

# Effectiveness and safety of exercise training and rehabilitation in pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis

# Xiaomei Zeng<sup>1,2</sup>, Haiming Chen<sup>3</sup>, Honglian Ruan<sup>4</sup>, Xiaojuan Ye<sup>1,2</sup>, Jieying Li<sup>1</sup>, Cheng Hong<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, National Clinical Research Center for Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510120, China; <sup>2</sup>General practice Department, Shenzhen Second People's Hospital, Shenzhen University First Affiliated Hospital, Shenzhen 518035, China; <sup>3</sup>First Clinical Medical Institute of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510182, China; <sup>4</sup>School of Public Health, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 511436, China

*Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: C Hong; (II) Administrative support: J Li; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: X Zeng; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: H Chen, X Ye; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: H Ruan; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Cheng Hong. No. 151, Yanjiang West Road, Yuexiu District, Guangzhou 510030, China. Email: gyfyyhc@126.com.

**Background:** Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a chronic progressive disease characterized by increasing pulmonary vascular resistance, poor prognosis and high disability rate. Although many targeted drugs for PH have been put to clinical use, most patients still have poor exercise tolerance and quality of life. Exercise training is considered to further improve exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with PH, but it has not been fully studied and utilized. The aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of exercise training in patients with PH.

**Methods:** A search was conducted for the meta-analysis using the databases PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, including literature published before December 2018. The primary outcome of this meta-analysis was a change in the 6-minute walk distance (6MWD). In addition, peak oxygen uptake (PeakVO<sub>2</sub>), resting pulmonary arterial systolic pressure (PASP<sub>rest</sub>), resting heart rate (HR<sub>rest</sub>), peak exercise heart rate (HR<sub>peak</sub>), oxygen uptake anaerobic threshold (VO<sub>2</sub> at AT), maximum workload and quality of life (QoL) were also assessed.

**Results:** A total of 651 patients in 17 studies were included. A meta-analysis showed that exercise training was associated with significant improvement in the 6MWD [weighted mean difference (WMD): 64.75 m (95% CI: 53.19–76.31 m, P<0.001)], peakVO<sub>2</sub> [WMD: 1.78 mL/min/kg (95% CI: 1.27–2.29 mL/min/kg, P<0.001)], HR<sub>peak</sub> [WMD: 11.07 beats/min (95% CI: 8.04–14.11 beats/min, P<0.001)] and QoL measured by SF-36 questionnaire subscale scores. Furthermore, exercise training is well tolerated, and no major adverse event occurred related to exercise training.

**Conclusions:** Exercise training is associated with a significant improvement in exercise capacity, cardiorespiratory fitness and quality of life among patients with PH and proved to be safe for stable PH patients with optimization of medical therapy. However, more large-scale multicenter studies are needed to confirm the effectiveness and safety of exercise training in patients with PH.

Keywords: Pulmonary hypertension (PH); exercise training; exercise capacity; rehabilitation

Submitted Aug 10, 2019. Accepted for publication Mar 02, 2020. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2020.03.69 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2020.03.69

#### Introduction

Pulmonary hypertension (PH) is a chronic progressive disease characterized by gradually increased pulmonary vascular resistance, which eventually leads to increased right heart load, right heart failure and even death. According to McGoon *et al.*, the prevalence of adult pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) and idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (IPAH) is at least 15 cases per million and 5.9 cases per million, respectively. The annual incidence of PAH is at least 2.4 cases per million, and IPAH accounts for 35-48% of PAH cases (1). PH has a high mortality and disability rate, and the prognosis is extremely poor. In the early years, the National Institute of health (NIH) in the United States found that the average survival period of IPAH patients was only 2.8 years, and the 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 68%, 48% and 34%, respectively (2). By 2006, the 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates of IPAH patients in China were 68%, 38.9% and 20.8%, respectively (3). In the past 20 years, pharmacotherapy targeting the three different mechanisms of PAH, including prostacyclin and its derivatives, endothelin receptor antagonists and 5-type phosphodiesterase inhibitors, has been gradually used in the clinical treatment of PH and has been proven to slow the progress of PAH and improve the survival rate (4-6). However, despite receiving standard targeted pharmacotherapy, the prognosis is still poor (7), most patients remain symptomatic and have reduced exercise capacity, quality of life (8,9). Therefore, to improve patients' exercise tolerance and quality of life, it is necessary to explore adjunctive therapeutic strategies to further improve the prognosis of patients with PH.

Traditionally, exercise training and cardiopulmonary rehabilitation have been considered a contraindication for patients with PH due to safety concerns, such as the risk of sudden cardiac death, exacerbation of pulmonary vascular remodelling, and deterioration in right heart function. Therefore, in the past, most doctors recommended PH patients to avoid exercise. In patients with chronic heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), exercise training has been proven to improve cardiopulmonary function and clinical outcomes (10,11). Considering that the pathophysiological changes of PH overlap with those of heart failure and COPD, some researchers hypothesize that exercise training may be beneficial to PH patients.

In recent years, several small clinical trials have assessed the value of exercise training as an adjunctive therapeutic strategy in patients with chronic PH. Although most of these studies were small and did not design clinical end points of mortality or hospitalizations related to PH, they have demonstrated a different extent of improvement in exercise tolerance and quality of life in response to training, especially the improvement of the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) and quality of life (QoL) (8,12-19). However, there are some inconsistent findings. Martínez-Quintana *et al.* and de Man *et al.* reported that exercise training cannot improve the 6MWD or QoL (20,21). Therefore, as the efficacy of exercise training for PH patients is not yet clear, we conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of exercise training for PH patients.

#### Methods

#### Search strategy and study selection

A comprehensive computerized literature search of the PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, was conducted using MeSH terms and keywords, including PH, pulmonary arterial hypertension, exercise, exercise training, and rehabilitation, for articles published before December 2018. From this search, we only included articles specifically addressing the effects of a supervised exercise training program in patients with PH. In addition, the reference lists from review articles were searched manually to identify other possible eligible studies.

Inclusion criteria: (I) prospective intervention studies, including randomized control trials, nonrandomized control trials and pre-post intervention studies; (II) age >18 years; (III) primary outcome was change in the 6MWD after exercise training, secondary outcomes were improvement in cardiopulmonary function, including peak oxygen uptake (peakVO<sub>2</sub>), systolic pulmonary artery pressure at rest (PASP<sub>rest</sub>), peak heart rate (HR<sub>peak</sub>), and QoL which was indicated by the SF-36 questionnaire subscale scores. Exclusion criteria: (I) did not involve at least one of the above outcomes; (II) unavailable full text or accurate data extraction; (III) retrospective studies and case series studies. Outcomes: (I) primary outcomes: 6MWD; (II) secondary outcomes: PeakVO<sub>2</sub>, PASP<sub>rest</sub>, HR<sub>peak</sub> and QoL (SF-36); (III) adverse events: syncope, infection, decline in blood oxygen saturation.

#### Data extraction

Two reviewers extracted data independently from eligible studies using standardized forms to verify consistency and accuracy. The following information was recorded for each study: author, year of publication, nature of study, baseline demographic and clinical characteristics, right heart catheterization data, pre and post exercise intervention measures of outcome variables (6MWD, PeakVO<sub>2</sub>, PASP<sub>rest</sub>, HR<sub>rest</sub>, HR<sub>peak</sub>, VO<sub>2</sub> at AT, Workload<sub>max</sub>, SF-36 score) and adverse events. The 6MWD was reported in all studies.

#### Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 12, No 5 May 2020

Both fatal and nonfatal adverse events among the exercisetraining patients were recorded.

#### Study quality assessment

Study quality was assessed independently by two reviewers. Disagreements were resolved by consensus. The Cochrane Bias Risk Assessment Tool recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration was used to evaluate the quality of the randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Nonrandomized controlled trials (non-RCTs) and pre-post intervention studies used Methodological index for non-randomized studies (MINORS items) for quality assessment.

#### Data synthesis and statistical analysis

Review Manager Software (RevMan5.3) was used for statistical analyses. According to different studies, the chi-square test was used to test the heterogeneity of the results. If  $I^2$ <50%, then there was no significant statistical heterogeneity among the studies. A fixed-effect model was used to analyse the results. If  $I^2$ >50%, then statistical heterogeneity existed among the studies, and a randomeffect model was used to analyse the results. Continuity data were analysed by the weighted mean difference (WMD). If different measuring tools were used for the same variable, standardized mean difference (SMD) was used to analyse the data, and a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was used to represent the effect of each observation outcome.

#### Results

#### Literature search

A flow diagram of the literature search and selection is presented in *Figure 1*.

#### Characteristics of the participants and study designs

Seventeenth studies with 651 participants were finally selected in this meta-analysis, including 6 RCTs, 3 non-RCTs, and 8 pre-post intervention studies. Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants are summarized in *Table 1*. All of the included studies provided information on the etiology of PH among the study participants. The main types of PH are class I and class IV, in which class I PH accounts for 48% and class IV PH accounts for 24%. All patients in the studies were already receiving optimized PH-targeted treatment

and were stable for at least 2 months with no recent hospitalizations or medication changes. Specific inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in *Table S1*. The exercise training methods adopted include low-load aerobic exercise (bicycle ergometer training, treadmill training), respiratory training and resistance training. In most studies, exercise intensity was controlled at 60–80% of peak exercise capacity. Because the safety of exercise training in PH is not clear, most of the exercise training adopted in the study was initially arranged in the inpatient department or outpatient department, followed by home-based exercise training and telephone follow-up. Only Fukui *et al.* (22) and Inagaki *et al.* (23) adopted home-based exercise training. The specific exercise training program is shown in *Table S2*.

#### Quality assessment

The Cochrane Bias Risk Assessment Tool was used to evaluate the quality of the randomized controlled trials, as shown in *Figure 2*. Quality assessment of nonrandomized controlled trials and pre-post interventional studies have been detailed in *Table 2* using MINORS items.

#### The effect of exercise training on the 6MWD

The 6MWD was reported in all the included studies (651 patients). There was moderate heterogeneity  $(I^2=59\%)$ , P=0.01) in the analysis of all parallel intervention studies (RCTs and non-RCTs). We observed a significant improvement in the 6MWD from baseline to follow-up using random effects analysis [WMD: 52.86 m (95% CI 31.79-73.93 m), P<0.001; Figure 3A]. Analysis of the 8 prepost intervention studies showed a significant improvement in the 6MWD after exercise training for 3 weeks [WMD: 70.37 m (95% CI: 54.95-85.79 m, P<0.001; Figure 3B], and no heterogeneity was observed ( $I^2=0\%$ , P=0.85). After exercise training for 12 or 15 weeks, the 6MWD increased to 75.61 m [95% CI: 60.70-90.52 m, P<0.001; Figure 3B], and the heterogeneity was small ( $I^2=7\%$ , P=0.37). We also conducted a combined analysis of parallel intervention studies and pre-post intervention studies. After exercise, the 6MWD increased 64.75 m [95% CI: 53.19-76.31 m, P<0.001; *Figure 3C*], and the heterogeneity was small ( $I^2=41\%$ , P=0.05).

#### The effect of exercise training on peakVO<sub>2</sub>

PeakVO<sub>2</sub> was reported in 10 studies of 398 patients



Figure 1 Flow diagram.

at baseline and after exercise training. We observed a significant improvement in PeakVO<sub>2</sub> using fixed effects analysis after exercise training for 3 weeks [WMD: 1.37 mL/min/kg (95% CI: 0.86–1.87 mL/min/kg, P<0.001); *Figure 4*], and no heterogeneity was observed (I<sup>2</sup>=0%, P=0.99). After exercise training for 8/10/12/15 weeks, a greater improvement was observed [WMD: 1.78 mL/min/kg (95% CI: 1.27–2.29 mL/min/kg, P<0.001); *Figure 4*], and no heterogeneity was observed [VMD: 1.78 mL/min/kg (95% CI: 1.27–2.29 mL/min/kg, P<0.001); *Figure 4*], and no heterogeneity was observed between studies (I<sup>2</sup>=0%, P=0.96).

#### The effect of exercise training on PASP<sub>rest</sub>

Six studies included 282 patients estimated the changes in resting pulmonary artery systolic blood pressure (PASP<sub>rest</sub>) before and after exercise training. We observed a marked improvement in PASP<sub>rest</sub> using fixed effects analysis after exercise training for 3 weeks [WMD: -2.71 mmHg (95% CI: -5.87-0.46 mmHg, P=0.09); *Figure S1*], and no heterogeneity was observed (I<sup>2</sup>=0%, P=0.95). An improvement also can be observed after exercise training for 12/15 weeks [WMD: -3.71 mmHg (95% CI: -7.19--0.24 mmHg, P=0.04); *Figure S1*], and no heterogeneity was observed ( $I^2=0\%$ , P=0.89).

#### The effect of exercise training on HR<sub>rest</sub> and HR<sub>beak</sub>

Seven studies included 349 patients who observed changes in resting heart rate and peak exercise heart rate before and after exercise training. Pooling across these studies showed that exercise training was associated with a significant improvement in HR<sub>rest</sub> after 3 weeks [WMD: -2.44 beats/min (95% CI: -4.29–-0.60 beats/min, P=0.009); *Figure S2*]; however, no significant change was observed after 12/15 weeks [WMD: -1.37 beats/min (95% CI: -7.04– 4.31 beats/min, P=0.64); *Figure S2*]. In terms of HR<sub>peak</sub>, we observed an obvious improvement after 3 weeks of exercise training [WMD: 5.14 beats/min (95% CI: 2.07– 8.21 beats/min, P=0.001); *Figure S3*] and a significant increase after 10/12/15 weeks [WMD: 11.07 beats/min (95% CI: 8.04–14.11 beats/min, P<0.001); *Figure S3*]. The heterogeneity among studies was small (I<sup>2</sup>=21%, P=0.26).

#### The effect of exercise training on VO2 at AT

VO2 at AT was reported in 6 studies of 332 patients

Table 1 Base	eline dem	ographic and clinic	al characteristics of :	study particip:	ants				
Author	Year	Research types	No. of participants (% women)	Mean age [year]*	Etiology of PAH	WHO functional class	PAH medications used	Baseline PeakVO <sub>2</sub> (mL/min/kg)*	Baseline 6-minute walk distance (m)*
Mereles et al.	2006	Randomized controlled trial	Ex T: 15; control: 15; women: 66.7%	50 [13]	80% IPAH; 20% CTEPH	20% Class II; 73%Class III	ERA: 63%; PD5-I: 33%	Ex T: 13.2 (3.1); Control: 11.9 (3.1)	Ex T: 439 [82]; Control: 411 [86]
Ley et al.	2013	Randomized controlled trial	Ex T: 10; control: 10; women: 70%	50 [11]	55% IPAH; 20% CTEPH; 10% CTD	20% Class II; 80% Class III	Mono: 25%; Dual: 60%; Triple: 15%	NA	Ex T: 449 [80]; Control: 423 [101]
Chan <i>et al.</i>	2013	Randomized controlled trial	Ex Т: 10; control: 13; women: 100%	54 [10]	22% IPAH; 74% CTD; 4% drug induced	91% Class II/ III	Mono: 30%; Dual: 26%; Triple:39%	Ex T: 17.6 (5.7); Control: 14.7 (5.1)	Ex T: 411 [73]; Control: 377 [97]
Saglam et al.	2015	Randomized controlled trial	Ex T: 14; control: 15; women: 80.6%	50 [12]	26% IPAH; 23% CHD; 51% CTD	52% Class II; 48% Class III	ERA: 32%; PD5-I: 10%; CCB: 16%	NA	Ex T: 427 [98]; Control: 357 [137]
Ehlken <i>et al.</i>	2015	Randomized controlled trial	Ex T: 38; control: 41; women: 54%	56 [12]	71% PAH; 29% CTEPH	16% Class II; 76% Class III; 5% Class IV	Mono: 31%; Dual: 48%; Triple: 11.5%	Ex T: 13.3 (3.6); Control: 12.7 (4.0)	Ex T: 453 [91]; Control: 413 [95]
Laura González <i>-</i> Saiz et <i>al.</i>	2017	Randomized controlled trial	Ex T; 20; control: 20; women: 60%	46 [11]	38% IPAH; 10% CTEPH; 8% CHD; 15% CTD	63% Class II; 14% Class III	Mono:40%; Combi: 37.5%; Mono + PGI: 25%; Combi + PGI: 17.5%	Ex T: 15.7 (3.3); Control: 19.8 (6.5)	Ex T: 500 [70]; Control: 546 [99]
Shigefumi Fukui <i>et al.</i>	2016	Non- randomized control trial	Ex T: 17; control: 24; women: 73%	68 [9]	100% CTEPH	85% Class II; 12% Class III	Drug:61%	Ex T: 17.4 (2.6) Control: 17.8 (2.6)	Ex T: 498 [96]; Control:468 [102]
Martínez- Quintana et al.	2010	Non- randomized control trial	Ex T: 4; control: 4; women: 62.5%	28 [6]	100% CHD	AN	ERA:87.5%	NA	Ex T: 364 [50]; Control:442 [193]
Fox et al.	2011	Non- randomized control trial	Ex T: 11; control: 11; women: 68%	52 [19]	45% IPAH; 9% CTEPH; 5% CHD; 41% CTD	NA	ERA: 63%; PD5-I: 45%; Mono: 54%; Combi: 45%	Ex T: 8.2 (1.9); Control: 11.6 (5.5)	Ex T: 353 [60]; Control:425 [80]
Grünig et al.	2012	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 21; women: 95%	52 [18]	100% CTD	43% Class II; 33% Class III	Mono: 38%; Dual: 48%; Triple: 14%	Ех Т: 11.8 (3.4)	Ex T: 386 [121]
Table 1 (con	tinued)								

© Journal of Thoracic Disease. All rights reserved.

Table 1 (con	tinued)								
Author	Year	Research types	No. of participants (% women)	Mean age [year]*	Etiology of PAH	WHO functional class	PAH medications used	Baseline PeakVO <sub>2</sub> (mL/min/kg)*	Baseline 6-minute walk distance (m)*
Grünig et <i>al.</i>	2012	Pre-post intervention study	Ех Т: 183; women: 69%	53 [15]	45% IPAH; 17% CTEPH; 8% CHD; 10% CTD	14% Class II; 75% Class III	ERA: 59%; PD5-1: 58%; Mono: 44%; Combi: 51%	Ex T: 12.2 (3.5)	Ex T: 425 [106]
Nagel et <i>al.</i>	2012	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 35; women: 46%	61 [15]	100% CTEPH	20% Class II; 74% Class III	ERA: 60%; PD5-I: 60%; Mono: 49%; Combi: 46%	Ex T: 12.1 (1.7)	Ex T: 408 [108]
Becker- Grünig <i>et al.</i>	2013	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 20; women: 80%	48 [11]	100% CHD	30% Class II; 70% Class III	ERA: 70%; PD5-I: 60%	Ex T: 11.4 (2.2)	Ех Т: 423 [90]
Kabitz et al.	2014	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 7; women: 57%	60 [11]	72% IPAH; 28% CTD	86% Class III; 14% Class IV	ERA: 28%; PD5-I: 86%	AN	Ex T: 417 [51]
Grünig et <i>al.</i>	2011	Pre-post intervention study	Ех Т: 58; women: 72%	51 [12]	64% IPAH; 10% CTEPH; 2% CHD; 4% CTD	17% Class II; 76% Class III	Mono: 66%; Dual: 31%; Triple: 3%	Ex T: 12.5 (3.0)	Ex T: 440 [90]
Inagaki et <i>al.</i>	2014	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 8; women: 100%	64 [12]	100% CTEPH	75% Class II; 25% Class III	ERA: 38%; PD5-I: 62%; Mono: 62%; Combi: 38%	AN	Ex T: 383 [91]
De man et al.	2009	Pre-post intervention study	Ex T: 19; women: 79%	42 [13]	100% IPAH	NA	Mono: 42%; Combi: 58%	Ex T: 15 (4.0)	Ex T: 496 [108]
*, data are s	hown as	mean (SD).							



Figure 2 Quality assessment of RCTs. RCT, randomized controlled trial.

at baseline and after exercise training. We observed a significant improvement in VO<sub>2</sub> at AT using fixed effects analysis after exercise training for 3 weeks [WMD: 32.15 mL/min/kg (95% CI: -1.71-66.01 mL/min/kg, P=0.06); *Figure S4*], and the heterogeneity was small among studies (I<sup>2</sup>=7%, P=0.37). After exercise training for 12/15 weeks, a greater improvement was observed [WMD: 105.39 mL/min/kg (95% CI: 65.57–145.20 mL/min/kg, P<0.001); *Figure S4*], and no heterogeneity was observed among the studies (I<sup>2</sup>=0%, P=0.90).

# Effect of exercise training on Workload<sub>max</sub>

Workload<sub>max</sub> was reported in 7 studies of 349 patients at baseline and after exercise training. We observed a significant improvement in Workload<sub>max</sub> using fixed effects analysis after exercise training for 3 weeks [WMD: 12.67 weeks (95% CI: 8.86–16.47, P<0.001); *Figure S5*], and no heterogeneity was observed among studies (I<sup>2</sup>=0%, P=1.00). After exercise training for 12/15 weeks, a greater improvement was observed [WMD: 16.27 weeks (95% CI: 12.31–20.24, P<0.001); *Figure S5*], and no heterogeneity was observed ( $I^2$ =0%, P=0.74).

# The effect of exercise training on QoL

QoL as measured by the SF-36 questionnaire was assessed in 5 studies of 149 patients, and 124 patients completed the SF-36 questionnaire at baseline and after exercise training. Pooled analysis across these five studies showed a significant improvement in quality of life measured by the SF-36 questionnaire subscale scores (*Table S3, Figure S6*).

# Safety of exercise training

A total of 490 patients in 17 studies participated in exercise training, and 17 patients had adverse events (*Table S4*). The incidence of adverse events was 3.46%, including 2 cases of

	12. Statistical analyses adapted to the study design									≻	≻	≻
barative studies	11. Prospective calculation of the sample size									~	>	~
e case of comp	10. Baseline equivalence of groups									>	≻	≻
In th	9. Contemporary groups									~	~	~
	8. A control group having the gold standard intervention	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
	7. Loss to follow up not exceeding 5%	z	z	z	z	≻	≻	≻	z	≻	≻	≻
	6. Follow- up period appropriate to the major endpoint.	>	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	~	≻
tems	<ol> <li>Unbiased evaluation of endpoints</li> </ol>	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~
MINORS i	<ol> <li>Endpoint</li> <li>appropriate to</li> <li>the study aim</li> </ol>	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	≻	~
	3. Prospective collection of data	~	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻
	<ol> <li>Inclusion of consecutive patients</li> </ol>	~	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻	≻
	<ol> <li>A stated aim of the study</li> </ol>	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	~	≻	~
	Design	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Pre-post intervention study	Non-RCT	Non-RCT	Non-RCT
	Author	Grünig/ Maier <i>et al.</i> 2012	Grünig/ Lichtblau <i>et al.</i> 2012	Nagel <i>et al.</i> 2012	Becker- Grünig <i>et al.</i> 2013	Kabitz <i>et al.</i> 2014	Grünig <i>et al.</i> 2011	Inagaki <i>et al.</i> 2014	de Man <i>et al.</i> 2009	Martínez- Quintana <i>et al.</i> 2010	Fox <i>et al.</i> 2011	Shigefumi Fukui <i>et al.</i> 2016

#### Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 12, No 5 May 2020

A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	E	cercise		(	Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Chan et al 2013	56	79.76	10	12	102.12	13	6.2%	44.00 [-30.33, 118.33]	
Ehlken et al 2015	29	53	38	-12	46	41	21.2%	41.00 [19.04, 62.96]	
Fox et al 2011	32	11	11	-26	6	11	26.6%	58.00 [50.60, 65.40]	•
Laura González-Saiz et al 2017	28	48.29	20	5	396.02	20	1.4%	23.00 [-151.85, 197.85]	
Ley et al 2013	91.4	66.2	10	16.9	39.8	10	11.4%	74.50 [26.63, 122.37]	
MartínezQuintana et al 2010	-16.5	46.5	4	-2.8	6	4	11.9%	-13.70 [-59.65, 32.25]	
Mereles et al 2006	96	61	15	-15	54	15	13.4%	111.00 [69.77, 152.23]	_ <b>_</b>
Saglam et al 2015	49.5	94	14	-23.24	129.6	15	5.3%	72.74 [-9.27, 154.75]	+
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	12	74.08	17	8	298.6	24	2.6%	4.00 [-120.54, 128.54]	
Total (95% Cl)			139			153	100.0%	52.86 [31.79, 73.93]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 420.17; Ch	i² = 19.3	8, df = 8	8 (P = 0	.01); I² =	59%				-200 -100 0 100 200
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.92 (P	< 0.0000	01)							Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Post-exercise Pre-exercise Mean Difference Mean Difference Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Fixed, 95% CI IV, Fixed, 95% CI 2.1.1 6MWD after 3 weeks of exercise 63.00 [6.28, 119.72] BeckerGrünig et al 2013 486 93 20 423 90 20 3.6% Grünig et al 2011 58 90 10.4% 78.00 [44.69, 111.31] 518 93 440 58 Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012 493 110 183 425 106 183 23.4% 68.00 [45.87, 90.13] 121 2.2% 39.00 [-33.29, 111.29] Grünig/Maier et al 2012 425 118 21 386 21 Kabitz et al 2014 509 39 7 417 51 7 5.1% 92.00 [44.44, 139.56] Nagel et al 2012 468 130 35 408 108 35 3.7% 60.00 [4.01, 115.99] Subtotal (95% CI) 324 324 48.3% 70.37 [54.95, 85.79] Heterogeneity: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 1.96, df = 5 (P = 0.85); l<sup>2</sup> = 0% Test for overall effect: Z = 8.94 (P < 0.00001) 2.1.2 6MWD after 12/15 weeks exercise BeckerGrünig et al 2013 486 102 15 423 90 20 27% 63.00 [-1.96, 127.96] de Man et al 2009 513 107 19 496 108 19 2.5% 17.00 [-51.36, 85.36] Grünig et al 2011 527 74 58 440 90 58 12.8% 87.00 [57.01, 116.99] Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012 506 104 103 425 106 183 18.0% 81.00 [55.72, 106.28] Grünig/Maier et al 2012 447 139 14 386 121 21 1.4% 61.00 [-28.33, 150.33] Inagaki et al 2014 415.4 57 8 382.1 4.5% 33.30 [-17.20, 83.80] 45.4 8 Kabitz et al 2014 81.00 [33.44, 128.56] 498 39 7 417 51 7 5.1% Nagel et al 2012 509 81 22 35 4.7% 101.00 [51.75, 150.25] 408 108 Subtotal (95% CI) 246 351 51.7% 75.61 [60.70, 90.52] Heterogeneity: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 7.57, df = 7 (P = 0.37); l<sup>2</sup> = 7% Test for overall effect: Z = 9.94 (P < 0.00001) Total (95% CI) 675 100.0% 570 73.08 [62.36, 83.80]

С

В

	Post	-exercis	е	Pre-	exercis	е		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	486	102	20	423	90	20	3.8%	63.00 [3.38, 122.62]	
Chan et al 2013	467	86	10	411	73	10	2.7%	56.00 [-13.92, 125.92]	
de Man et al 2009	513	107	19	496	108	19	2.9%	17.00 [-51.36, 85.36]	
Ehlken et al 2015	498.13	102.13	38	453	91	41	7.3%	45.13 [2.35, 87.91]	
Grünig et al 2011	527	74	58	440	90	58	14.9%	87.00 [57.01, 116.99]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	506	104	183	425	106	183	28.9%	81.00 [59.48, 102.52]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	447	139	21	386	121	21	2.2%	61.00 [-17.82, 139.82]	
Inagaki et al 2014	415.4	57	8	382.1	45.4	8	5.2%	33.30 [-17.20, 83.80]	
Kabitz et al 2014	498	39	7	417	51	7	5.9%	81.00 [33.44, 128.56]	
Laura González-Saiz et al 2017	528	68	20	500	70	20	7.3%	28.00 [-14.77, 70.77]	
Ley et al 2013	540	68	10	449	80	10	3.2%	91.00 [25.92, 156.08]	
MartínezQuintana et al 2010	348	42.5	4	364.5	50.2	4	3.2%	-16.50 [-80.96, 47.96]	
Nagel et al 2012	509	81	35	408	108	35	6.7%	101.00 [56.28, 145.72]	
Saglam et al 2015	476.43	90.11	14	426.93	97.76	14	2.8%	49.50 [-20.14, 119.14]	
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	510	98	17	498	96	17	3.1%	12.00 [-53.21, 77.21]	
Total (95% CI)			464			467	100.0%	64.75 [53.19, 76.31]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 23.79, df = 1	14 (P = 0.	05); I <sup>2</sup> = 4	1%					-	
Test for overall effect: Z = 10.97 (F	° < 0.0000	D1)							Eavours (experimental) Eavours (control)

Figure 3 Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on 6MWD. 6MWD, 6-minute walk distance.

Heterogeneity: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 9.76, df = 13 (P = 0.71); l<sup>2</sup> = 0%

Test for subaroup differences: Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.23, df = 1 (P = 0.63), l<sup>2</sup> = 0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 13.36 (P < 0.00001)

-50

Ó

Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

50

100

-100

#### Zeng et al. Meta-analysis of rehabilitation in pulmonary hypertension

	Post-	exerc	ise	Pre-e	xerci	se		Mean Difference	Mean Difference				
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl				
4.1.1 PeakVO2 after 3 weeks of	exercis	е											
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	12.4	2.2	20	11.4	2.2	20	6.9%	1.00 [-0.36, 2.36]	+				
Grünig et al 2011	14	3.6	58	12.5	3	58	8.9%	1.50 [0.29, 2.71]	_ <del></del>				
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	13.6	4	183	12.2	3.5	183	21.8%	1.40 [0.63, 2.17]					
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	13.6	3.4	21	11.8	3.4	21	3.1%	1.80 [-0.26, 3.86]					
Mereles et al 2006	14.5	3.1	15	13.2	3.1	15	2.6%	1.30 [-0.92, 3.52]					
Nagel et al 2012	13.4	3.7	35	12.1	1.7	35	7.1%	1.30 [-0.05, 2.65]					
Subtotal (95% CI)			332			332	50.4%	1.37 [0.86, 1.87]	•				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.52, df = 5	(P = 0.99	3); I² =	0%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.29 (P	< 0.0000	)1)											
4.1.2 PeakVO2 after 8/10/12/15	weeks of	fexer	cise										
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	12.3	2.4	15	11.4	2.2	20	5.4%	0.90 [-0.65, 2.45]	+				
Chan et al 2013	18.9	10.3	10	17.5	5.7	10	0.2%	1.40 [-5.90, 8.70]					
de Man et al 2009	15.8	4.5	19	15	4	19	1.8%	0.80 [-1.91, 3.51]					
Grünig et al 2011	14.6	3.9	58	12.5	3	58	8.1%	2.10 [0.83, 3.37]					
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	13.9	3.8	103	12.2	3.5	183	16.2%	1.70 [0.81, 2.59]					
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	14.1	3.5	14	11.8	3.4	21	2.4%	2.30 [-0.04, 4.64]					
Laura González-Saiz et al 2017	18.3	3.2	20	15.7	3.3	20	3.2%	2.60 [0.59, 4.61]	—				
Mereles et al 2006	15.4	3.7	15	13.2	3.1	15	2.2%	2.20 [-0.24, 4.64]					
Nagel et al 2012	14	2.9	22	12.1	1.7	35	7.2%	1.90 [0.56, 3.24]					
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	19.1	3.5	17	17.4	2.6	17	3.0%	1.70 [-0.37, 3.77]	+				
Subtotal (95% CI)			293			398	49.6%	1.78 [1.27, 2.29]	•				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.00, df = 9	(P = 0.98	5); I² =	0%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.85 (P	< 0.0000	)1)											
Total (95% CI)			625			730	100.0%	1.57 [1.21, 1.93]	•				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 4.80, df = 1	5 (P = 0.9	99); l² :	= 0%										
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.58 (P	< 0.0000	)1)							-10 -5 0 5 10 Eavours (experimental) Eavours (control)				
Test for subaroup differences: Cl	hi <sup>z</sup> = 1.28	. df = 1	(P=0	.26). I <sup>2</sup> =	21.89	%			ravours (experimental) ravours (control)				

Figure 4 Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on PeakVO<sub>2</sub>.

syncope, 1 case of presyncope, 7 cases of dizziness (1 case of hypoglycaemia), 3 cases of supraventricular tachycardia, 3 cases of cyanosis and 1 case of herpes zoster. Furthermore, no major adverse events, such as progression of symptoms, right heart failure, or death, were reported among the participants during the exercise training period.

#### **Publication bias**

The publication bias was evaluated for the two primary outcome indicators of the 6MWD and PeakVO<sub>2</sub>. The funnel chart is shown in *Figure S7* and *Figure S8*, and no obvious publication bias was observed according to Egger's test (P>0.05).

#### Discussion

This meta-analysis included 17 studies from 2006 to 2017, including 651 patients with chronic PH, and 490 patients participated in exercise training. The results suggest that exercise training can significantly improve exercise capacity and cardiopulmonary function from baseline to follow-

up. The main improvements included 6MWD (increased 64.75 m), peakVO<sub>2</sub> (increased 1.78 mL/min/kg) and QoL measured by the SF-36 questionnaire. Other exercise capacity and cardiopulmonary function indicators, such as workload<sub>max</sub>, VO<sub>2</sub> at AT and HR<sub>peak</sub>, improved to different degrees. Moreover, exercise training is well tolerated in these patients with PH, with a low incidence of adverse events and no serious adverse consequences.

In the past, exercise training and cardiopulmonary rehabilitation therapy have been considered unsafe in patients with PH, but with the successful practical experience of rehabilitation in patients with heart failure, case series such as Mainguy *et al.* (24), Shoemaker *et al.* (25), and retrospective studies such as Uchi *et al.* (26) began to report the effectiveness and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic PH. In 2006, Mereles *et al.* (8) published a RCT of exercise training in patients with PH. The results showed that 6MWD in the exercise group increased 96±61 m after 15 weeks of exercise, which was 111 m higher than the control group, along with an improvement in the QoL. On account of the research findings in Mereles *et al.* and several pre-post intervention studies (13-15,17), the 2015 ESC/ERS guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of PH proposed that monitored exercise training could be used as an adjuvant therapy for PAH patients with no relief after receiving optimal targeted pharmacotherapy (Class of Recommendation IIa, Level of Evidence B) (27).

In 2015 to 2017, 4 meta-analyses have concluded the efficacy and safety of exercise training in PH patients, and the results showed a remarkable improvement in 6MWD (57.7–72.5 m) and PeakVO<sub>2</sub> (1.69–2.4 mL/min/kg) (28-31).

This meta-analysis, combined with several new studies from 2015 to 2017 which are not included in the above meta-analyses, further demonstrated the effectiveness and safety of exercise training as an adjunctive therapy for PH.

#### Effects of exercise training in PH

#### Effects on exercise capacity

Effects of exercise training in PH patients on exercise capacity have been verified by the previous 4 meta-analyses and this meta-analysis, including an improvement in 6MWD, peakVO<sub>2</sub>, and workload<sub>max</sub>.

The six-minute walk test is a submaximal exercise test used to evaluate the exercise capacity of PH patients, but it is more convenient than the cardiopulmonary exercise test (CPET) to evaluate the cardiopulmonary function of PH patients. Furthermore, Miyamoto *et al.* suggested that 6MWD has a strong, independent association with mortality (32). Therefore, in the clinical trials of PH, the improvement in 6MWD was considered an important outcome indicator.

In the pooled analysis of all types of studies, the 6MWD increased 64.75 m after exercise training. This distance is greater than the minimum clinically significant difference of 25–33 m (33). In terms of the PH specific pharmacotherapies, Channick et al. reported that the 6MWD increased 70 m after bosentan treatment for 12 weeks in patients with PH (34). Galie et al. reported that 6MWD increased 50 m in PAH patients after 12 weeks of treatment with sildenafil 80 mg/day (35). These findings suggest that exercise training may result in an improvement at least as great as that acquired from targeted pharmacotherapies. However, all the participants in exercise training in the included trials were receiving an optimal targeted pharmacotherapy on the premise of stable condition, so exercise training can be considered an effective adjunctive treatment for patients with stable PAH.

Most of the studies included in this meta-analysis showed that the 6MWD increased after exercise training in PH patients, except for three studies, Martínez-Quintana *et al.* (20), de Man *et al.* (21) and Fukui *et al.* (22). This is likely because Martínez-Quintana *et al.* focused on cycling and lower limb strength training and did not include walking and respiratory muscle exercise (20). Fukui *et al.* did not include upper limb muscle training or respiratory training, it focuses on the effects of exercise training on a single training component (lower limbs) rather than a mixture of different training components (22). However, improvements in PeakVO<sub>2</sub>, workload<sub>max</sub>, quadriceps strength and heart failure symptoms (22), and WHO functional class (20,22), were observed in these three studies

In addition to 6MWD, peakVO<sub>2</sub> and workload<sub>max</sub> have a remarkable improvement after exercise training in the metaanalysis, and be similar to 6MWD, peakVO<sub>2</sub> measured during a CPET also relates to survival in PH (36).

suggesting lower strength training may be beneficial.

#### Effects on QoL

Another important outcome indicator is the QoL, which is considered to be one of the predictors of PAH prognosis (37). A study has shown that the decline of QoL in PAH patients is related to a decrease in exercise capacity, symptoms of cardiopulmonary failure, depression and anxiety. PeakVO<sub>2</sub>, 6MWD, anxiety, age, long-term oxygen therapy and right heart failure, which are all independent influencing factors of QoL. However, there was no significant correlation between the hemodynamic parameters and QoL score in resting hemodynamics (38). Five studies included in this meta-analysis analyzed the impact of exercise training on the quality of life in PH patients. The results showed that except for the physical pain score, the other seven dimensions of SF-36 improved in varying degrees.

#### Mechanisms of the improvements

#### Animal studies

The mechanism of improvement in exercise capacity, cardiopulmonary fitness and quality of life after exercise training in PH patients remains unclear. In a rat model induced by hypoxia, the study found that exercise training can prevent vascular remodeling caused by hypoxia and improve exercise capacity and hemodynamics in rats. Moreover, this study indicated that regular exercise training exerts an inhibiting effect on smooth muscle cell proliferation to a similar extent as pharmacological treatment. Although the signaling pathways underlying exercise-induced effects in hypoxic mice are unknown, regular exercise training did not affect the NO-sGC-PDE axis as targeted drugs, such as sildenafil (39). Therefore, this study suggests that exercise training may become a therapy for PAH in addition to targeting drugs through some mechanisms that have not yet been discovered. In another animal model, exercise training was conducted in PAH rats induced by monocrotaline. The results showed that exercise training could increase vascular density, decrease pulmonary artery diameter and right ventricular enddiastolic pressure (40).

#### Human studies

# Change in skeletal muscle fiber type and increase in capillaries in muscle fiber

In human studies, Mainguy *et al.* assessed changes in skeletal muscle in IPAH patients after exercise training. This study suggested that although the skeletal muscle-type proportion is largely genetically determined, exercise training induced fiber-type shifting from type IIx to IIa and tended to increase the type I fiber surface. This less fatigable muscle profile may have resulted in a higher anaerobic threshold (24). de Man *et al.* also suggested that exercise training can increase the number of capillaries in skeletal muscle fibers, especially the capillaries of type I skeletal muscle fibers, and enhance oxidative enzyme activity, thereby increasing quadriceps strength and quadriceps endurance (21).

#### Improvement in peak oxygen consumption

Peak oxygen consumption is the highest amount of oxygen consumed by an individual undergoing CPET and is the best index of aerobic capacity and the gold standard for cardiorespiratory fitness (41). Many studies of exercise training have shown the improvement of peak oxygen consumption (8,12-14,16,19,22,42), and is possibly due to improvement of capillary density of skeletal muscle (19). Additionally, PeakVO<sub>2</sub> is linearly associated with RV function (43).

#### Improvement in cardiac function

Right ventricular (RV) dysfunction is a crucial factor contributing to functional impairment and mortality, and the improvement of CI, CO, peakVO<sub>2</sub>/kg during exercise might improve RV function (19). Moreover, in this meta-analysis, HR<sub>peak</sub> increase 11.07 beats/min. Taking in conjunction with improved PeakVO<sub>2</sub>, this suggests an improvement in cardiac function after exercise training (44). *Improvement in baemodynamics* 

Ley *et al.* assessed the pulmonary perfusion of PH patients after exercise training by magnetic resonance (MR). The

results showed that the peak pulmonary flow velocity and perfusion of PH patients in the exercise group increased significantly after 3 weeks of exercise training (45). Several studies included in this meta-analysis have found that PASP<sub>rest</sub> of PH patients decreased after exercise training (8,14,15). In the pooled analysis of our meta-analysis, we also found an improvement in PASP<sub>rest</sub> from baseline to follow up. It is inferred that exercise training can reduce pulmonary vascular resistance, increase pulmonary circulation perfusion, and improve cardiopulmonary function.

#### Training modality

Exercise training intervention for PH patients consist of diversified training components, as shown in Table S2. In general, exercise training contains three kinds of exercises, resistance training, aerobic training and respiratory muscle training. The resistance training mainly consisted of dumbbell training of distinct muscle groups, such as dumbbell training with low weights (500 to 1,000 g). Aerobic training mainly consisted of ergometer training and treadmill walking. Training intensity of aerobic training was adjusted daily to the individual strengths and limitations, such as physical exertion, peak heart rate and oxygen saturation. The training intensity was low, and corresponding to 60% to 80% of the heart rate they had reached during peak oxygen uptake in the initial exercise test. Respiratory training was included in the training programme in 11 studies of this meta-analysis. Among these studies, Saglam et al. is a study only use inspiratory muscle training without resistance and aerobic training (46).

At present, there is no definite conclusion about the specific program of exercise training, such as frequency, exercise time, duration and intensity. In addition, the difference between interval and continuous exercise training in PH patients is not clear yet. The most commonly used exercise program is Mereles *et al.*'s exercise prescription (8). Studies using the exercise prescription similar to Mereles *et al.* showed that 6MWD was significantly improved (13-17,45,47). It may be related to the fact that the program includes aerobic training, resistance training, respiratory muscle training, and intensive training in the hospital for 3 weeks, which provides close supervision for exercise patterns, exercise intensity, and the correct technique for respiratory muscle training, followed by 12 weeks home-based exercise training.

In terms of outpatient training programme, only Fukui *et al.* and Inagaki *et al.* performed exercise training at home (22,23), whether home-based exercise training is safe and

effective requires more large-scale clinical trials to confirm.

#### Safety

In terms of safety, the total incidence of adverse events in the included studies was only 3.46%, and there were no severe adverse events such as right heart failure, worsening of PH, and death during the exercise training. We observed a high degree of tolerance to exercise training in patients with PH. Grunig et al. reported that the 1- and 2-year survival rates of PH patients with exercise training were 100% and 95%, respectively, suggesting that exercise training under supervision is safe (13). Becker-Grunig et al. followed up patients for 21±14 months and found that the 1- and 2-year survival rates were 100%, while the 1- and 2-year survival rates without transplantation were 100% and 93%, respectively (17). Martínez-Quintana et al. followed up patients for 12 months, and no serious adverse events occurred. However, this is a small sample size study with only 4 participants (20). Other studies included in this metaanalysis only conducted 3 to 15 weeks of exercise training, so the long-term safety of exercise training remains unclear. More large clinical trials are needed to further confirm the long-term benefit in PH patients.

#### Exercise training and rehabilitation in China

In China, research on exercise training for PH patients is still lacking, and the exercise training guidance for PH patients is far from sufficient. The studies included in this meta-analysis mostly use bicycle ergometers or treadmills for exercise training, but it is difficult to perform exercise training under the supervision of doctors because bicycle ergometers are not widespread in China. To explore the appropriate exercise training program in China, Shimei et al. studied the exercise training methods of PAH patients. Twenty-six patients with PAH were randomized into two groups: one group took slow walking as the main exercise training method, and the other took fast walking as the main exercise training method. After the experiment, the fast walking group demonstrated an increase in the 6MWD (25±18 m) and suggested that fast walking exercise is better for Chinese patients with PH (48). The exercise training of PAH patients still needs more exploration in China.

#### Limitations

The studies on the effectiveness and safety of exercise

training in PH patients are mostly small, single center studies, and there is a lack of large multicenter randomized controlled studies. The application of meta-analysis avoids the limitations of single small sample clinical trials. In this paper, 17 studies from 2006 to 2017 were systematically evaluated and statistically analyzed through a comprehensive search of multiple databases, providing evidence-based comprehensive treatment for PH patients. However, there are some limitations in this study. First, heterogeneity exists because of the different exercise training protocols and different populations among studies. Second, most of the included studies had a relatively short duration and follow-up, and had not evaluated clinical end-points, such as hospitalization events and mortality. Therefore, it is unable to assess the continuous impact of exercise training on these clinical endpoints. Third, most of the included studies are single-center and small sample trials. Finally, as with other meta-analyses, selective bias cannot be completely eliminated because articles can only be retrieved from published trials.

#### Conclusions

Exercise training is safe for patients with PH and can improve their exercise capacity and quality of life. However, more large-scale and multicenter studies are needed to further verify the long-term effectiveness and safety of exercise training and to evaluate the clinical endpoints, such as mortality and hospitalization, to provide evidence for the application of exercise training in the real world of patients with PH.

#### Acknowledgments

*Funding:* This work was supported by Guangzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (No. 201604020185).

#### Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/jtd.2020.03.69). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article

#### Zeng et al. Meta-analysis of rehabilitation in pulmonary hypertension

distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

# References

- 1. McGoon MD, Benza RL, Escribano-Subias P, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: epidemiology and registries. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:D51-9.
- Rich S, Dantzker DR, Ayres SM, et al. Primary pulmonary hypertension. A national prospective study. Ann Intern Med 1987;107:216-23.
- Jing ZC, Xu XQ, Han ZY, et al. Registry and survival study in chinese patients with idiopathic and familial pulmonary arterial hypertension. Chest 2007;132:373-9.
- Lan NSH, Massam BD, Kulkarni SS, et al. Pulmonary Arterial Hypertension: Pathophysiology and Treatment. Disease 2018;6:38.
- 5. Ryerson CJ, Nayar S, Swiston JR, et al. Pharmacotherapy in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Res 2010;11:12.
- Sahni S, Ojrzanowski M, Majewski S, et al. Pulmonary arterial hypertension: a current review of pharmacological management. Pneumonol Alergol Pol 2016;84:47-61.
- McLaughlin VV. Looking to the future: a new decade of pulmonary arterial hypertension therapy. Eur Respir Rev 2011;20:262-9.
- 8. Mereles D, Ehlken N, Kreuscher S, et al. Exercise and respiratory training improve exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with severe chronic pulmonary hypertension. Circulation 2006;114:1482-9.
- Matura LA, McDonough A, Carroll DL. Cluster analysis of symptoms in pulmonary arterial hypertension: a pilot study. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs 2012;11:51-61.
- 10. Davies EJ, Moxham T, Rees K, et al. Exercise training for systolic heart failure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;12:706-15.
- Beauchamp MK, Nonoyama M, Goldstein RS, et al. Interval versus continuous training in individuals with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease--a systematic review. Thorax 2010;65:157-64.
- 12. Fox BD, Kassirer M, Weiss I, et al. Ambulatory rehabilitation improves exercise capacity in patients with pulmonary hypertension. J Card Fail 2011;17:196-200.

- Grunig E, Ehlken N, Ghofrani A, et al. Effect of exercise and respiratory training on clinical progression and survival in patients with severe chronic pulmonary hypertension. Respiration 2011;81:394-401.
- 14. Grunig E, Lichtblau M, Ehlken N, et al. Safety and efficacy of exercise training in various forms of pulmonary hypertension. Eur Respir J 2012;40:84-92.
- Grunig E, Maier F, Ehlken N, et al. Exercise training in pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with connective tissue diseases. Arthritis Res Ther 2012;14:R148.
- 16. Nagel C, Prange F, Guth S, et al. Exercise training improves exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with inoperable or residual chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. PLoS One 2012;7:e41603.
- Becker-Grunig T, Klose H, Ehlken N, et al. Efficacy of exercise training in pulmonary arterial hypertension associated with congenital heart disease. Int J Cardiol 2013;168:375-81.
- Leighton Chan M, MPH., Lisa M. K. Chin P, Michelle Kennedy M, et al. Benefits of Intensive Treadmill Exercise Training on Cardiorespiratory Function and Quality of Life in Patients With Pulmonary Hypertension. Chest 2013;143:324-31.
- Ehlken N, Lichtblau M, Klose H, et al. Exercise training improves peak oxygen consumption and haemodynamics in patients with severe pulmonary arterial hypertension and inoperable chronic thrombo-embolic pulmonary hypertension: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. Eur Heart J 2016;37:35-44.
- Martínez-Quintana E, Miranda-Calderin G, Ugarte-Lopetegui A, et al. Rehabilitation program in adult congenital heart disease patients with pulmonary hypertension. Congenit Heart Dis 2010;5:44-50.
- 21. de Man FS, Handoko ML, Groepenhoff H, et al. Effects of exercise training in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Eur Respir J 2009;34:669-75.
- Fukui S, Ogo T, Takaki H, et al. Efficacy of cardiac rehabilitation after balloon pulmonary angioplasty for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Heart 2016;102:1403-9.
- Inagaki T, Terada J, Tanabe N, et al. Home-based pulmonary rehabilitation in patients with inoperable or residual chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a preliminary study. Respir Investig 2014;52:357-64.
- 24. Mainguy V, Maltais F, Saey D, et al. Effects of a rehabilitation program on skeletal muscle function in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 2010;30:319-23.
- 25. Shoemaker MJ, Wilt JL, Dasgupta R, et al. Exercise training in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension:

#### Journal of Thoracic Disease, Vol 12, No 5 May 2020

a case report. Cardiopulm Phys Ther J 2009;20:12-8.

- Uchi M, Saji T, Harada T. Feasibility of cardiopulmonary rehabilitation in patients with idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension treated with intravenous prostacyclin infusion therapy. J Cardiol 2005;46:183-93.
- 27. Galie N, Humbert M, Vachiery JL, et al. [2015 ESC/ERS Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension]. Kardiol Pol 2015;73:1127-206.
- Buys R, Avila A, Cornelissen VA. Exercise training improves physical fitness in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension: a systematic review and metaanalysis of controlled trials. BMC Pulm Med 2015;15:40.
- Pandey A, Garg S, Khunger M, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Exercise Training in Chronic Pulmonary Hypertension: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Circ Heart Fail 2015;8:1032-43.
- Yuan P, Yuan XT, Sun XY, et al. Exercise training for pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Int J Cardiol 2015;178:142-6.
- Morris NR, Kermeen FD, Holland AE. Exercise-based rehabilitation programmes for pulmonary hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017;1:CD011285.
- 32. Miyamoto S, Nagaya N, Satoh T, et al. Clinical correlates and prognostic significance of six-minute walk test in patients with primary pulmonary hypertension. Comparison with cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000;161:487-92.
- 33. Singh SJ, Puhan MA, Andrianopoulos V, et al. An official systematic review of the European Respiratory Society/ American Thoracic Society: measurement properties of field walking tests in chronic respiratory disease. Eur Respir J 2014;44:1447-78.
- 34. Channick RN, Simonneau G, Sitbon O, et al. Effects of the dual endothelin-receptor antagonist bosentan in patients with pulmonary hypertension: a randomised placebo-controlled study. Lancet 2001;358:1119-23.
- Galie N, Ghofrani HA, Torbicki A, et al. Sildenafil citrate therapy for pulmonary arterial hypertension. N Engl J Med 2005;353:2148-57.
- Groepenhoff H, Vonk-Noordegraaf A, Boonstra A, et al. Exercise testing to estimate survival in pulmonary hypertension. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2008;40:1725-32.

**Cite this article as:** Zeng X, Chen H, Ruan H, Ye X, Li J, Hong C. Effectiveness and safety of exercise training and rehabilitation in pulmonary hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Dis 2020;12(5):2691-2705. doi: 10.21037/jtd.2020.03.69

- Pepke-Zaba J, Beardsworth A, Chan M, et al. Tadalafil therapy and health-related quality of life in pulmonary arterial hypertension. Curr Med Res Opin 2009;25:2479-85.
- Halank M, Einsle F, Lehman S, et al. Exercise capacity affects quality of life in patients with pulmonary hypertension. Lung 2013;191:337-43.
- Weissmann N, Peters DM, Klopping C, et al. Structural and functional prevention of hypoxia-induced pulmonary hypertension by individualized exercise training in mice. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 2014;306:L986-95.
- 40. Colombo R, Siqueira R, Becker CU, et al. Effects of exercise on monocrotaline-induced changes in right heart function and pulmonary artery remodeling in rats. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 2013;91:38-44.
- American Thoracic S, American College of Chest P. ATS/ ACCP Statement on cardiopulmonary exercise testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2003;167:211-77.
- 42. Gonzalez-Saiz L, Fiuza-Luces C, Sanchis-Gomar F, et al. Benefits of skeletal-muscle exercise training in pulmonary arterial hypertension: The WHOLEi+12 trial. Int J Cardiol 2017;231:277-83.
- 43. Lewis GD, Bossone E, Naeije R, et al. Pulmonary vascular hemodynamic response to exercise in cardiopulmonary diseases. Circulation 2013;128:1470-9.
- 44. Chia KS, Wong PK, Faux SG, et al. The benefit of exercise training in pulmonary hypertension: a clinical review. Intern Med J 2017;47:361-9.
- 45. Ley S, Fink C, Risse F, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging to assess the effect of exercise training on pulmonary perfusion and blood flow in patients with pulmonary hypertension. Eur Radiol 2013;23:324-31.
- Saglam M, Arikan H, Vardar-Yagli N, et al. Inspiratory muscle training in pulmonary arterial hypertension. J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev 2015;35:198-206.
- Kabitz HJ, Bremer HC, Schwoerer A, et al. The combination of exercise and respiratory training improves respiratory muscle function in pulmonary hypertension. Lung 2014;192:321-8.
- 48. Shimei Z, Yuanhua Y, Tuguang K, et al. Study of exercise training methods on patients with pulmonary hypertension. Int J Respi 2018;38:1250-5.

# Supplementary

#### Table S1 Inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria

Author	Year	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Mereles et al.	2006	<ul> <li>Severe chronic pulmonary hypertension, receiving targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥3 months</li> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> <li>No recent syncope or skeletal muscle disorder</li> </ul>	Those who do not meet the inclusion criteria
Ley et al.	2013	<ul> <li>Age ≥18 years</li> <li>Targeted drug therapy, stable condition≥3 months</li> <li>WHO-FC II–III</li> <li>No recent syncope, no skeletal muscle disorder</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Age ≤18 years old</li> <li>WHO-FC Class I or IV</li> <li>Other factors that do not meet the inclusion criteria</li> </ul>
Chan <i>et al.</i>	2013	<ul> <li>WHO group I PAH</li> <li>Diagnosis of resting mPAP ≥25 mmHg by right heart catheter</li> <li>The condition is stable ≥3 months, and has not participated in pulmonary rehabilitation training for nearly 6 months</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WHO-FC Class I or IV</li> <li>FEV1/FVC ≤65%</li> <li>EF &lt;40%, PCWP ≥18 mmHg</li> <li>Serious mental illness</li> <li>severe liver and kidney dysfunction, metabolic abnormalities</li> <li>History of ischemic heart disease</li> <li>Use of exercise-restrictive drugs, antivirals, drugs, smoking, pregnancy</li> </ul>
Ehlken <i>et al.</i>	2015	<ul> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> <li>Receive pulmonary hypertension target drugs, stable condition ≥2 months</li> </ul>	Those who do not meet the inclusion criteria
Saglam et al.	2015	<ul> <li>WHO-FC II–III</li> <li>Receive pulmonary hypertension target drugs, stable condition ≥3 months</li> </ul>	Severe obstructive and restrictive pulmonary diseases, severe ischemic heart disease, left heart failure, pulmonary heart disease, cognitive impairment, infection of virus in nearly 6 months, bone and joint disorder
González-Saiz <i>et al.</i>	2017	<ul> <li>Age &gt;18 years</li> <li>Diagnosis of PAH or CTEPH by right cardiac catheterization</li> <li>Targeted drug therapy, stable condition (&gt;3 months)</li> <li>No recent syncope, no musculoskeletal disorder</li> <li>WHO-FC II–III</li> </ul>	Two people changed targeted drugs before starting exercise training
Fukui <i>et al.</i>	2016	<ul> <li>Inoperable CTEPH who underwent their final BPA with improved resting mean pulmonary arterial pressure of 24.7±5.5 mmHg and who suffered remaining exercise intolerance</li> <li>WHO ≥II</li> </ul>	One person had skeletal and muscular disorder
Martínez- Quintana <i>et al.</i>	2010	<ul> <li>Age ≥14 years</li> <li>No change in drug treatment regimen for pulmonary hypertension in the past 6 months</li> <li>WHO-FC II–III</li> </ul>	Those who do not meet the inclusion criteria
Fox <i>et al.</i>	2011	<ul> <li>Right heart catheter resting mPAP&gt;25mmHg, PCWP ≤15 mmHg, PVR ≥3 wood Units</li> <li>Receive targeted drug therapy, stable treatment for ≥3 months</li> <li>NYHA classification II–III</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Level I or IV of NYHA, PAH due to CHD with a right-to-left shunt, left heart disease, chronic hypoxia or chronic lung disease (total lung volume/FEV1 &lt; 60% predicted value)</li> <li>Diseases requiring hospitalization occur during case screening</li> <li>Any non-PAH medical condition likely to interfere with participation in or completion of the program</li> <li>Participants in other rehabilitation programs within 6 months</li> </ul>
Grünig/Marier et al.	2012	<ul> <li>PAH related to connective tissue diseases diagnosed by guidelines</li> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> <li>Targeted drugs for pulmonary hypertension and connective tissue disease were administered, and the condition was stable for more than 2 months.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Severe interstitial lung disease</li> <li>One patient was excluded for respiratory infection on follow-up</li> </ul>
Grünig/ Lichtblau e <i>t al.</i>	2012	<ul> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> <li>Targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥2 months</li> <li>New diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension, 2–6 months after receiving new targeted drugs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unstable clinical symptoms (6 people)</li> <li>Gastrocnemius paralysis occurs after falling (1 person)</li> <li>Family problems (2 people)</li> <li>MRSA infection (1 person)</li> <li>Peripheral arterial occlusion impairing 6MWD (1 person)</li> </ul>
Nagel <i>et al.</i>	2012	<ul> <li>Patients with CTEPH during 06/2006 – 10/2011</li> <li>Targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥ 2 months</li> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Change in targeted drugs 2–4 weeks before training (2 people)</li> <li>Misdiagnosis (2 people)</li> </ul>
Becker-Grünig et al.	2013	<ul> <li>Adult patients with invasively confirmed severe congenital heart disease with PAH during 09/2008 – 10/2011</li> <li>Receive targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥2 months</li> <li>Newly diagnosed pulmonary hypertension, 2-6 months after receiving new targeted drug therapy</li> <li>WHO-FC II–III</li> </ul>	Those who do not meet the inclusion criteria
Kabitz <i>et al.</i>	2014	<ul> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> <li>No recent syncope</li> <li>Diagnosis of PAH based on current clinical classification criteria</li> <li>Targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥2 months</li> </ul>	Complicated with left heart disease, lung disease, rib cage abnormality, neuromuscular abnormality, cachexia, systemic steroid therapy
Grünig <i>et al.</i>	2011	<ul> <li>Severe chronic pulmonary hypertension and right heart failure diagnosed according to guidelines during 01/2003 – 04/2007</li> <li>WHO-FC II–IV</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presence of underlying mitral stenosis as an etiology for PAH (1 person)</li> <li>Change in targeted drugs (1 person)</li> <li>Family reasons (1 person)</li> </ul>

• Targeted drug therapy, stable condition  $\ge$ 3 months

- Inagaki *et al.* 2014 Outpatients with inoperable or residual CTEPH • Receive targeted drug therapy, stable condition ≥3 months • Age 18–80 years old • WHO-FC II–IV
- de Man *et al.* 2009
  Diagnosed with IPAH according to WHO criteria established by RHC
  Stable clinical condition, defined as a change in 6-min walk distance (6MWD) of <10% in three consecutive measurements prior to inclusion (over a period of minimally 1 year), and no change in medical therapy for >3 months
  Aged 18 years. or older

• Living within 5 km of a rehabilitation center associated with the current study

Individuals with other unstable/severe pulmonary disease or cardiac, orthopedic, or neurological disorders limiting exercise performance

Those who do not meet the inclusion criteria

# Table S2 Characteristics of the exercise training programs

Author	Year	Design	Exercise training group intervention	Control group intervention	Duration	Result
Mereles <i>et al.</i>	2006	RCT	<ul> <li>Interval bicycle ergometer training 7 days/week at low workloads</li> <li>Exercise intensity at 60% to 80% of PeakVO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>60 min of walking 5 days/week</li> <li>5 days/week of 30 min of resistance training</li> <li>30 min of respiratory training 5 days/week</li> <li>3 weeks in hospital supervised training followed by 12 weeks training at home</li> </ul>	• Common rehabilitation program based on healthy nutrition, physical therapy such as massages, inhalation, counselling, and muscular relaxation without exercise and respiratory training	15 weeks	6MWD ↑; QOL ↑; VO₂ (peak+AT) ↑; Workload ↑; WHO FC ↑
Ley et al.	2013	RCT	• Same as Mereles <i>et al.</i> 2006	<ul> <li>Routine daily activities and no specific exercise intervention</li> </ul>	3 weeks	6MWD †; MRI perfusion (pulmonary blood volume) †; peak flow↑
Chan <i>et al</i> .	2013	RCT	<ul> <li>Aerobic training intervention 24–30 sessions of medically supervised treadmill walking for 30–45 min per session.</li> <li>Target exercise intensity of 70% to 80% of each patient's heart rate (HR) reserve obtained from the baseline.</li> <li>Education intervention.</li> </ul>	• 1 hour of education intervention including lung disease processes, medication use, oxygen therapy, sleep disorders, panic control, relaxation techniques, breathing retraining, community resources etc.	10 weeks	6MWD ↑ QOL ↑
Ehlken	2015	RCT	<ul> <li>In-hospital training for 3 weeks</li> <li>Home-based exercise training for 12 weeks</li> <li>Protocol same as Mereles <i>et al.</i> 2006</li> </ul>	• Usual care	15 weeks	peak VO <sub>2</sub> $\uparrow$ ; 6MWD $\uparrow$ ; QOL $\uparrow$ ; cardiac index $\uparrow$ ; mPAP $\downarrow$
Saglam <i>et al.</i>	2015	RCT	<ul> <li>Inspiratory muscle training at 30% of the maximum inspiratory pressure which is measured each week</li> <li>30 min/day</li> <li>7 day/week</li> <li>6 weeks</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sham inspiratory muscle training at a fixed workload of 10% of the maximum inspiratory pressure;</li> <li>30 min/day</li> <li>7 day/week</li> <li>6 weeks</li> </ul>	6 weeks	6MWD↑; maximum inspiratory pressure ↑; maximum expiratory pressure↑; FEV1, FVC↑
González-Saiz et al.	2017	RCT	<ul> <li>Aerobic training: treadmill dynamometer, 20–40 minutes/ session, 5 sessions/week (Monday to Friday), a total of 40 sessions, gradually increase the duration/intensity of each session according to personal situation</li> <li>Resistance training: 3 sessions/week (Monday, Wednesday and Friday), a total of 24 sessions</li> <li>Respiratory exercise: 2 sessions/day (one at the hospital in the morning, one at home in the evening), 6 day/week</li> </ul>	Meet regularly with clinicians	8 weeks	The improvement of 6MWD was not obvious; muscle strength ↑; PeakVO ₂↑
Shigefumi Fukui <i>et al.</i>	2016	Non-RCT	<ul> <li>Hospital training for 1 week: walking, bicycle ergometer, low- intensity resistance exercise in lower limbs</li> <li>Outpatient training for 11 weeks: walking, 30–60 minutes/ time, 4–5 times/week; low-intensity resistance exercise in lower limbs, 3 days/week</li> <li>Patients recorded the time and times of exercise</li> <li>Educational courses, including lifestyle guidance, counselling, psychological support</li> </ul>	Maintenance of pulmonary hypertension targeted drug therapy	12 weeks	6MWD (−); PeakVO₂ ↑; exercise load ↑ ; QOL↑; Quadriceps strength ↑; WHO FC↑
Martínez-Quintana et al.	2010	Non-RCT	<ul> <li>3 months in-hospital training: 2 days/week</li> <li>Training sessions with 10 minutes of warming up, brief period of resistance exercise (1–2 kg), interval of bicycle ergometer training (10–25 weeks for 24 minutes, 20–50 weeks for 30 seconds)</li> <li>9 months of home training: walk on flat ground every day and do exercises similar to exercise training in hospital</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain daily activities without special exercise intervention</li> </ul>	12 months	6MWD (–); QOL ↑; limb strength ↑; WHO FC↑
Fox <i>et al.</i>	2011	Non-RCT	<ul> <li>Supervised 24 biweekly 1 hour sessions of exercise training in two 6-week blocks</li> <li>Exercise intensity at 60% to 80% of peak VO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>In the first block, subjects did interval training with treadmill walking, cycling, and step climbing</li> <li>In the second block, subjects performed longer periods of continuous aerobic exercise, with resistance training</li> </ul>	Usual care with maintenance of routine daily activities and no specific exercise intervention	12 weeks	6MWD ↑; peak VO₂↑
Grünig/Maier <i>et al.</i>	2012	Pre-post intervention study	<ul> <li>3 weeks of supervised training in hospital</li> <li>1.5 hours/day, 7 days/week, including interval bicycle ergometer training at low workload (10–60 W), single group muscle training—low workload dumbbell training (500–1,000 g), respiratory training 5 days/week;</li> <li>12-week home-based training: more than 30 minutes/day, 5 days/week</li> </ul>	_	15 weeks	6MWD ↑; QOL ↑; VO₂↑; PASP ↓ (3 weeks)
Grünig/Lichtblau et al.	2012	Pre-post intervention study	Protocol same as Grünig/Maier et al. 2012	_	15 weeks	6MWD $\uparrow$ ; QOL $\uparrow$ ; peak VO <sub>2</sub> $\uparrow$ ; WHO FC $\uparrow$ ; activity tolerance $\uparrow$
Nagel <i>et al.</i>	2012	Pre-post intervention study	Protocol same as Grünig/Maier et al. 2012	_	15 weeks	6MWD $\uparrow$ ; QOL $\uparrow$ ; peak VO <sub>2</sub> $\uparrow$ ; NT-proBNP $\downarrow$ ; (3 weeks)
Becker-Grünig et al.	2013	Pre-post intervention study	Protocol same as Grünig/Maier et al. 2012.	_	15 weeks	6MWD ↑; QOL ↑
Kabitz <i>et al.</i>	2014	Pre-post intervention study	Protocol same as Grünig/Maier et al. 2012	_	15 weeks	6MWD †; TwPmo†
Grünig <i>et al.</i>	2011	Pre-post intervention study	<ul> <li>3 weeks of supervised training in hospital</li> <li>1.5 hours/day, 7 days/week, including walking, single group muscle training - low workload dumbbell training (500–1,000 g), respiratory training 5 days/week</li> <li>24±12 months of Home-based training: a personal training manual, bicycle ergometer training</li> </ul>	_	15 weeks	6MWD $\uparrow$ ; QOL $\uparrow$ ; peak VO <sub>2</sub> $\uparrow$ ; WHO FC $\uparrow$ ; HR <sub>rest</sub> $\downarrow$ ; workload $\uparrow$
Inagaki <i>et al.</i>	2014	Pre-post intervention study	<ul> <li>12 week outpatient rehabilitation program with one in hospital class each week and home based rehabilitation 24–30 sessions over 10 weeks</li> <li>Combination of strength, endurance and respiratory exercises</li> <li>Endurance training at 60% of target heart rate</li> </ul>	_	12 weeks	6MWD †; quadriceps strength↑
de Man <i>et al.</i>	2009	Pre-post intervention study	<ul> <li>The standardized exercise protocol adopted from the AHA guidelines for rehabilitation of CHF patients</li> <li>Supervised exercise training consisted of cycling (based on VO<sub>2</sub>max assessed at baseline measurements) and quadriceps muscle training (based on repetition maximum assessed on the first day of training)</li> <li>3 times/week</li> </ul>	_	12 weeks	6MWD (–); peak VO₂ (–); endurance improved; No. of capillaries per myocyte ↑; oxidative enzymes ↑

	i	动后		i	动前			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
5.1.1 PASPrest after 3 weel	ks of exe	rcise							
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	66	28	20	71	28	20	1.8%	-5.00 [-22.35, 12.35]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	57	20	183	59	20	183	32.7%	-2.00 [-6.10, 2.10]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	47	9	21	52	14	21	10.8%	-5.00 [-12.12, 2.12]	
Mereles et al 2006	57	18	15	61	18	15	3.3%	-4.00 [-16.88, 8.88]	
Nagel et al 2012	62.5	20.5	35	63.5	20.3	35	6.0%	-1.00 [-10.56, 8.56]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			274			274	54.6%	-2.71 [-5.87, 0.46]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.74, d	lf = 4 (P =	0.95);	$ ^{2} = 0\%$	6					
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.6	7 (P = 0.	09)							
5.1.2 PASPrest after 12/15	weeks of	exer	cise						
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	60	29	15	71	28	20	1.5%	-11.00 [-30.13, 8.13]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	56	20	103	59	20	183	23.5%	-3.00 [-7.83, 1.83]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	50	10	14	52	14	21	8.7%	-2.00 [-9.96, 5.96]	
Inagaki et al 2014	49.5	18.2	8	49.4	13.4	8	2.2%	0.10 [-15.56, 15.76]	
Mereles et al 2006	54	18	15	61	18	15	3.3%	-7.00 [-19.88, 5.88]	
Nagel et al 2012	56.8	15.9	22	63.5	20.3	35	6.1%	-6.70 [-16.15, 2.75]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			177			282	45.4%	-3.71 [-7.19, -0.24]	$\bullet$
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.68, d	lf = 5 (P =	0.89);	<sup>2</sup> = 0%	6					
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.0	9 (P = 0.	04)							
Total (95% CI)			451			556	100.0%	-3.16 [-5.50, -0.82]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 2.60, d	lf = 10 (P	= 0.99	); I <sup>2</sup> = 0	%					
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.6	5 (P = 0.	008)							-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for subgroup difference	s: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.18.0	df = 1 (F	P = 0.67	$  ^{2} = 0$	1%			Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Figure S1 Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on systolic pulmonary artery pressure at rest ( $PASP_{rest}$ ) on pooled analysis of all included studies.

	Post	-exerci	ise	Pre-e	xerci	se		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
7.1.1 HRrest after 3 weeks	of exerci	ise							
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	79	13	20	81	15	20	5.8%	-2.00 [-10.70, 6.70]	
Grünig et al 2011	75	13	58	75	12	58	9.8%	0.00 [-4.55, 4.55]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	74	11	183	77	13	183	12.0%	-3.00 [-5.47, -0.53]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	77	12	21	85	14	21	6.5%	-8.00 [-15.89, -0.11]	
Mereles et al 2006	73	11	15	72	11	15	6.5%	1.00 [-6.87, 8.87]	
Nagel et al 2012	70.8	10.2	35	73.2	12	35	9.1%	-2.40 [-7.62, 2.82]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			332			332	49.8%	-2.44 [-4.29, -0.60]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; (	Chi² = 3.9	95, df =	5 (P = 1	0.56); I <sup>z</sup> :	= 0%				
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.6	i0 (P = 0.)	009)							
7.1.2 HRrest after 12/15 we	eks of ex	cercise	•						
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	87	18	15	81	15	20	4.3%	6.00 [-5.23, 17.23]	
Grünig et al 2011	61	18	58	75	12	58	8.7%	-14.00 [-19.57, -8.43]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	80	13	103	77	13	183	11.4%	3.00 [-0.14, 6.14]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	78	16	14	85	14	21	4.8%	-7.00 [-17.30, 3.30]	
Mereles et al 2006	75	11	15	72	11	15	6.5%	3.00 [-4.87, 10.87]	
Nagel et al 2012	73.8	11.8	22	73.2	12	35	7.9%	0.60 [-5.73, 6.93]	
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	75	11	17	75	12	17	6.6%	0.00 [-7.74, 7.74]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			244			349	50.2%	-1.37 [-7.04, 4.31]	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 44.01;	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3	1.07, di	f=6 (P	< 0.000	1); I <sup>z</sup> =	81%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.4	7 (P = 0.)	64)							
Total (95% CI)			576			681	100.0%	-1.87 [-4.68, 0.95]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 15.52;	Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3	6.82, di	f = 12 (	P = 0.00	02); I²	= 67%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.3	0 (P = 0.1	19)							-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for subaroup difference	s: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.13. d	lf = 1 (F	9 = 0.72)	. I² = 0	%			

 $Figure \ S2 \ {\rm Forest} \ plot \ showing \ effect \ of \ exercise \ training \ on \ heart \ rate \ at \ rest \ (HR_{\rm rest}) \ on \ pooled \ analysis \ of \ all \ included \ studies.$ 

	Dect	ovorci	ico	Dro	ovorci			Moon Difforence	Moon Difforonco
Study or Subgroup	Moon	-exerci	Total	Moon	SD	Total	Moight	Mean Difference	Mean Difference
6.1.1 UDpook offer 2 weeks	of over	50	Total	wean	50	Total	weight	IV, FIXEU, 95% CI	IV, FIXEU, 95% CI
6.1.1 HRpeak alter 5 weeks	or exerc	ise	~~		4.0	~~	0.70		
BeckerGrunig et al 2013	122	24	20	119	18	20	2.7%	3.00 [-10.15, 16.15]	
Grunig et al 2011	127	21	58	121	19	58	8.8%	6.00 [-1.29, 13.29]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	127	22	183	122	19	183	26.3%	5.00 [0.79, 9.21]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	127	18	21	122	21	21	3.3%	5.00 [-6.83, 16.83]	
Mereles et al 2006	125	15	15	118	16	15	3.8%	7.00 [-4.10, 18.10]	
Nagel et al 2012	118.9	23.5	35	114.8	19.7	35	4.5%	4.10 [-6.06, 14.26]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			332			332	49.4%	5.14 [2.07, 8.21]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.31, d	lf = 5 (P =	: 1.00);	I <sup>2</sup> = 0%	,					
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.2	8 (P = 0.0	001)							
6.1.2 HRpeak after 10/12/15	weeks	of exer	cise						
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	138	15	15	119	18	20	3.9%	19.00 [8.05, 29.95]	
Chan et al 2013	139	24	10	140	13	10	1.6%	-1.00 [-17.92, 15.92]	
Grünig et al 2011	131	19	58	121	19	58	9.7%	10.00 [3.08, 16.92]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	133	20	103	122	19	183	20.7%	11.00 [6.26, 15.74]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	140	20	14	122	21	21	2.4%	18.00 [4.20, 31.80]	
Mereles et al 2006	132	17	15	118	16	15	3.3%	14.00 [2.19, 25.81]	
Nagel et al 2012	129.5	19.4	22	114.8	19.7	35	4.3%	14.70 [4.29, 25,11]	
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	147	15	17	145	15	17	4.6%	2.00 [-8.08, 12.08]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			254			359	50.6%	11.07 [8.04, 14.11]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 8.84 d	lf = 7 (P =	0.26)	$ ^2 = 21$	%					
Test for overall effect: $7 = 7.1$	5 (P < 0)	00001		~					
	0 (i · 0.i								
Total (95% CI)			586			691	100.0%	8 14 [5.99, 10.30]	•



Figure S3 Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on peak heart rate ( $HR_{peak}$ ) on pooled analysis of all included studies.

	Post	-exerci	se	Pre	exercis	se		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
8.1.1 VO2 at AT after 3 weel	ks of exe	ercise							
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	665	203	20	683	208	20	4.1%	-18.00 [-145.38, 109.38]	
Grünig et al 2011	874	246	58	774	207	58	9.7%	100.00 [17.26, 182.74]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	712	236	183	692	214	183	31.2%	20.00 [-26.16, 66.16]	-+ <b>=</b>
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	689	207	21	619	172	21	5.0%	70.00 [-45.11, 185.11]	
Mereles et al 2006	802.3	229.8	15	736.6	210.3	15	2.7%	65.70 [-91.94, 223.34]	
Nagel et al 2012	638	242.3	35	672.8	236.4	35	5.3%	-34.80 [-146.95, 77.35]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			332			332	58.0%	32.15 [-1.71, 66.01]	◆
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.40, d	f= 5 (P =	0.37);1	²= 7%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.8	6 (P = 0.0	06)							
8.1.2 VO2 at AT after 12/15	weeks of	f exerci	se						
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	777	196	15	683	208	20	3.7%	94.00 [-40.71, 228.71]	
Grünig et al 2011	884	252	58	774	207	58	9.4%	110.00 [26.07, 193.93]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	790	261	103	692	214	183	19.0%	98.00 [38.82, 157.18]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	681	207	14	619	172	21	3.9%	62.00 [-69.03, 193.03]	
Mereles et al 2006	865.4	264.7	15	736.6	210.3	15	2.3%	128.80 [-42.28, 299.88]	
Nagel et al 2012	846.4	259.7	22	672.8	236.4	35	3.7%	173.60 [39.77, 307.43]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			227			332	42.0%	105.39 [65.57, 145.20]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.59, d	f= 5 (P =	0.90);1	²=0%						
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.1	9 (P < 0.)	00001)							
Total (95% CI)			559			664	100.0%	62.89 [37.09, 88.68]	●
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 14.54,	df = 11 (F	P = 0.20	); I <sup>2</sup> = 2	4%				-	
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.7	8 (P < 0.1	00001)							-200 -100 0 100 200
Test for subaroup difference	s: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	7.54. df	'= 1 (P	= 0.006	). I <sup>2</sup> = 88	6.7%			ravouis (experimentar) ravouis (control)

Figure S4 Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on oxygen uptake at the anaerobic threshold ( $VO_2$  at AT) on pooled analysis of all included studies.

	Post-	Post-exercise Pre-exerc			exerci	cise Mean Difference			Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl
9.1.1 Workload max after 3 weeks of exercise									
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	72	28	20	58	20	20	3.3%	14.00 [-1.08, 29.08]	+
Grünig et al 2011	76	26	58	65	21	58	10.2%	11.00 [2.40, 19.60]	
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	77	28	183	64	24	183	26.4%	13.00 [7.66, 18.34]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	67	23	21	55	19	21	4.6%	12.00 [-0.76, 24.76]	<u>+</u>
Mereles et al 2006	85	26	15	70	17	15	3.1%	15.00 [-0.72, 30.72]	+
Nagel et al 2012	76.7	27.8	35	64.1	28	35	4.4%	12.60 [-0.47, 25.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			332			332	52.0%	12.67 [8.86, 16.47]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0.28, df	f= 5 (P =	1.00);	$ ^{2} = 0\%$						
Test for overall effect: Z = 6.52	2 (P < 0.0	00001)	C.						
9.1.2 Workload max after 12	2/15 wee	ks of e	exercis	e					
BeckerGrünig et al 2013	75	23	15	58	20	20	3.6%	17.00 [2.43, 31.57]	
Grünig et al 2011	80	25	58	65	21	58	10.7%	15.00 [6.60, 23.40]	_ <b></b>
Grünig/Lichtblau et al 2012	80	27	103	64	24	183	19.2%	16.00 [9.73, 22.27]	
Grünig/Maier et al 2012	65	20	14	55	19	21	4.3%	10.00 [-3.26, 23.26]	+
Mereles et al 2006	90	25	15	70	17	15	3.2%	20.00 [4.70, 35.30]	
Nagel et al 2012	90	22	22	64.1	28	35	4.4%	25.90 [12.84, 38.96]	
Shigefumi Fukui et al 2016	97	27	17	85	23	17	2.7%	12.00 [-4.86, 28.86]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			244			349	48.0%	16.27 [12.31, 20.24]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 3.53, df = 6 (P = 0.74); i <sup>2</sup> = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.05	5 (P < 0.0	00001)	1						
Total (95% CI)			576			681	100.0%	14.40 [11.65, 17.14]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 5.47, df = 12 (P = 0.94); i <sup>2</sup> = 0%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 10.2	28 (P < 0	0.0000	1)						Favours [evnerimental] Favours [control]
Test for subgroup differences: Chi# = 1.66, df = 1 (P = 0.20), i# = 39.6%									

 $Figure \ S5 \ {\rm Forest \ plot \ showing \ effect \ of \ exercise \ training \ on \ maximal \ workload \ (workload_{max}) \ on \ pooled \ analysis \ of \ all \ included \ studies.}$ 

Table S3 Pooled estimates for changes in quality of life subscale scores with exercise training among participants with pulmonary hypertension

SF-36 subscale	Studies (n)	WMD (95% CI) (points)	P value
Physical functioning	5	10.53 (5.28–15.78)	<0.0001
Role-physical	5	12.06 (2.87–21.25)	0.01
Bodily pain	4	5.27 (-3.68-14.21)	0.25
General health perception	5	4.25 (0.35–8.15)	0.03
Vitality	5	7.64 (3.30–11.98)	0.0006
Social functioning	5	8.29 (2.08–14.51)	0.009
Role-emotional	5	2.90 (-14.23-20.03)	0.005
Mental health	5	6.30 (-17.10-29.70)	0.04

#### Table S4 Adverse events related to exercise training

Author	Year	Number of exercise training participants	Adverse events related to exercise training
Mereles <i>et al.</i>	2006	15	Dizziness with training in 2 patients; oxygen saturation dropped in 1 patient
Ley et al.	2013	10	None
Chan <i>et al.</i>	2013	10	None
Ehlken	2015	38	Unrecorded
Saglam et al.	2015	14	None
González-Saiz et al.	2017	20	Atrioventricular nodal reentrant tachycardia during post-intervention CET in 1 patient; dizziness during aerobic training in 1 patient (hypoglycemia)
Shigefumi Fukui et al.	2016	17	Unrecorded
Martínez-Quintana et al.	2010	4	Exercise intolerance with cyanosis in 2 patients
Fox et al.	2011	11	None
Grünig/Maier et al.	2012	21	None
Grünig /Lichtblau et al.	2012	183	Syncope after training in 1 patient; presyncope after training in 1 patient; self-limiting SVT in 2 patients during exercise
Nagel et al.	2012	35	Syncope during exercise in 1 patient; herpes zoster in 1 patient
Becker-Grünig et al.	2013	20	None
Kabitz et al.	2014	7	None
Grünig <i>et al.</i>	2011	58	Dizziness with training in 2 patients

Inagaki <i>et al.</i>	2014	8	None
de Man <i>et al.</i>	2009	19	Dizziness during the quadriceps exercise in 2 patients



(n)

Post-exercise Pre-exercise

Mean Difference

Mean Difference



**Figure S6** Forest plot showing effect of exercise training on quality of life (QoL) in pre-post studies. (A) Physical functioning score; (B) role physical score; (C) physical pain score; (D) general health score; (E) energy score; (F) social function score; (G) emotional function score; (H) mental health score.



Figure S7 Funnel plot of 6MWD.



Figure S8 Funnel plot of PeakVO<sub>2</sub>.