Essential signaling in *NF2* loss-related tumours: the therapeutic potential of CRL4^{DCAF1} and mTOR combined inhibition

Helena J. Janse van Rensburg, Xiaolong Yang

Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, Canada

Correspondence to: Xiaolong Yang. Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, K7L 3N6, Canada. Email: yangx@queensu.ca.

Provenance: This is an invited Editorial commissioned by Section Editor Dr. Chunlin Ou (Cancer Research Institute of Central South University, Changsha, China).

Comment on: Cooper J, Xu Q, Zhou L, *et al.* Combined Inhibition of NEDD8-activating enzyme and mTOR suppresses NF2 loss-driven tumorigenesis. Mol Cancer Ther 2017;16:1693-704.

Submitted Aug 22, 2017. Accepted for publication Sep 05, 2017. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2017.09.34 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2017.09.34

Neurofibromatosis 2 (NF2) was first identified as the tumor suppressor gene mutated in NF2 hereditary cancer syndrome (1). In this syndrome, patients develop multiple tumors of the nervous system including schwannomas, meningiomas and ependymomas that often require treatment with surgery or radiotherapy. While inherited mutations in NF2 leading to NF2 syndrome are relatively uncommon (with an incidence of approximately 1 in 25,000), somatic NF2 mutations are also observed in sporadic tumors (2). Specifically, NF2 gene inactivation has been reported in a significant proportion of sporadic meningiomas, vestibular schwannomas and malignant mesotheliomas (3-6). Furthermore, the protein product of the NF2 gene, Merlin, has been found to antagonize tumor initiation and/or progression in breast, colorectal, prostate, hepatobiliary and medullary thyroid cancers as well as in glioblastoma and melanoma (7). Therefore, there is tremendous interest in understanding the precise roles that NF2 gene loss and the protein Merlin play within diseased and normal cells, respectively, in order to develop new therapies for cancer treatment.

Merlin has multiple functions within healthy cells that can be distinguished by subcellular localization. Critically, there is a lack of consensus in the literature regarding which of these interactions are essential for NF2 gene loss-related tumorigenesis. As a close relative to the Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin (ERM) family of proteins, Merlin associates with the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane where it regulates adherens junction stability and augments numerous signaling pathways including EGFR, Ras, Rac, mTOR, FAK-Src, PI3K, Hippo and Lin28B signaling (8-12). However, over the past two decades, multiple groups have suggested that Merlin acts as a tumor suppressor within the nucleus and, in 2010, Li et al. provided compelling evidence supporting this notion (13-16). In their work which was published in Cell, Li et al. demonstrated that Merlin translocates into the nucleus to inhibit the cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligase CRL4^{DCAF1}. In a subsequent Cancer Cell publication, Li et al. characterized the signaling downstream of CRL4^{DCAF1} in Merlin-deficient cells (17). CRL4^{DCAF1} directly ubiquitinylates and destabilizes the Hippo pathway tumor suppressor kinases LATS1 and LATS2 in the nucleus. This inhibition of LATS1/2 activates the Hippo pathway effector and transcriptional co-activator YAP leading to the transcription of genes involved in tumorigenesis. Based on these data, Li et al. proposed that Merlin suppresses tumorigenesis from within the nucleus (and not from the cell cortex) through a Merlin-CRL4^{DCAF1}-LATS1/2-YAP signaling axis. However, whether this signaling might provide useful therapeutic targets for the treatment of NF2-inactivated cancers was unclear.

In a recent paper published in *Molecular Cancer Therapeutics* (18), Cooper *et al.* set out to determine whether $CRL4^{DCAF1}$ might be an efficacious therapeutic target for blocking *NF2* gene loss-related tumorigenesis. In their paper, the authors used a small molecule drug (MLN4924) to indirectly block $CRL4^{DCAF1}$ ubiquitin ligase activity by targeting its upstream activator NEDD8-activating

enzyme (NAE). The authors first confirmed that MLN4924 treatment augments the signaling identified by their previous studies. Indeed, MLN4924 suppressed CRL4^{DCAF1} activity and LATS1/2 ubiquitinylation in vitro. Moreover, MLN4924 induced inhibitory YAP phosphorylation at serine 127 in FC-1801 mouse Nf2-mutant schwannoma cells as well as in the human NF2-mutant mesothelioma cell line, Meso-33. In functional assays, MLN4924 reduced the proliferation of both NF2-mutant and NF2-wild type cells. However, NF2-mutant cells appeared to be more sensitive to growth inhibition by MLN4924 than their wild type counterparts. To explore the anti-proliferative effects of MLN4924 in vivo, Cooper et al. established tumor xenografts in immunocompromised mice with NF2-mutant cell lines VAMT and Meso-10. Tumor growth was compared between mice administered MLN4924 or vehicle. MLN4924 diminished the rate of tumor growth but could not halt growth entirely or cause tumor regression.

Given that MLN4924 showed limited preclinical activity as a monotherapy, Cooper et al. postulated that MLN4924 might hold greater therapeutic value in combination with other drugs. The authors first exploited the fact that pharmacological inhibition of NAE with MLN4924 not only represses the activity of CRL4^{DCAF1} but also blocks other cullin-RING ligases including those involved in DNA damage responses (19). Therefore, they reasoned that collateral inhibition of these ligases by MLN4924 might sensitize cells to DNA-damaging chemotherapies. As expected, combined MLN4924, pemetrexed and cisplatin treatment reduced VAMT and Meso-10 in vivo tumor growth more dramatically than MLN4924 on its own. However, even this combination of drugs could not abolish tumor growth completely. The authors proceeded to develop a more targeted strategy for combination therapy based on the signaling they observed in their NF2-mutant cell lines. In their molecular experiments, Cooper et al. observed that MLN4924 treatment had no effect on mTORC1 activity or its downstream signaling through S6 in NF2-mutant cells. Thus, NF2 gene loss likely activates this pathway independent of CRL4^{DCAF1}. To investigate whether mTOR signaling sustains NF2-mutated cancer cells during CRL4^{DCAF1} blockade, Cooper et al. treated mesothelioma tumor xenografts with MLN4924 and GDC-0980 (a dual mTOR/PI3K inhibitor). Combined MLN4924/GDC-0980 treatment completely abolished tumor growth in vivo for four weeks. From this work, Cooper et al. conclude that both CRL4^{DCAF1} and mTORC1 signaling are essential oncogenic pathways in NF2-inactivated cancers._

Over the past several decades, a multitude of functions for Merlin have been demonstrated in the literature. However, which of these activities are critical for driving tumorigenesis in *NF2*-mutated cells has been unclear. In their study, Cooper *et al.* pharmacologically inhibit two proteins (CRL4^{DCAF1} and mTORC1) that are aberrantly activated in Merlin-deficient cells to clarify the signaling that drives tumor growth. This study provides novel insights into the key tumor suppressor functions of Merlin and raises interesting questions for future studies. Most significantly, Cooper *et al.* have provided strong preclinical data showing that combined inhibition of CRL4^{DCAF1} and mTORC1 may a good therapeutic strategy for the treatment of *NF2*-inactivated cancers.

While Cooper et al. have generated exciting preclinical data supporting this proposition, several critical issues should be addressed prior to applying these findings in a clinical setting. First, it will be crucial that future studies investigate the durability of responses to MLN4924/GDC-0980. In their study, Cooper et al. observed inhibition of tumor growth in vivo by these agents for only four weeks. Thus, it is unclear whether the treatment applied truly halts disease progression over the long-term. Second, given that MLN4924 targets multiple cullin-RING ubiquitin ligases, it is still unclear whether the anti-proliferative effects of MLN4924 in NF2-mutated cells are due to specific inactivation of CRL4^{DCAF1}-LATS1/2-YAP signaling. The contributions of CRL4^{DCAF1} and YAP to the response to MLN4924 could be tested relatively easily by examining whether genetic knockout cells for each of these proteins are rendered less susceptible to MLN4924 treatment. Third, it may also be worthwhile to explore whether there are alternative strategies that can be used to achieve better specificity for targeting CRL4^{DCAF1} particularly since mechanisms for resistance to MLN4924 have already been reported in the literature (20). The signaling downstream of CRL4^{DCAF1} may offer alternative opportunities for therapeutic intervention. YAP can also be targeted therapeutically and Furukawa et al. have recently proposed that Merlin can directly regulate the nuclear export of YAP and its paralog TAZ (21). Therefore, YAP may hold promise on its own as a therapeutic target. It will be interesting for future studies to test whether anti-YAP/TAZ monotherapy or combined inhibition of YAP/TAZ and mTORC1 represent therapeutic targets for NF2-inactivated malignancies in the future.

Finally, it should also be noted that there have been other agents for treating *NF2*-inactivated tumors. In fact, the off-

Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 9, No 10 October 2017

label use of anti-VEGF antibodies for treating patients with NF2 syndrome has become more common over the past few years (22). Other targeted therapies (including inhibitors of EGFR, PDGFR, VEGFR and c-kit) have shown promise in preclinical and clinical studies (6,23). Thus, it will also be important to explore whether these treatments combined with CRL4^{DCAF1} or/and mTORC1 inhibition hold value for more effectively treating NF2 gene loss-related malignancies in the future.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR#119325, 148629) and Canadian Breast Cancer Foundation (CBCF)/Canadian Cancer Society (CCS) for their financial support. HJ Janse van Rensburg is supported by CIHR Canada Graduate Scholarship, Queen Elizabeth II Graduate Scholarship in Science and Technology.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- Trofatter JA, MacCollin MM, Rutter JL, et al. A novel moesin-, ezrin-, radixin-like gene is a candidate for the neurofibromatosis 2 tumor suppressor. Cell 1993;72:791-800.
- Evans DG, Moran A, King A, et al. Incidence of vestibular schwannoma and neurofibromatosis 2 in the North West of England over a 10-year period: higher incidence than previously thought. Otol Neurotol 2005;26:93-7.
- Ruttledge MH, Sarrazin J, Rangaratnam S, et al. Evidence for the complete inactivation of the NF2 gene in the majority of sporadic meningiomas. Nat Genet 1994;6:180-4.
- Sekido Y, Pass HI, Bader S, et al. Neurofibromatosis type 2 (NF2) gene is somatically mutated in mesothelioma but not in lung cancer. Cancer Res. 1995;55:1227-31.
- Twist EC, Ruttledge MH, Rousseau M, et al. The neurofibromatosis type 2 gene is inactivated in schwannomas. Hum Mol Genet 1994;3:147-51.
- 6. Blakeley JO, Plotkin SR. Therapeutic advances for the tumors associated with neurofibromatosis type 1, type 2, and schwannomatosis. Neuro Oncol 2016;18:624-38.
- Petrilli AM, Fernández-Valle C. Role of Merlin/NF2 inactivation in tumor biology. Oncogene 2016;35:537-48.

- Zhang N, Bai H, David KK, et al. The Merlin/NF2 tumor suppressor functions through the YAP oncoprotein to regulate tissue homeostasis in mammals. Dev Cell 2010;19:27-38.
- 9. James MF, Han S, Polizzano C, et al.NF2/merlin is a novel negative regulator of mTOR complex 1, and activation of mTORC1 is associated with meningioma and schwannoma growth. Mol Cell Biol 2009;29:4250-61.
- López-Lago MA, Okada T, Murillo MM, et al. Loss of the tumor suppressor gene NF2, encoding merlin, constitutively activates integrin-dependent mTORC1 signaling. Mol Cell Biol 2009;29:4235-49.
- Hikasa H, Sekido Y, Suzuki A. Merlin/NF2-Lin28Blet-7 Is a Tumor-Suppressive Pathway that Is Cell-Density Dependent and Hippo Independent. Cell Rep 2016;14:2950-61.
- Cooper J, Giancotti FG. Molecular insights into NF2/Merlin tumor suppressor function. FEBS Lett 2014;588:2743-52.
- Kressel M, Schmucker B. Nucleocytoplasmic transfer of the NF2 tumor suppressor protein merlin is regulated by exon 2 and a CRM1-dependent nuclear export signal in exon 15. Hum Mol Genet 2002;11:2269-78.
- Muranen T, Grönholm M, Renkema GH, et al. Cell cycle-dependent nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of the neurofibromatosis 2 tumour suppressor merlin. Oncogene 2005;24:1150-8.
- Li W, You L, Cooper J, Schiavon G, et al. Merlin/NF2 suppresses tumorigenesis by inhibiting the E3 ubiquitin ligase CRL4(DCAF1) in the nucleus. Cell 2010;140:477-90.
- Lü J, Zou J, Wu H, et al. Compensative shuttling of merlin to phosphorylation on serine 518 in vestibular schwannoma. Laryngoscope 2008;118:169-74.
- Li W, Cooper J, Zhou L, et al. Merlin/NF2 loss-driven tumorigenesis linked to CRL4(DCAF1)-mediated inhibition of the hippo pathway kinases Lats1 and 2 in the nucleus. Cancer Cell 2014;26:48-60.
- Cooper J, Xu Q, Zhou L, et al. Combined inhibition of NEDD8-activating enzyme and mTOR suppresses NF2 loss-driven tumorigenesis. Mol Cancer Ther 2017;16:1693-704.
- Soucy TA, Smith PG, Milhollen MA, et al. An inhibitor of NEDD8-activating enzyme as a new approach to treat cancer. Nature 2009;458:732-6.
- Xu GW, Toth JI, da Silva SR, et al. Mutations in UBA3 confer resistance to the NEDD8-activating enzyme inhibitor MLN4924 in human leukemic cells. PLoS One 2014;9:e93530.

3536

- 21. Furukawa KT, Yamashita K, Sakurai N, et al. The epithelial circumferential actin belt regulates yap/taz through nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of merlin. Cell Rep 2017;20:1435-47.
- 22. Plotkin SR, Stemmer-Rachamimov AO, Barker FG 2nd, et al. Hearing improvement after bevacizumab in

Cite this article as: Janse van Rensburg HJ, Yang X. Essential signaling in *NF2* loss-related tumours: the therapeutic potential of CRL4^{DCAF1} and mTOR combined inhibition. J Thorac Dis 2017;9(10):3533-3536. doi:10.21037/jtd.2017.09.34

patients with neurofibromatosis type 2. N Engl J Med 2009;361:358-67.

23. Subbiah V, Slopis J, Hong DS, et al. Treatment of patients with advanced neurofibromatosis type 2 with novel molecularly targeted therapies: from bench to bedside. J Clin Oncol 2012;30:e64-8.