

Correspondence regarding "Is the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) a useful predictive marker for postoperative complications after lung surgery?" by Dr. X Li and J Chen

Masayoshi Inoue, Satoru Okada

Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan *Correspondence to:* Masayoshi Inoue, MD, PhD. Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kajii-cho 465, Kamigyo-Ku, Kyoto 602-8566, Japan. Email: mainoue@koto.kpu-m.ac.jp.

Provenance: This is an invited article commissioned by the Section Editor Shuangjiang Li (Department of Thoracic Surgery and West China Medical Center, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China).

Response to: Li X, Chen J. Is the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) a useful predictive marker for postoperative complications after lung surgery? J Thorac Dis 2019;11:S334-6.

Submitted Jan 27, 2019. Accepted for publication Feb 13, 2019. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.02.30

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2019.02.30

We thank Drs. Xin Li and Jun Chen and read with great interest their editorial comment on "Is the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) a useful predictive marker for postoperative complications after lung surgery?" Lung cancer is a leading cause of death that was estimated to have caused 1.76 million deaths worldwide in 2018 (1). Several factors for predicting prognosis have been reported so far. In addition to stage, including lymph node involvement, pleural invasion, or serum tumor markers, nutritional status has also recently been reported to be an important prognostic factor (2-4). It is a somewhat new concept that a clinical characteristic of a patient, not a tumor characteristic, could affect the outcome, while nutrition might be affected by tumor progression with inflammation.

Initially, we identified the preoperative prognostic nutritional index (PNI), which is calculated as $10 \times \text{serum}$ albumin (g/dL) + $0.005 \times \text{total}$ lymphocyte count (cells/mm³), as a useful biomarker for postoperative complications and survival in patients who underwent a curative lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer (5). In that retrospective study, we also found that the PNI was significantly decreased in patients with higher levels of C-reactive protein, which is a frequently used indicator of inflammation. Both overall and recurrence-free survivals showed significant differences, with better outcomes in patients with a high PNI, suggesting that nutrition could be related to lung cancer status.

We further reviewed lung cancer patients who underwent all types of operative procedures, including sublobar resection, in non-small cell lung cancer and evaluated the clinical significance of the PNI again (6). The retrospective analyses of 515 patients showed that the low PNI group had a higher risk of postoperative complications, such as prolonged air leak, pneumonia, and extrapulmonary infection. We adopted a PNI cut-off of 48, which was calculated using the minimum p-value approach, in the previous study (5). In the following study, we divided the patients into 3 groups by the PNI (normal >50, mildly low 45-50, and severely low <45) for detailed analyses (6). The cut-off value for the PNI is controversial, and Onodera et al., who simplified the PNI as the current index, proposed the cut-off as 45 for operative safety and 40 for surgery of digestive organ malignancies (7). Yang et al. used the PNI cut-off of 45.5 in gastric cancer, and Nozoe et al. found a significant difference in prognosis with the cut-off of 40 in colorectal carcinoma (8,9). Dr. Li commented in the editorial comment in this issue that hypoalbuminemia could be caused by relative ischemia of the small intestine due to the surgical stress after the operation. We can imagine the differences in the postoperative intestinal states between lung and digestive cancers. Thus, it seems reasonable that the PNI cut-off value could vary depending on the site of origin of the cancer.

Finally, we agree that a prospective, multi-center

study using a larger sample size is needed to address the usefulness of the PNI, as Dr. Li suggested in the last paragraph. In addition, a clinical trial to determine whether preoperative nutritional intervention in patients with a low PNI contributes to the prevention of morbidity and the improvement of postoperative survival outcomes would be interesting.

Acknowledgements

None.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- World Health Organization. Available online: https:// www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cancer
- Mori S, Usami N, Fukumoto K, et al. The Significance of the Prognostic Nutritional Index in Patients with Completely Resected Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. PLoS One 2015;10:e0136897.

Cite this article as: Inoue M, Okada S. Correspondence regarding "Is the prognostic nutritional index (PNI) a useful predictive marker for postoperative complications after lung surgery?" by Dr. X Li and J Chen. J Thorac Dis 2019;11(Suppl 3):S472-S473. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.02.30

- 3. Shoji F, Morodomi Y, Akamine T, et al. Predictive impact for postoperative recurrence using the preoperative prognostic nutritional index in pathological stage I nonsmall cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2016;98:15-21.
- 4. Toyokawa G, Kozuma Y, Matsubara T, et al. Prognostic impact of controlling nutritional status score in resected lung squamous cell carcinoma. J Thorac Dis 2017;9:2942-51.
- Okada S, Shimada J, Kato D, et al. Clinical Significance of Prognostic Nutritional Index After Surgical Treatment in Lung Cancer. Ann Thorac Surg 2017;104:296-302.
- Okada S, Shimada J, Teramukai S, et al. Risk Stratification According to the Prognostic Nutritional Index for Predicting Postoperative Complications After Lung Cancer Surgery. Ann Surg Oncol 2018;25:1254-61.
- Onodera T, Goseki N, Kosaki G. Prognostic nutritional index in gastrointestinal surgery of malnourished cancer patients. Nihon Geka Gakkai Zasshi 1984;85:1001-5.
- 8. Yang Y, Gao P, Song Y, et al. The prognostic nutritional index is a predictive indicator of prognosis and postoperative complications in gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. Eur J Surg Oncol 2016;42:1176-82.
- 9. Nozoe T, Kohno M, Iguchi T, et al. The prognostic nutritional index can be a prognostic indicator in colorectal carcinoma. Surg Today 2012;42:532-5.