# Should we wait for the bubble to explode?

# Si Young Choi<sup>1</sup>, Hyun Woo Jeon<sup>2</sup>, Chan Beom Park<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, St. Paul's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea; <sup>2</sup>Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Bucheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon, Korea; <sup>3</sup>Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Incheon, Korea

*Correspondence to*: Chan Beom Park, MD, PhD. Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 56 Dongsu-ro, Bupyeong-gu, Incheon 21431, Korea. Email: drcs5223@catholic.ac.kr; drcs5223@daum.net. *Comment on:* Li X, Su X, Chen B, *et al.* Multidisciplinary team approach on a case of bilateral tension pneumothorax. J Thorac Dis 2018;10:2528-36.

Submitted Jul 09, 2018. Accepted for publication Jul 17, 2018. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.07.89 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2018.07.89

Bilateral spontaneous pneumothorax is a rare clinical event, comprising approximately 1% of all cases of spontaneous pneumothorax (1,2). Early diagnosis and immediate chest drainage are mandatory to avoid life-threatening respiratory failure (2). The multidisciplinary team (MDT) approach for bilateral tension pneumothorax may be helpful to provide more reasonable approaches, which from experts will improve patient prognosis (3).

The most challenging aspect in such patients is the decision about whether to undergo surgery or conservative management. If surgery is chosen, what is the optimal timing? The optimal timing of surgery is not controversial in young patients with primary spontaneous pneumothorax (PSP) if air leakage persists (4,5). However, the optimal timing of surgery is difficult to determine in cases of secondary spontaneous pneumothorax (SSP) with persistent air leakage (6).

Patients with SSP have a poor condition, and they have several associated co-morbidities. The expectation of high postoperative morbidity and mortality rates may preclude early surgical intervention.

Although the incidence of PSP and SSP is similar (7), the incidence of surgical treatment for SSP is lower than that for PSP (8), because SSP is associated with underlying lung disease and elderly patients combined with poor cardiopulmonary reserve (9). Compared with PSP, patients with SSP are less tolerant and symptoms are more severe (10). Furthermore, the spontaneous healing rate of air leakage is lower and the recurrence rate is significantly higher in patients with SSP than in those with PSP (11,12). Postoperative morbidity has been reported to be 20.6-25.2% (6,13-15), and the postoperative mortality rate was found to be approximately 5% in patients with SSP (13,14).

The postponement of surgery is like a double-edged sword. It may provide an opportunity for the air leakage to cease. In contrast, if the patient's condition deteriorates while awaiting surgery, the postoperative risk may increase or the opportunity for surgical treatment may be missed.

The American College of Chest Physicians and the British Thoracic Society recommend surgical treatment if air leakage persists for more than 4 or 5 days (4,5). However, evidence for this recommendation is not strong in patients with SSP. Chee et al. (11) reported that 79% of air leakages in the SSP group had resolved spontaneously in 14 days with no mortality and that after 15 days, air leakage closure proceeded at a much slower and more unpredictable rate. Thus, they recommend surgery for patients with air leakage persisting beyond 14 days (11). However, several investigators have recommended surgery as early as 3 days for persistent air leakage because delayed surgery is associated with a higher rate of pleural infection, and the healing rate is lower in patients with SSP than in those with PSP (6,16,17). The mortality rate of pleural infection is up to 20% (16). In such patients, operative intervention should be considered much earlier. There is a lack of evidence for deciding cutoff value of 3 or 14 days. However, it is clear that a decision should be made within 15 days. If the patient does not have corrective risk factors such as pneumonia or

#### Choi et al. Should we wait for the bubble to explode?

re-expansion pulmonary edema, early surgical intervention should be considered carefully.

Sometimes, subcutaneous emphysema can progress rapidly to life-threatening status, with upper airway compromise, tension pneumomediastinum, and pneumopericardium.

In this patient, blow holes were used to drain subcutaneous emphysema, and negative-pressure wound therapy can be a useful tool for rapid decompression of emphysema (18).

Postoperative pain is another important factor that can cause or aggravate atelectasis and pulmonary infections. Thus, aggressive pain control using a thoracic epidural catheter, paravertebral block, or a single injection of liposomal bupivacaine should be considered (19).

In conclusion, decision-making for this critically ill patient is challenging, but sharing of the burden by MDT consultation can improve patient outcome.

#### Acknowledgements

None.

### Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

# References

- Lee SC, Cheng YL, Huang CW, et al. Simultaneous bilateral primary spontaneous pneumothorax. Respirology 2008;13:145-8.
- Kwon JB, Choi SY, Kim CK, et al. Reexpansion pulmonary edema after treatment of simultaneous bilateral spontaneous tension pneumothorax. J Cardiothorac Surg 2013;8:62.
- Li X, Su X, Chen B, et al. Multidisciplinary team approach on a case of bilateral tension pneumothorax. J Thorac Dis 2018;10:2528-36.
- Baumann MH, Strange C, Heffner JE, et al. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax: an American College of Chest Physicians Delphi consensus statement. Chest 2001;119:590-602.
- MacDuff A, Arnold A, Harvey J. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax: British Thoracic Society Pleural Disease Guideline 2010. Thorax 2010;65:ii18-31.
- 6. Jeon HW, Kim YD, Choi SY, et al. When Is the Optimal

Timing of the Surgical Treatment for Secondary Spontaneous Pneumothorax? Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2017;65:50-5.

- Melton LJ 3rd, Hepper NG, Offord KP. Incidence of spontaneous pneumothorax in Olmsted County, Minnesota: 1950 to 1974. Am Rev Respir Dis 1979;120:1379-82.
- Ueda Y, Osada H, Osugi H. Thoracic and cardiovascular surgery in Japan during 2005. Annual report by the Japanese Association for Thoracic Surgery. Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2007;55:377-99.
- Matsuoka K, Kuroda A, Kang A, et al. Surgical results of video-assisted thoracic surgery and risk factors for prolonged hospitalization for secondary pneumothorax in elderly patients. Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2013;19:18-23.
- Tschopp JM, Rami-Porta R, Noppen M, et al. Management of spontaneous pneumothorax: state of the art. Eur Respir J 2006;28:637-50.
- 11. Chee CB, Abisheganaden J, Yeo JK, et al. Persistent airleak in spontaneous pneumothorax--clinical course and outcome. Respir Med 1998;92:757-61.
- 12. Videm V, Pillgram-Larsen J, Ellingsen O, et al. Spontaneous pneumothorax in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: complications, treatment and recurrences. Eur J Respir Dis 1987;71:365-71.
- Zhang Y, Jiang G, Chen C, et al. Surgical management of secondary spontaneous pneumothorax in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: retrospective study of 107 cases. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009;57:347-52.
- Passlick B, Born C, Haussinger K, et al. Efficiency of video-assisted thoracic surgery for primary and secondary spontaneous pneumothorax. Ann Thorac Surg 1998;65:324-7.
- Isaka M, Asai K, Urabe N. Surgery for secondary spontaneous pneumothorax: risk factors for recurrence and morbidity. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2013;17:247-52.
- Elsayed H, Kent W, McShane J, et al. Treatment of pneumothoraces at a tertiary centre: are we following the current guidelines? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2011;12:430-3.
- 17. Schoenenberger RA, Haefeli WE, Weiss P, et al. Timing of invasive procedures in therapy for primary and secondary spontaneous pneumothorax. Arch Surg 1991;126:764-6.

#### S3054

#### Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 10, Suppl 26 September 2018

 Son BS, Lee S, Cho WH, et al. Modified blowhole skin incision using negative pressure wound therapy in the treatment of ventilator-related severe subcutaneous emphysema. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg

**Cite this article as:** Choi SY, Jeon HW, Park CB. Should we wait for the bubble to explode? J Thorac Dis 2018;10(Suppl 26):S3053-S3055. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2018.07.89

2014;19:904-7.

19. Goto T. What is the best pain control after thoracic surgery? J Thorac Dis 2018;10:1335-8.