

# Comparison of pulmonary metastasectomy and stereotactic body radiation therapy for the treatment of lung metastases

## Francesco Petrella<sup>1,2</sup>, Lorenzo Spaggiari<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, IRCCS European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Department of Oncology and Hemato-oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Correspondence to: Francesco Petrella, MD, PhD. Department of Oncology and Hemato-oncology, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy. Email: francesco.petrella@ieo.it; francesco.petrella@unimi.it.

*Provenance:* This is an invited Editorial commissioned by the Section Editor Shuangjiang Li (Department of Thoracic Surgery and West China Medical Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China).

*Comment on:* Lee YH, Kang KM, Choi HS, *et al.* Comparison of stereotactic body radiotherapy versus metastasectomy outcomes in patients with pulmonary metastases. Thorac Cancer 2018;9:1671-9.

Submitted Jan 02, 2019. Accepted for publication Jan 11, 2019. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.01.72 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2019.01.72

Lung metastasectomy is one of the most frequently performed procedures by thoracic surgeons worldwide whose goal is to resect all pulmonary metastases from primary neoplasms without leaving residual disease (1). In order to accomplish an effective procedure, basic oncologic principles need to be respected when performing lung metastasectomy: the primary tumor must be controlled or controllable; no further extrathoracic uncontrollable metastatic spread exists; lung procedure need to be radical without major impairment of respiratory function; no effective alternative treatments with lower morbidity should be available (2).

More than 75% of patients suffering from lung metastases present also metastases to extra-thoracic organs; in fact, only 15% to 25% of patients presenting lesions limited to the lungs can be considerate as appropriate candidates for pulmonary metastasectomy (3).

Metastatic involvement of intrathoracic lymph nodes is associated with reduced survival after lung metastasectomy but does not represent by itself a formal contraindication to surgical resection, although the role of lymph node dissection in pulmonary metastasectomy and the prognostic impact of nodal involvement are still debated (4).

In our past experience we found that affected lymph nodes found during sampling or radical lymphadenectomy during pulmonary metastasectomy had a negative impact on survival, thus being the nodal status and number of resected pulmonary metastases independent prognostic factors (5); on the contrary, other reports showed no effect of nodal status on survival of operated patients (6,7).

Cardiopulmonary function testing is a crucial aspect of the preoperative assessment of patients' candidate to metastasectomy: in fact, although sublobar resections are most often used, global pulmonary tissue loss need to be predicted in the setting of multiple lesions (8-10). We have previously showed, in fact, that spirometric modifications following lung metastasectomy are conditioned by total volume lung parenchyma resected within the first 90 days; moreover, functional loss after three or more sub-anatomical resections is comparable with that observed after lobectomy (11).

Although the Pulmonary Metastasectomy Working Group of the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons showed that atypical segmentectomies were the most common used procedures to resect pulmonary metastases (12), extended resections may play an effective role in selected patients with deeply located nodules or lesions infiltrating closer structures like pericardium, diaphragm, chest wall and superior vena cava to obtain a radical resection improving survival (13).

From the initial experience of the International Registry of Lung Metastases established in the early '90s until the more recent series, the idea that lung metastasectomy is potentially curative-based on complete resectability, disease-

#### Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 11, Suppl 3 March 2019

free interval and number of metastases as independent prognostic factors—has constantly strengthened (14-17).

However, the major limit of the evaluation of the clinical efficacy of pulmonary metastasectomy is the lack of data on the clinical outcome of patients who could potentially benefit from surgical resection but who are not offered the procedure, as operable patients are almost always submitted to surgical resection (18).

We will probably be able to focus on this aspect thanks to the results of the ongoing PulMiCC trial, randomizing lung metastasectomy with active monitoring for pulmonary metastases in patients with successfully treated for colorectal cancer (19,20) although these results will not be known for at least ten years and will not be extended to different cancers (21).

Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) is the treatment of choice for elderly patients affected by early-stage primary NSCLC who are ineligible to surgical resection due to comorbidities or impaired respiratory function (22). Based on these experiences in lung cancer, SBRT has also been advocated for treating pulmonary metastatic lesions from several primary tumors and some prospective trials investigating the role of SBRT in metastatic disease was recently started (23,24), although results of these trials are only expected within the next years. Rieber et al. retrospectively analyzed 700 patients receiving SBRT for lung metastases and identified several prognostic factors for better selecting patients who might be successfully treated by SBRT: patients with a reduced performance status presented a significantly worse survival and local control; moreover overall survival (OS) was significantly affected by primary tumor histology and number of metastases; finally patients with a longer interval between primary tumor diagnosis and SBRT treatment disclosed significantly better survival (22).

Lee *et al.* retrospectively compared the treatment outcomes of SBRT and metastasectomy in patients with pulmonary metastases: twenty-one patients received SBRT for 29 pulmonary metastatic lesions and—on the other hand—30 patients underwent pulmonary metastasectomy for 30 metastatic lesions, the vast majority receiving wedge resection while only 2 patients (3.9%) underwent lobectomy (25). Although no differences were observed between the two groups in terms of age, gender, ECOG PS, smoking history, pulmonary function, comorbidities and interval between diagnosis of primary tumors and detection of pulmonary metastases, the median tumor size was larger in the SBRT than in the metastasectomy group. As a result, progression free survival (PFS) was significantly longer in patients receiving metastasectomy than in those undergoing SBRT. There were no significant differences in local control or OS between the two groups. Moreover, PFS rates were significantly affected by the

Multivariate analysis disclosed that the presence of synchronous metastases significantly and negatively influenced PFS (25).

presence or absence of synchronous metastases.

In conclusion, both pulmonary metastasectomy and SBRT can be successfully indicated for the treatment of lung metastases, although SBRT is commonly reserved—in daily clinical practice—to elderly patients unfit for surgery or those refusing metastasectomy; both surgical resections and SBRT offer the best results in terms of PFS and OS in patients with good performance status, with small and single pulmonary metastases and a preferably long time interval between primary tumor diagnosis and treatments, while patients with synchronous metastases are only likely to obtain a small benefit from local treatment, whether via SBRT or surgery.

#### **Acknowledgements**

None.

### Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### References

- 1. Petrella F, Spaggiari L. Pulmonary metastasectomy: beyond the tip of the iceberg. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:S1257-8.
- Petrella F, Diotti C, Rimessi A, et al. Pulmonary metastasectomy: an overview. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:S1291-8
- Erhunmwunsee L, Tong BC. Preoperative Evaluation and Indications for Pulmonary Metastasectomy. Thorac Surg Clin 2016;26:7-12.
- Pfannschmidt J, Klode J, Muley T, et al. Nodal involvement at the time of pulmonary metastasectomy: experience in 245 patients. Ann Thorac Surg 2006;81:448-54.
- 5. Veronesi G, Petrella F, Leo F, et al. Prognostic role of lymph node involvement in lung metastasectomy. J Thorac

#### Petrella and Spaggiari. SBRT vs. metastasectomy for lung metastases

S282

Cardiovasc Surg 2007;133:967-72.

- Loehe F, Kobinger S, Hatz RA, et al. Value of systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection during pulmonary metastasectomy. Ann Thorac Surg 2001;72:225-9.
- Kamiyoshihara M, Hirai T, Kawashima O, et al. The surgical treatment of metastatic tumors in the lung: is lobectomy with mediastinal lymph node dissection suitable treatment? Oncol Rep 1998;5:453-7.
- Bini A, Grazia M, Petrella F, et al. Multiple chondromatous hamartomas of the lung. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2002;1:78-80.
- 9. Pelosi G, Petrella F, Sandri MT, et al. A primary pure yolk sac tumor of the lung exhibiting CDX-2 immunoreactivity and increased serum levels of alkaline phosphatase intestinal isoenzyme. Int J Surg Pathol 2006;14:247-51.
- Spaggiari L, Galetta D, Veronesi G, et al. Superior vena cava replacement for lung cancer using a heterologous (bovine) prosthesis: preliminary results J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2006;131:490-1.
- 11. Petrella F, Chieco P, Solli P, et al. Which factors affect pulmonary function after lung metastasectomy? Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2009;35:792-6.
- Internullo E, Cassivi SD, Van Raemdonck D, et al. Pulmonary metastasectomy: A survey of current practice amongst members of the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Thorac Oncol 2008;3:1257-66.
- Casiraghi M, Maisonneuve P, Brambilla D, et al. The role of extended pulmonary metastasectomy J Thorac Oncol 2015;10:924-9.
- 14. Pastorino U, Buyse M, Friedel G, et al. Long-term results of lung metastasectomy: prognostic analyses based on 5206 cases. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1997;113:37-49.
- 15. Zampino MG, Maisonneuve P, Ravenda PS, et al. Lung metastases from colorectal cancer: analysis of prognostic

**Cite this article as:** Petrella F, Spaggiari L. Comparison of pulmonary metastasectomy and stereotactic body radiation therapy for the treatment of lung metastases. J Thorac Dis 2019;11(Suppl 3):S280-S282. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.01.72

factors in a single institution study Ann Thorac Surg 2014;98:1238-45.

- Casiraghi M, De Pas T, Maisonneuve P, et al. A 10-year single-center experience on 708 lung metastasectomies: the evidence of the "international registry of lung metastases". J Thorac Oncol 2011;6:1373-8.
- Petrella F, Leo F, Dos Santos NA, et al. "Circular clamp" excision: a new technique for lung metastasectomy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2009;138:244-5.
- Åberg T, Treasure T. Analysis of pulmonary metastasis as an indication for operation: an evidence-based approach. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:792-8.
- Dunning J. A view of the Pulmonary Metastasectomy in Colorectal Cancer (PulMiCC) trial from the coalface. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:798-9.
- 20. Treasure T, Fallowfield L, Lees B. Pulmonary metastasectomy in colorectal cancer: the PulMiCC trial. J Thorac Oncol 2010;5:S203-6
- 21. Jones DR. Determining the value of pulmonary metastasectomy. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2016;50:800.
- 22. Rieber J, Streblow J, Uhlmann L, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for medically inoperable lung metastases-A pooled analysis of the German working group "stereotactic radiotherapy" Lung Cancer 2016;97:51-8.
- G.G. Hanna, D. Landau, Stereotactic body radiotherapy for oligometastaticdisease. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2015;27:290-7.
- 24. Corbin KS, Hellman S, Weichselbaum RR. Extracranial oligometastases: asubset of metastases curable with stereotactic radiotherapy, J Clin Oncol 2013;31:1384-90.
- Lee YH, Kang KM, Choi HS, et al. Comparison of stereotactic body radiotherapy versus metastasectomy outcomes in patients with pulmonary metastases. Thorac Cancer 2018;9:1671-79.