Introduction

Asbestosis is an interstitial pneumonitis and fibrosis caused by inhalation of asbestos fibres after a latent period (1). Diagnosis requires radiological or histological evidence of structural pathology consistent with asbestos related disease, evidence of causation through occupational history, markers of disease such as pleural plaques and exclusion of other possible diagnoses (1). The role of bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) in the assessment and diagnosis of interstitial lung disease remains controversial. Nonetheless, many studies have previously been performed to investigate whether BAL cellular profiles are useful in predicting disease progression in many forms of ILD. Indeed, BAL neutrophilia has been associated with disease severity and progression in IPF, hypersensitivity pneumonitis and sarcoidosis (2-8). BAL eosinophilia has also been associated with disease severity and outcome in IPF (9).

Also prior to the American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) reclassification of the idiopathic interstitial pneumonias, studies demonstrated that higher BAL fluid neutrophilia predicted a subsequent deterioration in pulmonary function test results (8).

We wished to investigate whether BAL was as useful in predicting the behavior of asbestosis with a UIP pattern on HRCT thorax.

Materials and methods

All patients with asbestosis with a UIP pattern diagnosed at the Birmingham Chest Clinic Occupational Lung Disease Unit between June 2000 and March 2012 were identified from local reports to the UK Surveillance of Work-Related and Occupational Respiratory Disease (SWORD) scheme. Each case was examined for the typical radiological appearance of UIP from radiology report along with a clinical diagnosis of asbestosis by an Occupational Lung Disease specialist physician [on the basis of clinical criteria, an unequivocal history of asbestos exposure and symptom latency as per recommendations (1)].

Results

Data on 43 patients with significant asbestos exposure from various occupations, and findings of UIP radiologically were reviewed. All patients were symptomatic at diagnosis with the most common symptoms being breathlessness (95%) and cough (70%). 91% of patients had crackles on chest auscultation. All
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43 patients had lung function data at diagnosis (FEV₁, FVC, DL₉ₒ). Mean percentage predicted values (±SD) at diagnosis were as follows: FEV₁ 82.5% [19], FVC 84.5% [18], DL₉ₒ 52% [15] (Figure 1). All were male, mean age 74.5 (SD=7), mean asbestos exposure 16.3 years (SD=10), 21 patients had lung function data for at least one year after diagnosis. Mean annual decline in percentage predicted pulmonary function values (±SD) for the first year after diagnosis were as follows: FEV₁ 1.4% [7], FVC 2.1% [7], DL₉ₒ 4.2% [8]. 40 patients were ex smokers and 3 had never smoked.

39 (91%) patients had benign asbestos pleural disease on radiological examination.

27 of total 43 patients were still attending our clinic for follow up. Since diagnosis 13 patients had died (5 ischaemic heart disease, 3 unknown, 2 community acquired pneumonia, 2 squamous cell lung cancer, 1 gastric adenocarcinoma)

21 patients had BAL performed as part of their work up at presentation.

3 patients had normal differential cell counts (lymphocyte ≤13%; neutrophil ≤3%; eosinophil ≤1%). 17 patients had raised neutrophil differentials (range, 6-80%); 6 patients had raised lymphocyte differential (range, 25-73%); 1 patient had raised eosinophil differential (5%). Median differential cell counts (with IQR) were as follows: neutrophils 14% [7-28], lymphocytes 5% [3-25], eosinophils 0% [0].

Correlation between BAL differential count and pulmonary function (Fev1, FVC and DL₉ₒ at diagnosis and their annual decline over 12 months) was analyzed using a Pearson correlation co-efficient, with 95% confidence interval.

There was a significant inverse correlation between percentage BAL neutrophils and percentage predicted DL₉ₒ at diagnosis (n=21; P=0.02; r=–0.25; CI, –0.77–0.08) (Figure 2), but not with DL₉ₒ decline over 1 year. There was no association between BAL lymphocytes or eosinophils and lung function at diagnosis and its decline over 12 months. These results were unrelated to smoking pack years. There was also no significant difference in the clinical characteristics and smoking history of those who had BAL and those who did not.

**Discussion**

The role of BAL in the diagnosis and work up of interstitial lung disease remains an area of considerable debate. What is clear is that if performed it should be to an exacting standard in centers that have expertise in processing the samples. Indeed the American Thoracic Society recently published: an Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline: the Clinical Utility of Bronchoalveolar Lavage Cellular Analysis in Interstitial Lung Disease, to help guide practice (10). While BAL cell differential has been shown to be predictive of disease progression in many forms of ILD including IPF, hypersensitivity pneumonitis and sarcoidosis, its role in asbestosis has had limited study. Neutrophil proportions in BAL have been shown to correlate with the amount of asbestos exposure (11) and with the severity of lung function impairment at diagnosis (12), however studies on disease progression are less clear. Rom et al. demonstrated that lung function decline had no relationship with the level of neutrophils in BAL yet Cullen et al. showed that the level of neutrophils in BAL was associated with progressive lung function deterioration (13,14). Our study’s finding are in keeping with those of Rom et al. and over all, indicate that like the other studies discussed, BAL neutrophilia is associated with impaired lung function at diagnosis only.
This study has a number of weaknesses including its small size and the fact that it is a retrospective study from a clinic-based population and is therefore subject to selection bias. Indeed there were no cases of asymptomatic asbestosis with significant CT findings in the sample. Cases were defined by a radiological diagnosis of UIP with an interstitial or occupational lung multi-disciplinary expert diagnosis of asbestosis; there were only three cases of biopsy-proven UIP (in patients with BAL lymphocytosis) although radiological diagnosis is sufficient where there is a classical appearance of UIP along with an appropriate history (8). This study also only comments on asbestosis with a UIP pattern and does not describe asbestosis associated with other interstitial radiology findings including intralobular reticulation, subpleural curvilinear lines, early subpleural dot-like opacities, interlobular septal thickening and ground-glass opacity, often features of early asbestosis. We also did not quantify asbestos bodies, a marker of asbestos exposure, a process that is particularly useful in those with an unconvincing history of asbestos exposure. This facility was not available to us at our institution at a standard recommended by the ERS Task Force Report on fibre analysis in biological samples (15). Fortunately our BAL patient group had a convincing history of exposure and virtually all had benign asbestos related pleural disease which has previously been found to correlate with fibre levels in the lung (15).

In conclusion, unlike in the case of IPF (which also has a UIP pattern), BAL neutrophilia is not predictive of decline in classic asbestosis with a UIP pattern and its routine use in this cohort of patients provides little if any additional benefit.
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