Guideline Checklist (reformatted SQUIRE 2.0)

Section/Topic	Checklist Item	Page/Line	Section/
-		No	Paragraph
<b>Title and Abstract</b>			
1. Title	Indicate that the manuscript concerns an initiative to improve healthcare (broadly defined to include the quality, safety, effectiveness, patient- centeredness, timeliness, cost, efficiency, and equity of healthcare)	1	Title page
2. Abstract	<ul> <li>a. Provide adequate information to aid in searching and indexing</li> <li>b. Summarize all key information from various sections of the text using the abstract format of the intended publication or a structured summary such as: background, local problem, methods, interventions, results, conclusions</li> </ul>	2	Abstract
Introduction	Why did you start?		
3. Problem	Nature and significance of the local	3	Background
Description	problem		
4. Available knowledge	Summary of what is currently known about the problem, including relevant previous studies	3-4	Background
5. Rationale	Informal or formal frameworks, models, concepts, and/or theories used to explain the problem, any reasons or assumptions that were used to develop the intervention(s), and reasons why the intervention(s) was expected to work	4	Background
6. Specific Aims	Purpose of the project and of this report	4	Background
Methods	What did you do?		
7. Context	Contextual elements considered important at the outset of introducing the intervention(s)	4	Methods
8. Interventions	<ul> <li>a. Description of the intervention(s) in sufficient detail that others could reproduce it</li> <li>b. Specifics of the team involved in the work</li> </ul>	4-5	Methods
9. Study of the Interventions	<ul><li>a. Approach chosen for assessing the impact of the intervention(s)</li><li>b. Approach used to establish whether the observed outcomes were due</li></ul>	4-5	Methods

	to the intervention(s)		
	a. Measures chosen for studying	4-5	Methods
10. Measures	processes and outcomes of the		
	intervention(s), including rationale for		
	choosing them, their		
	operational definitions, and their		
	validity and reliability		
	b. Description of the approach to the		
	ongoing assessment of contextual		
	elements that contributed to the success,		
	failure, efficiency, and cost		
	c. Methods employed for assessing		
	completeness and accuracy of data		
11. Analysis	a. Qualitative and quantitative methods	4-5	Methods
5	used to draw inferences from the		
	data		
	b. Methods for understanding variation		
	within the data, including the		
	effects of time as a variable		
12. Ethical	Ethical aspects of implementing and	4-5	Methods
Considerations	studying the intervention(s) and how		
	they were addressed, including, but not		
	limited to, formal ethics review		
	and potential conflict(s) of interest		
Results	What did you find?		
13. Results	a. Initial steps of the intervention(s) and	5-7	Results
	their evolution over time (e.g.,		
	time-line diagram, flow chart, or table),		
	including modifications made		
	to the intervention during the project		
	b. Details of the process measures and		
	outcome		
	c. Contextual elements that interacted		
	with the intervention(s)		
	d. Observed associations between		
	outcomes, interventions, and relevant		
	contextual elements		
	e. Unintended consequences such as		
	unexpected benefits, problems,		
	failures, or costs associated with the		
	intervention(s).		
	f. Details about missing data		
Discussion			
<b>Discussion</b> 14. Summary	f. Details about missing data	7-12	Conclusion
	f. Details about missing data         What does it mean?	7-12	Conclusion

15. Interpretation	<ul> <li>a. Nature of the association between the intervention(s) and the outcomes</li> <li>b. Comparison of results with findings from other publications</li> <li>c. Impact of the project on people and systems</li> <li>d. Reasons for any differences between observed and anticipated outcomes, including the influence of context</li> </ul>	7-12	Conclusion
	e. Costs and strategic trade-offs,		
16. Limitations      17. Conclusions	<ul> <li>including opportunity costs</li> <li>a. Limits to the generalizability of the work</li> <li>b. Factors that might have limited internal validity such as confounding, bias, or imprecision in the design, methods, measurement, or analysis</li> <li>c. Efforts made to minimize and adjust for limitations</li> <li>a. Usefulness of the work</li> <li>b. Sustainability</li> </ul>	7-12	Conclusion
	<ul> <li>b. Sustainability</li> <li>c. Potential for spread to other contexts</li> <li>d. Implications for practice and for</li> <li>further study in the field</li> <li>e. Suggested next steps</li> </ul>		
<b>Other Information</b>			
18. Funding	Sources of funding that supported this work. Role, if any, of the funding organization in the design, implementation, interpretation, and reporting	12	Acknowledgements

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-1841

\*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.