



Expanding the donor pool for lung transplantation using HCV-positive donors

Hrishikesh S. Kulkarni¹, Kevin M. Korenblat², Daniel Kreisel³

¹Divisions of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, ²Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, ³Division of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

Correspondence to: Hrishikesh S. Kulkarni, MD, MSCI. 4523 Clayton Avenue, Campus Box 8052, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA. Email: hkulkarn@wustl.edu.

Provenance: This is an invited article commissioned by the Academic Editor Zhizhou Yang (Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis, MO, USA).

Comment on: Woolley AE, Singh SK, Goldberg HJ, *et al.* Heart and Lung Transplants from HCV-Infected Donors to Uninfected Recipients. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:1606-17.

Submitted Jul 20, 2019. Accepted for publication Aug 06, 2019.

doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.08.27

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2019.08.27>

Despite the increasing numbers of heart and lung transplants within the United States and worldwide and an increased awareness regarding organ donation, there remains a global shortage of donor organs. As a result, the waitlist mortality rate for lung transplantation is approximately 15.3% for all diagnoses in the United States despite introduction of the lung allocation score (1,2), and is higher among those patients listed in areas of low local lung availability (3). These statistics are similar worldwide (13–37% waitlist mortality rate), with as many as 1 in 3 patients with pulmonary fibrosis dying on the waitlist in the United Kingdom (4). As a result, extended criteria donors are considered to maximize organ availability for thoracic transplantation (5,6). The spectrum of extended criteria donors includes those aged >55 years, PaO₂/FiO₂ <300 mmHg on PEEP 5 cmH₂O at time of offer, presence of abnormalities on chest radiography, smoking history, presence of aspiration, presence of chest trauma, or donation after circulatory death. However, extended criteria donors have historically not included “increased-risk donors”, whose organs are associated with an increased risk of disease transmission to potential transplant recipients [for example, those with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection] (7). As a result, most studies on outcomes of transplants utilizing lungs from extended criteria donors have excluded these recipients (7).

A major hurdle to accepting organs from “increased-risk donors” has been whether these donors can be correctly

and rapidly identified, thus increasing the donor pool for transplantation while simultaneously preventing donor-transmitted infections. The HIV Organ Policy Equity (HOPE) Act, enacted on November 21, 2013, calls for the development and publication of research criteria relating to transplantation of HIV positive organs into HIV positive individuals (8). Since then, there have been increasing reports of lung transplants in HIV-positive recipients with controlled HIV infection, while understanding caveats such as the increased risk of acute cellular rejection (9). However, there remains a paucity of data on lung transplantation, either in HCV-positive recipients, or in those who receive organs from HCV-positive donors.

Two clinical trials in renal transplantation have previously demonstrated safety of transplants from donors with hepatitis C infection (D+) into HCV-negative recipients (R-). Specifically, the EXPANDER-1 trial showed that a minimum of 12-week of the direct-acting antivirals (DAA) elbasvir/grazoprevir started immediately before transplantation resulted in no treatment-related adverse events (primary outcome) and hepatitis C RNA (HCV RNA) was undetectable in all ten recipients 12 weeks after the completion of DAA therapy (10). Subsequently, all 20 HCV-negative transplant recipients who received kidneys in the THINKER trial met the primary outcome of treatment cure (11). All of these participants in the THINKER trial received kidneys infected with genotype 1 HCV and were treated with 12–16 weeks of elbasvir-grazoprevir (the duration of therapy being based on the

presence of resistance-associated substitutions in the viral genome). At the 12-month follow-up, serum HCV RNA was undetectable, they maintained a good quality of life, and had good renal function. These two trials suggested that organs from HCV-infected donors may form a valuable resource in the setting of organ shortage.

Based on these studies, the DONATE HCV trial was conducted for the transplantation of hearts and lungs from donors with HCV infection, irrespective of HCV genotype, to HCV-negative recipients (12). A total of 217 potential recipients were screened from March 1, 2017, to July 31, 2018, of whom 75 were eligible for enrollment, and 44 (36 lungs, 8 hearts) received an organ from a donor with hepatitis C viremia (HCV NAT-positive). The recipients were pre-emptively started on sofosbuvir-velpatasvir, a once daily, pan-genotypic DAA. Treatment was initiated within hours post-transplant and continued for a total of 4 weeks. The DAA was crushed and mixed with saline and administered via an enteral (nasogastric, orogastric, or percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy) tube prior to extubation, and transitioned to a pill when patients recovered their ability to swallow. Sofosbuvir/velpatasvir was also given at least four hours prior to a proton pump inhibitor, or simultaneously with or 12 hours apart from an H₂-receptor antagonist, so as not to decrease velpatasvir concentrations. The primary outcome was a composite of (I) a sustained virologic response at 12 weeks after completing antiviral therapy for HCV infection and (II) graft survival at 6 months after transplantation.

The stopping boundary for efficacy was met by February 2018, at which point 35 recipients had been enrolled. The initial manuscript reported data on 44 patients enrolled by July 2018, at which point they had a median follow-up of 284 days (interquartile range, 171–365 days). Of these, 35/44 (79.5%) had follow-up for at least 6 months with monitoring of HCV viral load, anti-HCV antibodies, and liver-function tests after treatment. Basiliximab induction was used at day 0 and day 4 after transplantation. Post-transplant immunosuppression consisted of tacrolimus (goal trough 8–12 ng/mL), mycophenolate mofetil 1,000–1,500 mg twice daily, and prednisone (tapered over 3–6 months to 5 mg daily, assuming no episodes of rejection). Surveillance bronchoscopies with transbronchial biopsies were done at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months and thereafter, based on the recipient's clinical status.

There were no reported issues with the enteral administration of sofosbuvir/velpatasvir in the participants. HCV RNA was detected in the circulation in 42/44

(95%) recipients soon after transplantation. The viral load in the recipient was proportional to that of the donor (median 1,800 IU/mL, IQR 800–6,180 IU/mL), but was undetectable within 2–3 weeks (n=44), and remained undetectable at 12 and 24 weeks after transplantation (n=35). Among study subjects with documented virologic remissions at week 24 post-completion of DAA therapy, the rate of anti-HCV positivity decreased from 27/35 (77%) within 1 week after transplantation, to 17/35 (49%) at 6 months after transplantation. In addition to having a sustained virologic response at 12 weeks, only 2 recipients of HCV NAT-positive lungs had liver function results >3 times the upper limit of normal range within 30 days of transplantation (7% *vs.* 11% in recipients from HCV-negative donors) and after 30 days of transplantation (7% *vs.* 16% in recipients from HCV-negative donors). No adverse events were attributed to the antiviral regimen, and were similar in the two groups at 30 days and 6 months after transplantation. In the first 30 days post-transplantation, 7 recipients developed Grade III atrial fibrillation, 3 of whom received amiodarone. No clinically significant arrhythmia was observed in these patients, despite the risk of symptomatic bradycardia associated with the co-administration of amiodarone and sofosbuvir (13). There were also no significant differences in Stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney disease at 6 months between either group (29% *vs.* 20%) or overall survival at 6 months (100% *vs.* 98% in HCV-negative donors).

A majority of the donors had HCV genotype 1 (61% donors, of which 96% had genotype 1a). Genotype 2 and 3 were present in 17% of donors (each) and the genotype was indeterminate in 5% of the donors. There were also differences in recipients demographics; those who received a lung from HCV NAT-positive donors were less likely to be male (39% *vs.* 66%, $P=0.03$), had a lower lung-allocation score (median LAS 33.3–38.16, $P<0.001$) and were less likely to have restrictive lung disease (29% *vs.* 68%, $P<0.0001$). Understandably, donors with HCV NAT-positive lungs were more likely to be considered “increased risk donors” (100% *vs.* 20%, $P<0.0001$).

The results of this trial bring up at least three questions for the lung transplant community.

Is this the right approach to ensure recipients can get lungs from increased risk donors while minimizing the risk of donor transmitted HCV infection?

Acute HCV infection occurs within the first 6 months of

transmission and is recognized by detectable HCV RNA with either a negative anti-HCV antibody, or evidence of anti-HCV seroconversion within the past 12 months. In comparison, chronic HCV infection is defined as persistence of HCV RNA in the bloodstream for greater than 6 months (14). When utilizing a pre-emptive strategy as used in the DONATE HCV trial, most (95%) of the recipients had a detectable HCV viral load, but this became undetectable within 3 weeks of treatment, and these recipients had a sustained virologic response at 12 weeks following the end of therapy. Additionally, epidemiological data would suggest that HCV does not recur in greater than 99% of the cases with a sustained virologic response, regardless of immunosuppression (15). Thus, this strategy ensures HCV infection, even if it occurs, can be cured in transplant recipients. The bigger issue is whether a pre-emptive strategy—such as what was used in the DONATE HCV trial—is a more effective approach than delayed treatment. Pre-emptive treatment carries the primary benefit of minimizing the risk of viremia; however, 10% of organ recipients do not get infected with HCV; and the behavior of DAAs has not been comprehensively evaluated in the perioperative setting. These issues would suggest that the efficacy of delayed treatment should be evaluated, while understanding that such an approach carries at least some risk of acute hepatitis. Based on data from cardiac transplantation using such an approach, a majority of these recipients (9 of 13, 69%) develop HCV viremia after heart transplantation. Among these, most, if not all, have a sustained virologic response within 12 weeks after transplant (16). Such studies are ongoing in the lung and the final results are eagerly awaited.

How likely are “HCV mismatched” lung transplants to have worse outcomes compared to those transplants from donors without HCV infection?

The existing data from the DONATE HCV trial would suggest there were no major differences in outcomes in those receiving lungs from NAT-positive donors compared to those from HCV-negative donors (12). Despite the mean donor ischemic time being higher when transplanting HCV NAT-positive lungs (328 *vs.* 281 min), there was no grade 3 primary graft dysfunction at 72 hours in recipients of lungs from HCV NAT-positive donors. While the proportion of acute cellular rejection (ACR) necessitating treatment was lower in recipients receiving lungs from

HCV-negative donors [30% *vs.* 54%, OR 0.37 (0.12–1.09)], none of the patients receiving HCV NAT-positive lungs had high-grade ACR, it was unrelated to the initial HCV load, and all responded to the initial treatment of pulse-dose steroids. There was also no difference in overall survival. However, the follow-up period has been modest (often less than or equal to 12 months) and the long-term results are awaited. Importantly, we do not fully understand how hepatitis C viremia modulates the alloimmune response (17). This is especially important in the delayed treatment strategy, where the viral load may be higher, even transiently. The effect of viral replication on long-term outcomes after lung transplantation has been most appreciated for cytomegalovirus (CMV), and to some extent, with Epstein Barr virus (EBV) (18,19). Transplant centers have used either a pre-emptive or delayed strategy for patients who receive an organ from a CMV positive donor, with varying durations of prophylaxis (20–22), with the goal of minimizing the risk of CMV disease, which is associated with chronic lung allograft dysfunction and an independent risk of death (22). Unlike CMV, however, HCV is curable. Yet, we will need an adequate follow up with both the pre-emptive and delayed treatment strategy for HCV to ensure there are no adverse events on the immune response and thus, on long-term transplant outcomes. This is especially important given the uncertainty whether a delayed treatment strategy carries an increased risk for relapse despite achieving an undetectable viral load short-term.

How much does include HCV+ donors truly expand the donor pool in lung transplantation?

There is evidence that declining lungs from “increased-risk donors” results in a longer time on the waiting list (23) and a higher waitlist mortality, even though the post-transplant survival appears to be the same. In 2018, our organ procurement organization was presented with 21 organ donors of which 12 had detectable HCV RNA (with or without anti-HCV positivity) and 9 had a reactive anti-HCV test but undetectable HCV RNA. In this group of 42 potential lungs, only 10 were recovered for transplant. It is, thus, conceivable that strategies like those employed in the DONATE HCV trial could have increased organs available for transplant within our OPO alone.

However, there are multiple questions that remain, such as whether preemptive DAA therapy be covered by third party payers in the way that antiviral therapy for the

prevention of CMV infection is covered. In cases of CMV, historically insurance providers have agreed to provide coverage; however, this is with the understanding that untreated CMV infection has clear detrimental long-term outcomes post-transplant (18,19,22). Second, the current studies are too small to know whether pre-emptive therapy is better than delayed therapy. In addition to the risk of acute hepatitis, cases of relapse are rare but possible (24,25). We hope to see the data on relapse rates in thoracic organ transplantation as these recipients are followed up over longer periods of time. Lastly, these initial studies have been in small numbers of subjects and as the practice expands, instances of potential viral resistance or unusual scenarios may arise that preclude treatment. This is complicated by the fact that the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of administration of non-pill forms of DAA therapy are not known for many of the DAAs. Hence, while we continue to aim to expand the donor pool, we as a field need to continue our endeavors where we find different methods to improve the utilization of marginal organs.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mid-America Transplant Society for providing us access to the data on the increased risk donors. *Funding:* Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number KL2 TR002346 (to HS Kulkarni). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

References

- Egan TM, Edwards LB. Effect of the lung allocation score on lung transplantation in the United States. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2016;35:433-9.
- Valapour M, Lehr CJ, Skeans MA, et al. OPTN/SRTR 2017 Annual Data Report: Lung. *Am J Transplant* 2019;19 Suppl 2:404-84.
- Benvenuto LJ, Anderson DR, Kim HP, et al. Geographic disparities in donor lung supply and lung transplant waitlist outcomes: A cohort study. *Am J Transplant* 2018;18:1471-80.
- Kourliouros A, Hogg R, Mehew J, et al. Patient outcomes from time of listing for lung transplantation in the UK: are there disease-specific differences? *Thorax* 2019;74:60-8.
- Kotecha S, Hobson J, Fuller J, et al. Continued Successful Evolution of Extended Criteria Donor Lungs for Transplantation. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2017;104:1702-9.
- Somers J, Ruttens D, Verleden SE, et al. A decade of extended-criteria lung donors in a single center: was it justified? *Transpl Int* 2015;28:170-9.
- Sapiano MRP, Jones JM, Bowman J, et al. Impact of US Public Health Service increased risk deceased donor designation on organ utilization. *Am J Transplant* 2019;19:2560-9.
- Doby BL, Tobian AAR, Segev DL, et al. Moving from the HIV Organ Policy Equity Act to HIV Organ Policy Equity in action: changing practice and challenging stigma. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2018;23:271-8.
- Kern RM, Seethamraju H, Blanc PD, et al. The feasibility of lung transplantation in HIV-seropositive patients. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2014;11:882-9.
- Durand CM, Bowring MG, Brown DM, et al. Direct-Acting Antiviral Prophylaxis in Kidney Transplantation From Hepatitis C Virus-Infected Donors to Noninfected Recipients: An Open-Label Nonrandomized Trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;168:533-40.
- Reese PP, Abt PL, Blumberg EA, et al. Twelve-Month Outcomes After Transplant of Hepatitis C-Infected Kidneys Into Uninfected Recipients: A Single-Group Trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2018;169:273-81.
- Woolley AE, Singh SK, Goldberg HJ, et al. Heart and Lung Transplants from HCV-Infected Donors to Uninfected Recipients. *N Engl J Med* 2019;380:1606-17.
- Caldeira D, Rodrigues FB, Duarte MM, et al. Cardiac Harms of Sofosbuvir: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Drug Saf* 2018;41:77-86.
- Rosen HR. Clinical practice. Chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:2429-38.
- Burgess SV, Hussaini T, Yoshida EM. Concordance of sustained virologic response at weeks 4, 12 and 24 post-treatment of hepatitis c in the era of new oral direct-acting antivirals: A concise review. *Ann Hepatol* 2016;15:154-9.

16. Schlendorf KH, Zalawadiya S, Shah AS, et al. Early outcomes using hepatitis C-positive donors for cardiac transplantation in the era of effective direct-acting antiviral therapies. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018;37:763-9.
17. Barjon C, Dahlqvist G, Calmus Y, et al. Role of regulatory T-cells during hepatitis C infection: From the acute phase to post-transplantation recurrence. *Dig Liver Dis* 2015;47:913-7.
18. Zamora MR. DNA viruses (CMV, EBV, and the herpesviruses). *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2011;32:454-70.
19. Clark NM, Lynch JP 3rd, Sayah D, et al. DNA viral infections complicating lung transplantation. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2013;34:380-404.
20. Snyderman DR, Limaye AP, Potena L, et al. Update and review: state-of-the-art management of cytomegalovirus infection and disease following thoracic organ transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2011;43:S1-17.
21. Patel N, Snyder LD, Finlen-Copeland A, et al. Is prevention the best treatment? CMV after lung transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2012;12:539-44.
22. Monforte V, Sintés H, López-Gallo C, et al. Risk factors, survival, and impact of prophylaxis length in cytomegalovirus-seropositive lung transplant recipients: A prospective, observational, multicenter study. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2017. doi: 10.1111/tid.12694.
23. Cox ML, Mulvihill MS, Choi AY, et al. Implications of declining donor offers with increased risk of disease transmission on waiting list survival in lung transplantation. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2019;38:295-305.
24. Levitsky J, Verna EC, O'Leary JG, et al. Perioperative Ledipasvir-Sofosbuvir for HCV in Liver-Transplant Recipients. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375:2106-8.
25. Levitsky J, Formica RN, Bloom RD, et al. The American Society of Transplantation Consensus Conference on the Use of Hepatitis C Viremic Donors in Solid Organ Transplantation. *Am J Transplant* 2017;17:2790-802.

Cite this article as: Kulkarni HS, Korenblat KM, Kreisel D. Expanding the donor pool for lung transplantation using HCV-positive donors. *J Thorac Dis* 2019;11(Suppl 15):S1942-S1946. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2019.08.27