performance with the two most commonly used risk calculators, the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial Risk Calculator version 2 (PCPR-RC 2.0) (12) for the prediction of low versus high-grade PCa and the European Randomized study of Screening for Prostate Cancer (ERSPC-RC) (13), demonstrates that Ccirc is superior to both risk calculators in the training cohort, as well as in two larger independent validation cohorts (n=497 and n=505).

Integration of Ccirc with any of the risk calculators leads to superior performance in the predicting of high grade PCa (≥ GG2). Interestingly, Ccirc has better performance compared to other urinary RNA based tests, which rely on DRE to increase the abundance of prostate derived material in urine. A possible reason could be the selection of circRNAs which have higher stability compared to other transcripts present in urine and uEVs. A limitation of the Ccirc is the limited sensitivity as shown by the relatively high percentage of missed cancers (33% in validation cohort 1 and 25% in validation cohort 2). A useful future direction would be to evaluate if the integration of Ccirc in the PCPR-RC 2.0 and ERSPC-RC risk calculators would improve detection sensitivity. The expansion of Ccirc with additional circRNAs or other molecular markers is another possible path to explore in this context.

As the authors correctly acknowledge, a major challenge in PCa management remains the ability to discriminate GG2 from GG3 cancers. To address this point they demonstrate that differences in Ccic values are statistically significant between these two groups, suggesting that this noninvasive assay may have the potential to differentiate individuals with GG2 PCa from those with ≥ GG3. Nevertheless, the discriminative power of Ccic in classifying this two groups remains to be investigated, preferably in specifically designed cohorts. Another important point, which should be the focus of future investigations is whether Ccic or other similar classifiers would be powerful enough to identify PCa tumors with invasive cribriform growth pattern. It has been shown that the use of cribriform grade gives better discriminative values for disease-specific and metastasis-free survival than the GG, particularly impacting decision making in men with current GG2 PCa (14-16).

The association of cribriform PCa with increased genomic instability and molecular tumor progression (17) supports the existence of specific molecular markers that could be used in “liquid biopsy” assays in the future.

In conclusion, uEVs and their cargo hold strong potential as clinically applicable source of “liquid biopsy” biomarkers. The work of He et al. discussed above highlights the importance and power of a strong experimental design in biomarker discovery particularly in combination with novel molecular targets and appropriate technical approaches. This paper can therefore be seen as a step forward towards the use of uEVs and their RNA cargo for the diagnosis and prognosis of PCa in the future clinical practice.
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