

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: [doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x](https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x)). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		None
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		None
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		None
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		None
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		None
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		None
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		None
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		None
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The study was approved by the institutional review board of Tongji Hospital and Huazhong University of Science and Technology, and the reference number for approval was TJ-C20190602.	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Written consent was obtained from the patients for all forms of personally identifiable data, including biomedical, clinical, and biometric data.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	We recruited 37 patients with ground glass opacities (GGOs) and performed whole exome sequencing (WES) on 79 tumor tissues, including male (n= 17) and female (n= 20). The gender information was not available.	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		None
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		None
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	79 spatially distinct regions from 37 patients	
Randomisation		None
Blinding		None
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Except for lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD)	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	If the number of tumor cells were insufficient, the experiment will be repeated.	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Biological replicates	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). And the reference number for approval was TJ-C20190602.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		None
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Tumors and adjacent normal tissues were harvested by the pathologists following surgical resection. To ensure that the quality of the specimens met the requirements of next-generation sequencing (NGS), all tumor specimens were retrospectively reviewed by specialized lung cancer pathologists to determine the histological subtype.	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		None

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.		None
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Statistical analysis was conducted using R packages. R language is convenient and visual.	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	The data has not been uploaded yet. If necessary, the data will be uploaded or contact 13006369600@163.com.	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		None
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		None
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Yes, the code or software is available.	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		None

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed as the journal follows ICMJE guidelines for publication.	

Article information: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-21-82>